## Inner Product Spaces

In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have an inner product $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{u}^{T} \boldsymbol{v}=u_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} v_{n}$. Another notation sometimes used is

$$
<\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}>=\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{u}^{T} \boldsymbol{v}=u_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} v_{n}
$$

The inner product in $\langle u, v\rangle$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ has several essential properties (see Theorem 1, p. 331) that we have used repeatedly:
a) $\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle=\langle\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle$
b) $\langle\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}\rangle=\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{w}\rangle+\langle\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}\rangle$
c) $\langle\mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle=c<\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle$
d) $\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle=0$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{u}=\mathbf{0}$.

We defined the "length" of a vector $\boldsymbol{u}$ by $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|=\sqrt{\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle}$, and the distance between two vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$ as $\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}\|=\sqrt{\langle\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}\rangle}$

Earlier in the course, we used the essential properties of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as the starting point to define more general vector spaces $V(p .190)$. In the same spirit, we now use the properties a)-d) to describe the "essential properties" for an inner product in any vector space - for a vector space $V$ with real scalars: any rule that creates a scalar $\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle$ for each pair of vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$ in $V$ and satisfies a) - d) will be called an inner product in $V$. (Properties $a)-d$ ) are modified slightly when complex scalars are allowed.) A vector space $V$ with an inner product defined is called an inner product space. Because such an inner product "acts just like" the inner product from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, many of the theorems we proved about inner products for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ remain true for inner products in other spaces. You can look at a basic introduction to this material in Section 6.7 of the textbook.

## Here is a little more detail involving one specific example

Let $C[-\pi, \pi]$ be the vector space of all continuous real-valued functions defined on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ : call it $C$, for short.

For vectors (functions) $f, g$ in $C$, define an inner product by

$$
<f, g>=\text { the number } \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) g(x) d x
$$

Then $\langle f, g\rangle$ satisfies all the essential properties a) - d) for an inner product listed above:
a) $\langle f, g\rangle=\langle g, f\rangle$
because $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) g(x) d x=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x) f(x) d x$
b) $<f+g, h>=<f, h>+<g, h>$
because $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(x)+g(x)) h(x) d x=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) h(x) d x+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x) h(x) d x$
c) $\langle\mathrm{c} f, g\rangle=c<f, g\rangle$
d) $\langle f, f\rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle f, f\rangle=0$ if and only if $f=\mathbf{0}$ ( $=$ the constant function $\mathbf{0}$ ) You should check c) and d). The last part of d) requires that you use the fact that functions $f$ in $C$ are continuous.

Continuing in parallel with our definitions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

Define the norm (or "length") of $f$ by $\|f\|=\sqrt{\langle f, f>}=\sqrt{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{2}(x) d x}$
and the distance between $f$ and $g$ as

$$
\|f-g\|=\sqrt{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(x)-g(x))^{2} d x}
$$

We say that $f$ and $g$ are orthogonal $(f \perp g)$ if $\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) g(x) d x=0$
For example: on $[-\pi, \pi]$, we have $\sin \perp$ cos are orthogonal because

$$
<\sin , \cos >=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin x)(\cos x) d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin (2 x) d x=-\left.\frac{1}{2} \cos (2 x)\right|_{-\pi} ^{\pi}=0
$$

Many of the techniques we developed using inner products still work. For example:

For a subspace $W$ of $C$ : we can define $W^{\perp}=\{f:\langle f, g\rangle=0$ for all $g$ in $W\}$ If $W$ is a subspace of $C$ with an orthogonal basis* $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ and $f \in C$ then we can uniquely write $f=\widehat{f}+g$ where $\widehat{f} \in W$ and $g \in W^{\perp}$. $\widehat{f}$ is called the projection of $f$ on $W$ and $\widehat{f}$ is given by the formula

$$
\widehat{f}=\frac{\left\langle f, g_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle g_{1}, g_{1}\right\rangle} g_{1}+\ldots+\frac{\left\langle f, g_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\langle g_{n}, g_{n}\right\rangle} g_{n}
$$

Then $\widehat{f}$ is the function in $W$ closest to $f$, that is the function in $W$ for which

$$
\|f-\widehat{f}\|<\|f-g\| \text { for all } g \text { in } W \text { different from } \widehat{f}
$$

If $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for a subspace $W$ of $C$, we can convert the basis into an orthogonal basis using the same Gram Schmidt process formulas.

Note: Unlike $\mathbb{R}^{n}, C$ is not finite dimensional. But for the results just listed, that doesn't matter. What does matter is that the subspace $W$ is finite dimensional.

## A sample calculation with polynomials in $C$

Let $W$ be the subspace of polynomials on $[-\pi, \pi]$ with degree $\leq 5$ :

$$
W=\operatorname{Span}\left\{1, x, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{5}\right\}
$$

Find the polynomial in $W$ closest to the function sin. (Watch how the steps parallel what we'd do in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ : Matlab will handle the details for us).

The polynomial we want is $q=\widehat{\widehat{\sin }}=\operatorname{proj}_{W}$ sin. This polynomial is the closest in $W$ to the function sin in the sense of the distance we defined:

The approximation error $\|q-\sin \|=\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|q(x)-\sin x|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \underline{\text { is smaller than }}$

$$
\|p-\sin \|=\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|p(x)-\sin x|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \text { for any other } p \in W
$$

In that sense, $q$ is the "best available approximation in $W$ for sin."
It's easy to compute $\operatorname{proj}_{U} \sin$ if we choose an orthonormal basis for $W$, so we convert the standard basis

$$
\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{6}\right\}=\left\{1, x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{5}\right\} \text { for } W
$$

$$
\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}
$$

using the Gram-Schmidt Process (with normalization at each step).
Note: the integrations below were done using Matlab. Notice that every integration needed to find the $e_{i}$ 's is very easy, but that the constants that arise are messy and pile up fast; they can easily lead to errors when the computation is done by hand. Try to compute at least $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ for yourself (with or without computer assistance) to be sure you understand what's going on. The steps are the same as for the usual Gram Schmidt process in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We start with the first basis vector $v_{1}=1$. But $v_{1}$ is not a unit vector in $C$ because $\left\|v_{1}\right\|^{2}=\|1\|^{2}=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 1 \cdot 1 d x=2 \pi$. So we normalize and use

$$
e_{1}=\frac{v_{1}}{\left\|v_{1}\right\|}=\frac{1}{\|1\|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}
$$

For $j=2, \ldots, 6$ in turn we use the Gram Schmidt formula, normalizing at each step to get a unit vector:

$$
e_{j}=\frac{v_{j}-<v_{j}, e_{1}>e_{1}-<v_{j}, e_{2}>e_{2}-\ldots-<v_{j}, e_{j-1}>e_{j-1}}{\left\|v_{j}-<v_{j}, e_{1}>e_{1}-<v_{j}, e_{2}>e_{2}-\ldots-<v_{j}, e_{j-1}>e_{j-1}\right\|}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2} & =\frac{v_{2}-<v_{2}, e_{1}>e_{1}}{\left\|v_{2}-<v_{2}, e_{1}>e_{1}\right\|}=\frac{x-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}}{\left\|x-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right\|} \\
& =\frac{x}{\|x\|} \quad\left(\text { since } \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x d x=0\right) \\
& =\frac{x}{\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x \cdot x d x\right)^{1 / 2}}=\frac{x}{\frac{1}{3} \pi(6 \pi)^{1 / 2}}=\frac{\sqrt{6} x}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $e_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{v_{3}-<v_{3}, e_{1}>e_{1}-<v_{3}, e_{2}>e_{2}}{\left\|v_{3}-<v_{3}, e_{1}>e_{1}-<v_{3}, e_{2}>e_{2}\right\|}=\frac{x^{2}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot e_{1} d x\right) e_{1}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot e_{2} d x\right) e_{2}}{\left\|x^{2}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot e_{1} d x\right) e_{1}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot e_{2} d x\right) e_{2}\right\|} \\
& =\frac{x^{2}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{6} x}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{6} x}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}}}{\left\|x^{2}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}-\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{6 x}}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{6} x}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}}\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that each integration requires no calculus harder than $\int x^{n} d x$. But already the constants are becoming a headache.

$$
=\quad \ldots \quad=\frac{1}{8} \frac{\sqrt{8} \sqrt{45}\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \pi^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi^{5}}}
$$

Continuing in this way gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{4}=\ldots=\frac{1}{8} \frac{\sqrt{175} \sqrt{8}\left(x^{3}-\frac{3}{5} \pi^{2} x\right)}{\sqrt{\pi^{7}}} \\
& e_{5}=\quad \ldots=\frac{1}{128} \frac{\sqrt{11025} \sqrt{128}\left(x^{4}-\frac{1}{5} \pi^{4}-\frac{6}{7} \pi^{2}\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \pi^{2}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\pi^{9}}}, \text { and finally } \\
& e_{6}=\quad \ldots=\frac{1}{128} \frac{\sqrt{128} \sqrt{43659}\left(x^{5}-\frac{3}{7} \pi^{4} x-\frac{10}{9} \pi^{2}\left(x^{3}-\frac{3}{5} \pi^{2} x\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\pi^{11}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$ are orthogonal polynomials of degree $\leq 5$; we use them as our orthogonal basis for $W$. With them, we can use the projection formula to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(x)=\operatorname{Proj}_{W} \sin x=<\sin x, e_{1}>e_{1}+<\sin x, e_{2}>e_{2}+\ldots+<\sin x, e_{6}>e_{6} \\
& =\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin x) e_{1} d x\right) e_{1}+\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin x) e_{2} d x\right) e_{2}+\ldots+\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin x) e_{6} d x\right) e_{6} \\
& =\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d x\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}+\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin x) \frac{\sqrt{6} x}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{6} x}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin x) \frac{1}{8} \frac{\sqrt{8} \sqrt{45}\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \pi^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi^{5}}} d x\right) \cdot \frac{1}{8} \frac{\sqrt{8} \sqrt{45}\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \pi^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi^{5}}} \\
& \quad+\ldots+\text { three more terms corresponding to } e_{4}, e_{5}, \text { and } e_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Notice that the integrations involved are now more challenging because they involve terms like $\int x^{n} \sin x d x$. But they are manageable, with enough patience, using integration by parts.)

When all the smoke clears, Matlab gives

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x)=\frac{21}{8 \pi^{10}}\left(\left(33 \pi^{4}-3465 \pi^{2}+31185\right) x^{5}\right. & +\left(3750 \pi^{4}-30 \pi^{6}-34650 \pi^{2}\right) x^{3} \\
& \left.\left.+\left(5 \pi^{8}-765 \pi^{6}+7425 \pi^{4}\right) x\right)\right) \tag{}
\end{align*}
$$

If we convert the exact coefficients in (*) to approximate decimal coefficients we have

$$
q(x) \approx 0.98786213557467 x-0.15527141063343 x^{3}+0.00564311797635 x^{5}
$$

In the sense of the distance || || that we defined in $C, q$ is the closest polynomial with degree $\leq 5$ to the function sin. (Remember from the definition of $C$ : all this is happening over the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$.)

For comparison: there is a $5^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial approximation for $\sin x$ that is better known - namely, the $5^{\text {th }}$ degree Taylor polynomial,

$$
T_{5}(x)=f(0)+f^{\prime}(0) x+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2!} x^{2}+\ldots+\frac{f^{(v)}(x)}{5!} x^{5} .
$$

For $f(x)=\sin x$, this gives

$$
\sin x \approx T_{5}(x)=x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}
$$

With approximate decimal coefficients,

$$
T_{5}(x)=x-0.16666666666667 x^{3}+0.00833333333333 x^{5}
$$

Because $T_{5}(x)$ is constructed using derivatives of $f$ at 0 , it turns to be the better approximation for $\sin x$ near 0 , but it produces a larger and larger error as $x$ gets further and further from 0 .

We can see this in the figure on the next page.

The figure below shows the graphs of $\sin x, T_{5}(x)$ and $q(x)$ on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$.

Across the whole interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ : the graphs of $\sin x$ and $q(x)$ are so close that they visually coincide at the scale of this graph: you can see the difference between them. Near 0, you also can't see the difference between $T_{5}(x)$ and $\sin x$, but that difference becomes clear in the picture as you move further from 0 and closer to the endpoints $\pm \pi$.


The table on the following page illustrates three things (the third is not clear from the graphs above):
i) As we move away from 0 toward $\pm \pi$, the approximation to $\sin x$ using $T_{5}(x)$ is not as good as the $q(x)$ approximation
ii) Linear algebra gives us the $q(x)$ approximation. It has the advantage that it gives us a good approximation for $\sin x$ over the whole interval $[-\pi, \pi]$.
iii) The Taylor polynomial $T_{5}(x)$ is actually a better approximation than $q(x)$ to $\sin x$ when $x$ is near 0 (in some sense, $T_{5}(x)$ is actually the best of all $5^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomials to approximate $\sin x$ near 0 ).

All table values are rounded to 4 significant digits
so, for example, 0.0000 is not exactly 0

| $x$ | $\sin x$ | $T_{5}(x)$ | $q(x)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \text { Error } \mid= \\ & \left\|\sin x-T_{5}(x)\right\| \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \text { Error } \mid= \\ & \|\sin x-q(x)\| \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -3.1416 | -0.0000 | -0.5240 | -0.0160 | $0.5240 \leftarrow$ large $T_{5}$ | $0.0160 \leftarrow \operatorname{smallish} q$ |
| -2.9416 | -0.1987 | -0.5347 | -0.1966 | $0.3361 \leftarrow$ \|error| | $0.0021 \leftarrow$ \|error| |
| -2.7416 | -0.3894 | -0.5979 | -0.3827 | $0.2084 \leftarrow$ near $-\boldsymbol{\pi}$ | $0.0067 \leftarrow$ over |
| -2.5416 | -0.5646 | -0.6891 | -0.5600 | 0.1244 | $0.0047 \leftarrow$ the whole |
| -2.3416 | -0.7174 | -0.7884 | -0.7169 | 0.0710 | $0.0005 \leftarrow$ interval |
| -2.1416 | -0.8415 | -0.8800 | -0.8447 | 0.0385 | 0.0032 |
| -1.9416 | -0.9320 | -0.9516 | -0.9372 | 0.0196 | 0.0052 |
| -1.7416 | -0.9854 | -0.9947 | -0.9906 | 0.0092 | 0.0052 I |
| -1.5416 | -0.9996 | -1.0035 | -1.0032 | 0.0040 | 0.0036 |
| -1.3416 | -0.9738 | -0.9754 | -0.9749 | 0.0015 | 0.0011 |
| -1.1416 | -0.9093 | -0.9098 | -0.9077 | 0.0005 | 0.0016 |
| -0.9416 | -0.8085 | -0.8086 | -0.8047 | 0.0001 | 0.0038 |
| -0.5416 | -0.5155 | -0.5155 | -0.5106 | $0.0000 \leftarrow$ very | $0.0049 \leftarrow$ but \|error| |
| -0.3416 | -0.3350 | -0.3350 | -0.3313 | $0.0000 \leftarrow$ small | $0.0037 \leftarrow$ for $\boldsymbol{q}$ |
| -0.1416 | -0.1411 | -0.1411 | -0.1394 | $0.0000 \leftarrow$ \|error| | $0.0017 \leftarrow$ near $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 0.0584 | 0.0584 | 0.0584 | 0.0577 | $0.0000 \leftarrow$ near 0 | $0.0007 \leftarrow$ is larger |
| 0.2584 | 0.2555 | 0.2555 | 0.2526 | $0.0000 \leftarrow$ using | $0.0029 \leftarrow$ than for the |
| 0.4584 | 0.4425 | 0.4425 | 0.4380 | $0.0000 \leftarrow T_{5}$ | $0.0045 \leftarrow \mathbf{T}_{5}$ |
| 0.6584 | 0.6119 | 0.6119 | 0.6068 | $0.0000 \leftarrow$ approx | $0.0051 \leftarrow$ approx |
| 0.8584 | 0.7568 | 0.7569 | 0.7524 | 0.0001 | 0.0044 |
| 1.0584 | 0.8716 | 0.8719 | 0.8690 | 0.0003 | 0.0026 |
| 1.2584 | 0.9516 | 0.9526 | 0.9515 | 0.0010 | 0.0001 |
| 1.4584 | 0.9937 | 0.9964 | 0.9963 | 0.0027 | 0.0026 |
| 1.6584 | 0.9962 | 1.0028 | 1.0009 | 0.0066 | 0.0047 |
| 1.8584 | 0.9589 | 0.9734 | 0.9644 | 0.0145 | 0.0054 I |
| 2.0584 | 0.8835 | 0.9128 | 0.8877 | 0.0293 | 0.0043 |
| 2.2584 | 0.7728 | 0.8282 | 0.7740 | 0.0554 | 0.0012 |
| 2.4584 | 0.6313 | 0.7304 | 0.6283 | 0.0991 | $0.0030 \leftarrow$ smallish $\boldsymbol{q}$ |
| 2.6584 | 0.4646 | 0.6336 | 0.4583 | 0.1690 | $0.0063 \leftarrow$ \|error| |
| 2.8584 | 0.2794 | 0.5561 | 0.2742 | $0.2767 \leftarrow$ large $\boldsymbol{T}_{5}$ | $0.0052 \leftarrow$ over |
| 3.0584 | 0.0831 | 0.5204 | 0.0894 | $0.4373 \leftarrow$ \|error| | $0.0063 \leftarrow$ the whole |
| 3.1416 | 9.0000 | 0.5240 | $0.0160 \quad 0$ | $0.5240 \leftarrow$ near $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ | $0.0160 \leftarrow$ interval |

## One more example, without many details

We are still working in the vector space $C$ of continuous functions on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$.

Pink an $n$ and consider the subspace

$$
W=\operatorname{Span}\{1, \sin x, \sin 2 x, \ldots, \sin n x, \cos x, \ldots, \cos n x\}
$$

A function in $W$ is a linear combination of the basis elements:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (*) } \quad a_{0}+a_{1} \cos x+\ldots+a_{n} \cos n x+b_{1} \sin x+\ldots+b_{n} \sin n x \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

You can check that these functions form an orthogonal basis for $W$ :
For example, to show $\sin 2 x \perp \cos 3 x$ :

$$
<\sin 2 x, \cos 3 x>=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin 2 x)(\cos 3 x) d x
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Since } & \sin (\alpha+\beta) \\
\text { and } & \sin (\alpha-\beta) \\
\text { then } & =\sin \alpha \cos \beta+\cos \alpha \sin \beta \\
\sin (\alpha+\beta)+\sin (\alpha-\beta) & =2 \sin \alpha \cos \beta-\cos \alpha \sin \beta
\end{array}
$$

If we let $\alpha=2 x$ and $\beta=3 x$, we

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin (5 x)+\sin (-x) & & =2 \sin (2 x) \cos (3 x) \\
\text { so } \quad \frac{1}{2}(\sin (5 x)-\sin (x)) & & =\sin (2 x) \cos (3 x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(\sin 2 x)(\cos 3 x) d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin (5 x)-\sin (x) d x$

$$
=\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{5} \cos 5 x+\cos x d x\right)\right|_{-\pi} ^{\pi}=0
$$

If $f$ is any function in $C$, we can compute $\operatorname{proj}_{W} f=\widehat{f}=$ the function in $W$ closest to $f$, $\widehat{f}$ is a function that looks like $(*)$

For the denominators, we can calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <1,1>=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 1 \cdot 1 d x=2 \pi \\
& <\cos k x, \cos k x>=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos ^{2} k x d x=\pi \\
& <\sin k x, \sin k x>=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin ^{2} k x d x=\pi
\end{aligned}
$$

(why?)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{=}=\frac{\langle f(x), 1\rangle}{\langle 1,1\rangle} 1+\frac{\langle f(x), \cos x\rangle}{\langle\cos x, \cos x\rangle} \cos x+\ldots+\frac{\langle f(x), \cos n x\rangle}{\langle\cos n x, \cos n x\rangle} \cos n x \\
& +\frac{\langle f(x), \sin x\rangle}{\langle\sin x, \sin x\rangle} \sin x+\ldots+\frac{\langle f(x), \sin n x\rangle}{\langle\sin n x, \sin n x\rangle} \sin n x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{proj}_{W} f=\widehat{f}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot 1 d x\right) 1 \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot \cos x d x\right) \cos x+\ldots+\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot \cos n x d x\right) \cos n x \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot \sin x d x\right) \sin x+\ldots+\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot \sin n x d x\right) \sin n x \\
= & \frac{a_{0}}{2}+a_{1} \cos x+\ldots+a_{n} \cos n x+b_{1} \sin x+\ldots+b_{n} \sin n x
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\operatorname{proj}_{W} f=\widehat{f}=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \cos k x+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin k x$
where $a_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot 1 d x$ and $\begin{cases}a_{k}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot \cos k x d x\right) & \text { for } 1<k \leq n \\ b_{k}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cdot \sin n x d x\right) & \text { for } 1<k \leq n\end{cases}$

The coefficients used in writing $\operatorname{proj}_{W} f$ are called the Fourier coefficients of $f$ and the "trigonometric series" $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fourier approximation for $f$.

If $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left\|f-\operatorname{proj}_{W} f\right\| \rightarrow 0$, that is, the approximation error $\rightarrow 0$ (as measured by our distance function $\left\|f-\operatorname{proj}_{W} f\right\|$. (This is called "mean square convergence.")
"Mean square convergence" is not the same as saying:
for each $x \in[-\pi, \pi], \widehat{f}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ (this is called pointwise convergence) It's a much harder problem to determine for which $x$ 's this is true.

Pointwise convergence is true, for example, at any point $x$ where $f$ is differentiable.

