## Why is the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Part I, True?

The following is an informal argument about why the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Part I, is true. It states:

Suppose f is a continuous function and that F is defined by  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$  (where a is some constant). Then F'(x) = f(x).

Why? To compute F'(x), we go back to the definition of a derivative.

$$F'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\int_a^{x+h} f(t) \, dt - \int_a^x f(t) \, dt}{h}.$$

But the numerator  $\int_{a}^{x+h} f(t) dt - \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt = \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t) dt$ (because  $\int_{a}^{x+h} f(t) dt = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt + \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t) dt$ ).

Therefore



As  $h \to 0$ ,  $f(x+h) \to f(x)$  (because f is continuous) and therefore

Area under graph over  $[x, x + h] = \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt \rightarrow \text{area of rectangle} = h f(x).$ 

So 
$$F'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{hf(x)}{h} = f(x).$$
 (OVER)

Why is f required to be continuous?

If f is not continuous, the argument doesn't work: in the figure below, the region under the graph over  $[x, x + h] \underline{\text{doesn't}}$  come toward coinciding with the rectangle as  $h \to 0$ . Therefore replacing  $\int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$  with hf(x), as we did above, isn't justified.

