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#### Abstract

. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a non-constant map of smooth curves over $\mathbb{C}$ and if there is a degree two map $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ where $C$ is a smooth curve with genus less than that of $Y$, we show that for a general point $P \in Y, f^{-1}(P)$ does not move except possibly in one particular case. In particular, this implies that Prill's problem has an affirmative answer if $X$ as above is hyperelliptic or if $f$ is Galois.
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## §1. Introduction

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism of non-singular irreducible projective curves over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree $d$. Let $G$ (resp. $g$ ) denote the genus of $X$ (resp. $Y$ ). Further assume that $g \geq 2$. Then Prill's problem states that for a general point $P \in Y, f^{-1}(P)$ does not move. That is, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)=\mathbb{C}$ (See Arbarello et. al. pp268 [ACGH85]). Since Prill's problem has an affirmative solution if $f$ is cyclic (that is, $f$ is Galois with Galois group cyclic), which will be shown in Proposition 2 and is well known, we will assume that $d \geq 3$, noting that any degree two map is cyclic. One of the consequences stated in [ACGH85] is that if $f$ is as above and Galois and if Prill's problem is false for this $f$ then $h^{0}\left(X, f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)>2$. We will write down a proof of this for completeness in Proposition 3.

Recently, it has been shown by Biswas and Butler in [BB05] that Prill's problem has an affirmative answer if $X$ is hyperelliptic. Our theorem below is a generalization of theirs. Our methods are somewhat different from theirs and might be of independent interest.

[^0]Theorem 1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be as above. Assume that one has a degree two morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ where $C$ is a non-singular curve with genus $\rho<g$. Then either Prill's problem has an affirmative answer for $f$ or $f$ is etale, $g=2$ and $\rho=1$.

In particular, Prills' problem has an affirmative answer if either $X$ is hyperelliptic or if $f$ is Galois.

## §2. Preliminaries

Here we collect some results on Prill's problem, which are mostly well known. We fix our notation $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to be a finite map of degree $d$ with $G$ (resp. $g$ ) denoting the genus of $X$ (resp. $Y$ ). Also $g \geq 2$.

Proposition 2. Let $f$ be cyclic. Then Prill's problem has an affirmative answer.
Proof. If $f$ is cyclic, then $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is a direct sum of line bundles on $Y$, the eigenspaces for the cyclic group. Thus $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\oplus_{i=1}^{d} L_{i}$ and clearly we may assume that $L_{1}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \operatorname{deg} L_{i} \leq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Y, L_{i}\right)=0$ for $i>1$. Thus it suffices to prove that if $L_{2}, \ldots, L_{d}$ are a finite set of line bundles on $Y$ with $\operatorname{deg} L_{i} \leq 0$ with no sections, then for a general point $P \in Y$, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Y, L_{i}(P)\right)=0$ for $i \geq 2$. Thus it suffices to prove that for a single such line bundle $L=L_{i}$, the set $S$ of points $P$ with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(Y, L(P)) \neq 0$ is a finite set.

It is clear that if $\operatorname{deg} L<-1$, then $S$ is empty. So, we may assume that $\operatorname{deg} L=0$ or -1 . If it is -1 and if $P \in S$, we see that $L=\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-P)$. Then for any point $Q \neq P, L(Q)$ has no section since no two distinct points can be rationally equivalent. If $\operatorname{deg} L=0$ and $P \in S$, then $L=$ $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(Q-P)$ for some point $Q$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(L)=0, Q \neq P$. If $P \neq R \in S$, we see that there exists a point $R^{\prime}$ such that $Q+R \sim P+R^{\prime}$. This implies that $Y$ is hyperelliptic and if $\sigma$ is the hyperelliptic involution, $S$ consists of at most two points, $P, \sigma(Q)$.
Q.E.D.

The following is essentially the content of the exercise in [ACGH85].
Proposition 3. Let $f$ as above be Galois and assume that Prill's problem has a negative answer for $f$. Then for a general point $P \in Y$, $h^{0}\left(X, f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)>2$.
Proof. On the contrary, assume that $W_{P}=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)=2$ for a general point $P \in Y$. Let $G$ be the Galois group. Thus $G$ acts on $W_{P}$ and if for a general point $P$, the group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{P}\left(W_{P}\right)$ is not injective, then by continuity, there is a normal subgroup $H \subset G$ which acts trivially on $W_{P}$ for all $P$. If we consider the map $f^{\prime}: X / H \rightarrow Y$, we immediately see that for a general point $P \in Y, h^{0}\left(X / H, f^{\prime *}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)\right)=$
2. Since $f^{\prime}$ is Galois with Galois group $G / H$, we may replace $X$ by $X / H$ and thus assume to start with that the map $G \rightarrow$ Aut $\mathbb{P}\left(W_{P}\right)$ is injective for general $P \in Y$ and the map $f$ itself. But, the section corresponding to $f^{-1}(P)$ is fixed by $G$ and thus $G \subset$ Aut $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. We have an exact sequence of groups,

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow 1 .}
$$

Since $G$ is finite, this implies that $G$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ and hence cyclic. Now by Proposition 2 we are done.
Q.E.D.

The following has been proved in [BB05]. Our proof is somewhat different.
Proposition 4. If Prill's problem is false for $f$, then $f_{*} K_{X}$ is not generically globally generated. That is, the subsheaf of $f_{*} K_{X}$ generated by $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Y, f_{*} K_{X}\right)$ has rank less than d.

Proof. Suffices to show that for a general point $P \in Y$ the natural map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(f_{*} K_{X}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(f_{*} K_{X_{\mid P}}\right)$ is not onto. Since the latter is a vector space of dimension $d$ and the former is a vector space of dimension $G$, suffices to show that the kernel $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(f_{*} K_{X}(-P)\right)$ has dimension greater than $G-d$. By Serre duality, this is just the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, f^{*}(P)\right)$. By Riemann-Roch we have, $h^{1}\left(X, f^{*}(P)\right)=h^{0}\left(f^{*}(P)\right)-1+G-d$ and by hypothesis $h^{0}\left(f^{*}(P)\right)>1$.
Proposition 5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite map of non-singular curves. Assume that we have finite morphisms $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\psi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ where $Z$ is a non-singular curve. Further assume that $Z^{\prime}=Z \times_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} Y$ is irreducible and we have a morphism $\eta: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that the composite $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ is the natural projection $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$. Then Prill's problem has an affirmative answer for $f$.
Proof. If Prill's problem is false for $f$, clearly it is false for $f^{\prime}=f \circ \eta$, though $Z^{\prime}$ may be singular. If $p: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ and $q: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ denote the two projections, for any point $P \in Y$, we have, $p_{*} f^{\prime *}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)=$ $\psi^{*} \phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)$. If we write $\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)$ as a direct sum of line bundles $\oplus L_{i}, \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)=\mathbb{C}$ implies that one of the $L_{i}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$ and the others have negative degree. Buth then $\psi^{*} \phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)$ is a direct sum of one copy of $\mathcal{O}_{Z}$ and the rest of negative degree. Thus $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Z^{\prime}, f^{\prime *}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)\right)=$ $\mathbb{C}$.
Q.E.D.

## §3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Write $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{C} \oplus L$ where $L$ is a line bundle of degree $-m$ on $C$ with $m>0$. For a point $P \in Y$ we have $V_{P}=\pi_{*} f^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)$
a rank two vector bundle on $C$. Also we have the natural inclusion $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow V_{P}$ using the natural inclusion of $\mathcal{O}_{X} \subset f^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)\right)$. Since the cokernel of this map is a sky-scraper sheaf of length $d$, we see that $\operatorname{deg} V_{P}=-m+d$. We have $G=h^{1}\left(\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\rho+h^{1}(L)$. By RiemannRoch $h^{1}(L)=m+\rho-1$ and thus $G=2 \rho+m-1$. By Riemann-Hurwitz, we have

$$
2 \rho+m-2=G-1 \geq d(g-1)=(d-2)(g-1)+2 g-2
$$

and thus, $m \geq(d-2)(g-1)+2(g-\rho)$. Since $g>\rho$ and $g \geq 2$, this implies $m \geq d$.

We will separate the cases when $m=d$ and $m>d$. We see from the above that if $m=d$, then $g=2$ and $\rho=1$, since we have assumed that $d \geq 3$. Also the above inequality from Riemann-Hurwitz must be an equality. That is $f$ is etale.

So, now on we will assume that $m>d$. Then $\operatorname{deg} V_{P}<0$ for any $P \in Y$. Let $M$ be the saturation of $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ in $V_{P}$. We have then an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V_{P} \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ with $M, M^{\prime}$ line bundles on $C$ and since $\operatorname{deg} M \geq 0, \operatorname{deg} M^{\prime}<0$. In particular the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(V_{P}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$ is zero. So $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(V_{P}\right)$ and if Prill's problem is false for $f$ we have $h^{0}\left(V_{P}\right)>1$ for a general $P \in Y$. This implies that the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ in $M$ is strict.

Consider the map $X \times Y \xrightarrow{(\pi, I d)=\phi} C \times Y$. Let $\Gamma \subset X \times Y$ be the graph of $f$. Also let $p: C \times Y \rightarrow C$ and $q: C \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projections. We have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mid \Gamma} \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $D$ be the image of $\Gamma$ in $C \times Y$. Then we claim that the map $\Gamma \rightarrow D$ is birational. If not, since the composite $\Gamma \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{p} C$ is just $\pi$ which has degree two, we see that $D \rightarrow C$ must be birational. But $C$ is smooth and thus $D \rightarrow C$ must be an isomorphism. But, we have a morphism $D \xrightarrow{q} Y$ and thus we get a non-constant morphism from $C \rightarrow Y$. This is absurd since $\rho<g$. Taking direct images, we get an exact sequence,

$$
0 \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y} \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \phi_{*} \Gamma_{\mid \Gamma} \rightarrow 0
$$

Notice that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}(\Gamma)=E$ is a rank two vector bundle on $C \times Y$ since $\phi$ is a two to one map. Also $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}$ is just the pull back of $\mathcal{O}_{C} \oplus L$ by $p$. Identifying the pull back of $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{C \times Y}$ let us look at the inclusion of this sheaf in $E$ and let $F$ be the cokernel. I claim that $F$ has torsion. If it has no torsion, then it is a line bundle outside
a finite set of points and thus restricting to a general point $P \in Y$, we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow E_{\mid P}=V_{P} \rightarrow F_{\mid P} \rightarrow 0$. Since $F_{\mid P}$ is assumed to be a line bundle, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ is saturated in $V_{P}$, which we have seen is not the case. Thus we see that $F$ has torsion. Taking the inverse image of the torsion subsheaf of $F$ in $E$, we get an exact sequence, $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow E / A \rightarrow 0$ where $\mathcal{O}_{C \times Y} \subset A$ and this inclusion is strict and $E / A$ is torsion free. It is clear that the composite $p^{*} L \rightarrow E \rightarrow E / A$ is an injection. Thus we get an inclusion $A \oplus p^{*} L \subset E$ and let $B$ be its cokernel. We have a commutative diagram,

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C \times Y} \oplus p^{*} L & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & \phi_{*} \Gamma_{\mid \Gamma} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & A \oplus p^{*} L & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

Thus by snake lemma we get an exact sequence,

$$
0 \rightarrow A^{\prime} \rightarrow \phi_{*} \Gamma_{\mid \Gamma} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0
$$

where $A^{\prime}$ is the cokernel of $\mathcal{O}_{C \times Y} \subset A$. Since this inclusion is strict, we see that $A^{\prime} \neq 0$. Since $\phi_{*} \Gamma_{\mid \Gamma}$ is torsion free as an $\mathcal{O}_{D^{-}}$module we see that $A^{\prime}$ is supported on all of $D$. Since $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow D$ is birational we see that $\phi_{*} \Gamma_{\mid \Gamma}$ is a line bundle on $D$ for general points of $D$ and thus $A^{\prime}$ is a line bundle on $D$ at general points of $D$, since $D$ is a smooth curve generically and these two sheaves are equal at general points of $D$. This implies that $B$ is supported on a finite set of points of $D$. But, $B$ is the quotient of a rank two vector bundle by another rank two vector bundle on a smooth surface and thus for homological reasons, either support of $B$ is a divisor or empty. This implies that $B=0$. So, we get $A \oplus p^{*} L=E$. Restricting to a general point $P \in Y$ and calling the restriced bundle $A_{P}$ we see that $A_{P} \oplus L=V_{P}$. This implies that $\operatorname{deg} A_{P}=d$. But, then $\pi^{*} A_{P} \subset f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(P)$ and the first line bundle has degree $2 d$ and the latter $d$. This is clearly impossible.

If $f$ is Galois, then the only case left to prove is when $g=2, \rho=1$ and $f$ is etale. Then as we saw, $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus L$ with $\operatorname{deg} L=-d$. Thus $\operatorname{deg} V_{P}=0$ and $h^{0}\left(V_{P}\right)>1$ implies $V_{P}=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$. Thus $h^{0}\left(V_{P}\right)=2$ for a general point $P \in Y$. This contradicts Proposition 3. Q.E.D.
Corollary 6. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ has degree 3, then Prill's problem has an affirmative answer.

Proof. From Theorem 1, we may assume that $X$ is not hyperelliptic. Also note that by Riemann-Hurwitz, since $g \geq 2, G \geq 4$. The morphism $f$ induces a morphism $Y \rightarrow J^{3} X$ where $J^{3} X$ is the variety parametrizing line bundles of degree 3. Also, the image is contained in $W_{3}^{1}(X)$ if Prill's
problem had a negative answer for this $f$. Since $X$ is not hyperelliptic, by Martens Theorem [Mar67] (also see pp 191-2 [ACGH85]) we have $\operatorname{dim} W_{3}^{1}(X) \leq 0$. Thus image of $Y$ in $J^{3} X$ is constant. In other words, for any two points $P, Q \in Y, f^{*}(P) \sim f^{*}(Q)$. This is impossible since $g>0$.
Q.E.D.
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