## A GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF RINGS VIA AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

#### N. MOHAN KUMAR AND PRAMOD K. SHARMA

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. We define a graph  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  on R, with vertices elements of R, such that any two distinct vertices x,y are adjacent if and only if there exists  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} R$  such that  $\sigma(x) = y$ . The idea is to apply graph theory to study orbit spaces of rings under automorphisms. In this article, we define the notion of a ring of type n for  $n \geq 0$  and characterize all rings of type zero. We also characterize local rings (R, M) in which either the subset of units  $(\neq 1)$  is connected or the subset  $M - \{0\}$  is connected in  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$ .

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, all rings are commutative with identity. We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , the ring of integers modulo n, and by U(R), the group of units of a ring R. We will also use the notation  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to denote a field of q elements, where of course, q is the power of a prime.

In the last decade, study of rings using properties of graphs has attracted considerable attention. In [2], I. Beck defined a simple graph on a commutative ring R with vertices elements of R where two different vertices x and y in R are adjacent, by which we mean as usual that they are connected by an edge, if and only if xy = 0. In [6], the authors defined another graph on a ring R with vertices elements of R such that two different vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if Rx + Ry = R. In this article, we define another graph  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$  with vertices elements of R where two different vertices  $x, y \in \Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$  are adjacent if and only if  $\sigma(x) = y$  for some  $\sigma \in \text{Aut }R$ . It is proved that if  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$  is totally disconnected, which is equivalent to deg x being zero for all  $x \in R$ , then R is either  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$ . As usual, the degree of a vertex is the number of edges emanating from it. Further, we define the notion of rings of type n and study the structure of rings of type

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13M05.

Key words and phrases. Automorphisms of rings, Finite rings, Type of a ring. The first author was partially supported by a grant from NSA.

All correspondences will be handled by the second author.

at most one. We also characterize finite local rings (R, M) with either  $U(R) - \{1\}$  connected or  $M - \{0\}$  connected as subsets of  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$ .

In general, if for a ring R, H is a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut} R$ , then we can define a graph structure on R using H instead of  $\operatorname{Aut} R$ . We shall denote this graph by  $\Gamma_H(R)$ . We expect that this approach may be useful in the study of orbit space of R under  $\operatorname{Aut} R$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic notions from graph theory.

A simple graph  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a non-empty set V together with a set E of unordered pairs of distinct elements of V. The elements of V are called vertices and an element  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$  where  $u, v \in V$  is called an edge of  $\mathfrak{G}$  joining the vertices u and v. If  $\{u, v\} \in E$ , then u and v are called adjacent vertices. In this case u is adjacent to v and v is adjacent to u. We shall normally denote the graph just by  $\mathfrak{G}$  and call |V|, the cardinality of V, the order of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We shall sometimes write  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  for the order of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . For any vertex  $v \in \mathfrak{G}$ , degree of v, denoted by deg v, is the number of edges of  $\mathfrak{G}$  incident with v.

A subgraph of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a graph having all its vertices and edges in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . A graph  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called complete if any two vertices in  $\mathfrak{G}$  are adjacent. A clique of a graph is a maximal complete subgraph.

A graph  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called connected if for all distinct vertices  $x, y \in \mathfrak{G}$  there is a path from x to y. A graph  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called totally disconnected if there are no edges in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . That is, the edge set of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is empty.

For a ring R, Aut R operates in a natural way on R. If  $S \subset \Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  is connected, then for any  $a,b \in S$ , there is  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} R$  such that  $\sigma(a) = b$ . For any  $x \in R$ , we denote by O(x) the orbit of x under the action of Aut R. In fact O(x) is the clique of  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  containing x. Moreover, any clique of  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  is of the form O(x) for some  $x \in R$ .

Let K/k be a field extension. Then for any subgroup H of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$ ,  $k \subset \Gamma_H(K)$  is totally disconnected if and only if  $H \subset \operatorname{Aut}_k(K)$ .

We record some elementary results.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let R be an integral domain and  $G = \operatorname{Aut} R$ . For any  $\lambda \in R - R^G$ ,  $\lambda$  is integral over  $R^G$  if and only if the clique of  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  containing  $\lambda$  is finite.

The proof is standard.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let R be a Noetherian integral domain such that  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$  has a finite number of cliques. Then R is a finite field.

*Proof.* The proof follows from [5, Corollary 16].

Next we define the notion of type of a ring R.

**Definition 1.** A ring R is called of type n if for all  $x \in \Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$ ,  $\deg x \leq n$ , and there exists at least one  $y \in \Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  such that  $\deg y = n$ .

Remark 1. Assume that the ring R is a direct product of rings A and B. If R is of type n, then A and B are of type n.

Example 1. For any prime p,  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[X]/(X^2)$  is a ring of type p-2. This can be seen as follows. Let us denote by x the image of X in R. If  $\psi$  is an automorphism of R, then  $\psi(x) = ax$  for some  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and conversely, given such an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we can define an automorphism of R by sending  $x \mapsto ax$ . Then, it is clear that Aut R has order p-1. Therefore, for any  $y \in R$ , we have  $\deg y = |O(y)| - 1 \leq p - 2$ . On the other hand, |O(x)| = p - 1 and thus we see that R is of type p-2.

Example 2. Let n > 1 be an odd integer. Then the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_n[X]/(X^2)$  is of type  $\varphi(n) - 1$ , where  $\varphi(n)$  denotes the Euler phi function.

As before, let us denote by x the image of X in R. Any element in R can be uniquley written as ax+b with  $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Let  $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} R$ . Notice that  $\psi(a) = a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Then  $\psi(x) = ax + b$  for some  $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Since  $\psi$  is an automorphism, there exists an element  $px + q \in R$  with  $p,q \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that

$$x = \psi(px + q) = p\psi(x) + q = pax + pb + q.$$

Thus we get pa = 1 and so a must be a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Further, if  $\psi(x) = ax + b$ , with  $a \in U(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ , we must also have,

$$0 = \psi(x^2) = (ax+b)^2 = 2abx + b^2$$

and hence 2ab=0. Since n is odd and a is a unit, we have b=0. So, any automorphism  $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} R$  must have,  $\psi(x)=ax$  for some unit  $a \in R$ . It is easy to see that any such map is indeed an automorphism. Thus we see that  $\operatorname{Aut} R \cong U(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ , which has order  $\varphi(n)$ . Thus, as before, we get that  $|O(y)| \leq \varphi(n)$  for all  $y \in R$  and since  $|O(x)| = \varphi(n)$ , we see that R is of type  $\varphi(n) - 1$ .

Example 3. Let Let p be a prime and  $n \ge 1$  be any integer. Then for the direct product ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  (k-times), where  $k < p^n$ , Aut  $R = S_k$ , the symmetric group on k symbols. Thus R is of type k! - 1.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let (R, M) be a finite local ring which is not a field, such that  $\deg x \leq 1$  for all  $x \in M$ . Then  $\operatorname{Aut} R$  is an Abelian group of order  $2^m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$ . By assumption,  $\deg x \leq 1$ . Hence for any  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} R$ , x,  $\sigma(x)$ ,  $\sigma^2(x)$  are not all distinct. Thus  $\sigma^2(x) = x$  for all  $x \in M$ . Thus  $\sigma^2 = \operatorname{id}$  on M. Hence by [4, Theorem 2.5],  $\sigma^2 = \operatorname{id}$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Aut} R$  is Abelian of order  $2^m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ .

Example 4. Let (R, M) be a finite local ring which is not a field such that deg  $x \le n$  for all  $x \in M$ . Then for every  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} R$ , order of  $\sigma$  is  $\le (n+1)!$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let K be a perfect field of characteristic p > 0. Then K is of type n, if and only if  $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}}$ .

*Proof.* As K is of type n, order of any  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$  is at most (n+1)! and in particular, the Fröbenius automorphism  $\tau$  of K has finite order. If order of  $\tau$  is m, then  $x^{p^m} = x$  for all  $x \in K$ . Hence K is a finite field. As K is of type n, it is clear that  $K = \mathbb{F}^{p^{n+1}}$ . The converse is obvious.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let K be a field. Then K is perfect of characteristic p > 0 and is of type  $n < \infty$  if and only if  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} K}(K)$  has finite number of cliques.

*Proof.* The proof is immediate from Theorem 2.4 and [3, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $R = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_m$ , where  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  are local rings. Then

- (1) If  $A_i$  is not isomorphic to  $A_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ , Aut R is isomorphic to  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \operatorname{Aut} A_i$ .
- (2) If m > 1, and  $A_i$  is isomorphic to  $A_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , Then Aut  $R \neq \text{id}$ . Further, if Aut R is finite then it is of even order.

*Proof.* (1) Local rings have no non-trivial idempotents. Hence any idempotent of R is of the form  $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$  where  $a_i = 0$  or  $a_i = 1$  for each i. Denote by  $e_i$  the element

$$e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in R \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

where 1 is the identity in  $A_i$  and is at the ith place. Then  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  are m pairwise orthogonal idempotents in R such that  $e_1+e_2+\cdots+e_m=1$ . For any  $\sigma\in \operatorname{Aut} R$ ,  $1=\sigma(e_1)+\cdots+\sigma(e_m)$  and  $\sigma(e_1),\ldots,\sigma(e_m)$  are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in R. Thus  $\sigma(e_i)=e_j$  for some j, and hence

$$\sigma(A_i) = \sigma(Re_i) = Re_j = A_j.$$

As  $A_i$  is not isomorphic to  $A_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , we conclude that  $\sigma(e_i) = e_i$  for all i. Therefore the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $A_i$  is an automorphism of  $A_i$ . This proves the first assertion.

(2) Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $A_1$  is isomorphic to  $A_2$ . In fact, we can take  $A_1 = A_2$ . Then the map  $\tau : R \longrightarrow R$  given by

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \longmapsto (a_2, a_1, \dots, a_m)$$

is a non identity automorphism of R such that  $\tau^2=1$ . Hence the second assertion follows.

Remark 2. (1) If Aut R is of odd order, then  $A_i$  is not isomorphic to  $A_i$  for  $i \neq j$ .

(2) The Theorem is valid even if we assume that  $A_i$  has no non-trivial idempotent for any i, instead of assuming  $A_i$  to be local.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let R, S be two local rings such that R is not isomorphic to S. Assume that for  $a \in R$  and  $b \in S$ , we have  $\deg a = m$ , and  $\deg b = n$ . Then for the element  $(a, b) \in R \times S$ ,

$$\deg(a, b) = (\deg a + 1)(\deg b + 1) - 1.$$

*Proof.* By the Theorem,  $\operatorname{Aut}(R \times S)$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Aut}R \times \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ . Therefore, it is immediate that

$$\deg(a, b) = (\deg a + 1)(\deg b + 1) - 1$$

**Corollary 2.8.** Let R be a local ring of type m and S be a local ring of type n,  $m \neq n$ . Then  $R \times S$  is of type (m+1)(n+1) - 1.

*Proof.* The result is immediate from Corollary 2.7.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Rings with $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$ totally disconnected

Let R be a finite ring. In this section, we shall study the structure of R with  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  totally disconnected. Observe that  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  is totally disconnected if and only if  $\operatorname{Aut} R = \operatorname{id}$ . By [1, Theorem 8.7], any finite ring R is a direct product of finite local rings uniquely. As  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  is totally disconnected, each of the factor local ring has trivial automorphism groups. Therefore we will study structure of R when R is local with  $\operatorname{Aut} R = \operatorname{id}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (R, M) be a finite local ring such that  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$  is totally disconnected. Then R is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$  where p is a prime.

*Proof.* As  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  is totally disconnected, Aut  $R = \operatorname{id}$ . Since R is a finite local ring, its characteristic is  $p^{\alpha}$  for some prime p. Then  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}} \subset R$ . Thus the characteristic of R/M is p.

If  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ , we have nothing to prove. So we assume that  $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ . The structure of the proof is as follows.

- (1) We first show that there is a subring  $B \subset R$  of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T))$  where f(T) is a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]$  such that the induced map  $B \to R/M$  is onto.
- (2) If B = R then we show that R has non-trivial automorphisms contradiciting our hypothesis.
- (3) If  $B \neq R$ , we choose a maximal subring  $B \subset A \subsetneq R$  and show that R has non-trivial automorphisms over A, again contradicting our hypothesis, except when p = 2 and the only exception being when  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$ .

We show that there is a subring  $B \subset R$  of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[a]$  such that the natural map  $B \to R/M$  is onto. If  $R/M = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we may take  $B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ . So, let us assume that  $R/M \neq \mathbb{Z}_p$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a perfect field and R/M is a finite separable extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , R/M is a simple field extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and thus  $R/M = \mathbb{Z}_p[\overline{x}]$  for some element  $0 \neq \overline{x} \in R/M$ . Let  $f_1(T)$  be the irreducible polynomial of  $\overline{x}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Choose  $f(T) \in R[T]$ , a monic polynomial, such that  $f_1(T)$  is the image of f(T) in R/M[T]. Since  $\overline{x}$  is separable over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , by Hensel's Lemma, there exists a lift  $a \in R$  of  $\overline{x}$  such that f(a) = 0. Denote by B the subring  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[a]$  of R. It is clear that the natural map  $B \to R/M$  is onto.

Next We claim that  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T))$  is isomorphic to B. Consider the natural  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ - epimorphism:

$$\theta: \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T] \longrightarrow B, \quad T \mapsto a.$$

Then, clearly  $f(T) \in \text{Ker } \theta$ . Hence  $\theta$  induces an epimorphism:

$$\overline{\theta}: \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T)) \longrightarrow B.$$

Notice that, as  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T))$  is a field,  $\overline{p}$ , the image of p in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ , generates the unique maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T))$ . Consequently, every ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T))$  is generated by a power of  $\overline{p}$ . In particular so is  $\operatorname{Ker} \overline{\theta}$  and so let this ideal be  $(\overline{p}^k)$  for some integer k. Then  $\overline{\theta}(\overline{p}^k) = \overline{p}^k = 0$  in R. This implies  $k = \alpha$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Ker} \overline{\theta} = 0$ . Hence  $\overline{\theta}$  is an isomorphism proving our claim.

We, now, consider the case B = R. In this case R is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T))$  and since we have assumed that  $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ , we see that the monic polynomial f has degree greater than one. Its image  $f_1(T)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  is an irreducible polynomial. Consider the Fröbenius

automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T)) = R/M$ . Since deg  $f_1(T) > 1$  the automorphism  $\tau$  can not be identity.

For any automorphism  $\beta$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T))$ , the composite map

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[T] \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T)) \stackrel{\beta}{\cong} \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T))$$

is onto and if  $\beta \circ \pi(T) = u$ , then  $f_1(u) = 0$ . We know that  $f_1(T)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence u is a simple root of  $f_1(T)$ . We have  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[X] \subset R[X]$ , and its image is  $f_1(X)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[X] \subset R/M[X]$ . As seen above, by Hensel's Lemma, there exists a lift  $a \in R$  of u such that f(a) = 0. Then consider the homomorphism:

$$\psi: \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T] \to R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T)) \quad T \mapsto a$$

Since f(a) = 0, this map induces an endomorphism

$$\overline{\psi}: R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T)) \longrightarrow R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T))$$

and the diagram:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T)) \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}[T]/(f(T))$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p}[T]/(f_{1}(T)) \stackrel{\beta}{\cong} \mathbb{Z}_{p}[T]/(f_{1}(T))$$

is commutative. As  $\beta$  is obtained from  $\overline{\psi}$  after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ ,  $\overline{\psi}$  is onto. Hence, as R is finite,  $\overline{\psi}$  is an automorphism. Finally, taking  $\beta = \tau$  and since  $\tau \neq \mathrm{id}$ ,  $\overline{\psi} \neq \mathrm{id}$ . Thus we arrive at a contradiction to our hypothesis that Aut R is trivial, in this case.

Lastly, we look at the case when  $B \neq R$ . Then we may choose a subring A of R, with  $B \subset A$ ,  $A \neq R$  and maximal with respect to this property. Then A is a local ring with maximal ideal  $M_A = M \cap A$ , and  $R = A[\lambda]$  for every  $\lambda \in R - A$ .

Since B maps onto R/M, so does A. If  $M \subset A$ , and in particular, if  $M = M_A$ , then this would force A = R, which is not the case. So,  $M \neq M_A$ .

Since R is a finiteley generated module over A, by Nakayama's lemma, we also have  $M_AR + A \neq R$ . But,  $A \subset M_AR + A \subsetneq R$  and  $M_AR + A$  is naturally a subring of R and thus by maximality, we must have  $A = M_AR + A$  and thus  $M_AR \subset A$ . Since  $1 \notin M_AR$ , and  $M_A \subset M_AR \subsetneq A$ , we see that  $M_AR = M_A$ . So, we have shown,

$$M_A R = M_A \subset M \tag{1}$$

Choose  $\lambda \in M - M_A$  such that  $\lambda^2 \in A$ . This can always be done as elements of M are nilpotent. Thus  $R = A[\lambda]$  where  $\lambda \in R - A$  and

 $\lambda^2 \in A$  and in fact in  $M_A$ . Now, consider the A-algebra epimorphism:

$$\psi: A[T] \longrightarrow R, \quad T \mapsto \lambda.$$

One clearly has  $\psi(T^2 - \lambda^2) = 0$ . Similarly, for any element  $a \in M_A$ ,  $a\lambda \in M_A$  by equation (1) above. Thus we see that,

$$\operatorname{Ker} \psi \supset (T^2 - \lambda^2, aT - a\lambda) = J$$

where a runs through elements of  $M_A$ .

We claim that the above inclusion is an equality. If  $f(T) \in \operatorname{Ker} \psi$ , then, we can write

$$f(T) = (T^2 - \lambda^2)g(T) + aT - b$$

where g(T),  $aT - b \in A[T]$ . By assumption,

$$0 = f(\lambda) = a\lambda - b.$$

This forces a to be in  $M_A$ , since otherwise a is a unit, and in that case  $\lambda = a^{-1}(a\lambda) = a^{-1}b \in A$  contradicting our choice of  $\lambda$ . Thus  $aT - b = aT - a\lambda \in J$  establishing our claim. Thus we have,

$$\overline{\psi}: A[T]/J \simeq R.$$

Let **a** be the socle of A. If  $\mathbf{a} = A$ , then A is a field. From the above isomorphism, we have  $R = A[T]/(T^2)$  since  $\lambda^2 \in M_A = 0$  and aT - b = 0 since  $a, b \in M_A = 0$  and thus  $J = (T^2)$ . If  $u \in A$  is a unit, then  $T \mapsto uT$  gives an automorphism of R and it is non-trivial if  $u \neq 1$ . So, we may assume that 1 is the only unit in A and then  $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , leading us to the exception mentioned in the theorem.

So, from now on, let us assume that  $\mathbf{a} \subset M_A$ . Now, we show that R has a non-trivial automorphism as A-algebras, proving the theorem.

Define an ideal I of A by,

$$I = (0 : \lambda)_A = \{x \in A \mid x\lambda = 0\}.$$

Since  $\lambda \neq 0$  clearly  $I \neq A$  and hence  $I \subset M_A$ . We look at two cases, either **a** is contained in I or not. First we consider the case when  $\mathbf{a} \subset I$ . Let  $0 \neq v \in \mathbf{a}$  and consider the A-algebra automorphism,

$$\alpha: A[T] \to A[T], \quad T \mapsto T + v.$$

We want to show that  $\alpha$  respects the ideal J. We have,

$$\begin{split} \alpha(T^2 - \lambda^2) &= (T + v)^2 - \lambda^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2vT + v^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + (2vT - 2v\lambda) + 2v\lambda + v^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + (2vT - 2v\lambda) \end{split}$$

since  $v^2 = 0$  because  $v \in \mathbf{a} \subset M_A$  and  $2v\lambda = 0$  since  $v \in I$ . Thus  $\alpha(T^2 - \lambda^2) \in J$ . Similarly, for  $a \in M_A$ ,

$$\alpha(aT - a\lambda) = a(T + v) - a\lambda = aT - a\lambda + av = aT - a\lambda$$

since av = 0. Thus,  $\alpha(aT - a\lambda) \in J$ . So, we get an induced surjective A-algebra homomorphism,

$$\overline{\alpha}: R = A[T]/J \to A[T]/J = R,$$

which then must be an automorphism. Since  $T \mapsto T + v$  and  $v \neq 0$ , this is a non-trivial automorphism.

Lastly, we consider the case when the socle is not contained in I, but the socle is contained in  $M_A$ . Then choose an element v in the socle not contained in I. Consider the A-algebra automorphism

$$\beta: A[T] \to A[T], \quad T \mapsto (1+v)T.$$

As before, we proceed to check that this map respects the ideal J.

$$\beta(T^2 - \lambda^2) = (1+v)^2 T^2 - \lambda^2$$

$$= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2vT^2 + v^2 T^2$$

$$= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2v(T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2v\lambda^2 + v^2 T^2$$

$$= (1+2v)(T^2 - \lambda^2)$$

since  $v^2 = 0$  and  $v\lambda^2 = 0$  by virtue of the fact that v is in the socle as well as in  $M_A$  and  $\lambda^2 \in M_A$ . So,  $\beta(T^2 - \lambda^2) \in J$ .

Similarly, for any  $a \in M_A$  one has,

$$\beta(aT - a\lambda) = a(1+v)T - a\lambda = (aT - a\lambda) + avT = aT - a\lambda.$$

since av = 0. Thus  $\beta(aT - a\lambda) \in J$ . So, we get an induced A-algebra surjection,

$$\overline{\beta}:R\to R,$$

which is an isomorphism. Further, since  $\overline{\beta}(\lambda) = \lambda + v\lambda$  and  $v\lambda \neq 0$  since  $v \notin I$ , this is a non-trivial automorphism.

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 3.2. Let R be a finite ring such that  $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$  is totally disconnected. Then R is a finite product of rings of the type  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$ .

*Proof.* Since R is a finite ring, by [1, Theorem 8.7], R is a finite product of local rings. Further, as  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$  is totally disconnected, Aut R = id. Hence each of the local ring in the decomposition of R has automorphism group trivial. Therefore the result follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$ 

Remark 3. Let (R, M) be finite local ring with characteristic of R/M = p. If  $[R/M : \mathbb{F}_p] > 2$ , then R is of type at least 2. This can be deduced from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

# 4. Some connected subsets of $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Aut} R}(R)$

In this section, we study the structure of a finite local ring R for which certain subsets of  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$  are connected.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let (R, M) be a finite local ring and U(R) be the set of units of R. If  $U(R) - \{1\}$  is a connected subset of  $\Gamma_{\text{Aut }R}(R)$ , then R is one of the following.

- (1)  $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4$  or  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$  where I is the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  generated by  $\{X_iX_j|1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $U(R) = \{1\}$ , then M = 0 since 1 + x is a unit for all  $x \in M$ . Therefore, in this case,  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Now assume  $U(R) - \{1\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $p^n$  be the characteristic of R so that  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \subset R$ . The number of units in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  is  $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ . For any  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} R$ ,  $\sigma$  is identity on  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ . Thus all elements of  $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \subset U(R)$  have orbits consisting of just one element. If  $U(R) - \{1\}$  is connected, it follows that the cardinality of  $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$  can not be greater than two. Thus  $p^{n-1}(p-1) \leq 2$ . We deduce that either p=2, n=1, 2 or p=3, n=1.

If  $M \supseteq \overline{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , then for any  $x \in M$ , with  $x \notin \overline{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , 1 + x is a unit not in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ . Therefore, in the cases p = 2, n = 2 or p = 3, n = 1, one sees that  $U(R) - \{1\}$  is not connected. Consequently  $M = \overline{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , if p = 2, n = 2 or p = 3, n = 1.

Let us first look at the cases, p = 2, n = 2 and p = 3, n = 1. In these cases,  $M = \overline{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  from above. The set  $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) - \{1\}$  has exactly one element and it is invariant under all automorphisms of R. Thus, this single element set is a connected component of  $U(R) - \{1\}$ , and since this set is assumed to be connected, we see that  $U(R) - \{1\} = U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) - \{1\}$ . This implies  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} - \overline{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = R - M$ , Thus  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  proving the theorem in these cases.

We are left with the last case, when p=2 and n=1. In this case  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subset R$ . If R is a field, then  $R=\mathbb{F}_q$  where  $q=2^s$ . The automorphism group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has order s and thus the orbits have cardinality at most s. Since the cardinality of  $U(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is q-1, we get that  $2^s-2=q-2\leq s$ . One easily sees that this implies  $s\leq 2$ . Since we are assuming that  $U(R)-\{1\}\neq\emptyset$ , this forces s=2 and  $R=\mathbb{F}_4$ . One easily checks that in this case,  $U(R)-\{1\}$  is connected.

Finally we may assume that  $M \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in M$ . If  $u \neq 1$  is any unit, then the connectedness of  $U(R) - \{1\}$  implies that there exists a  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} R$  such that  $\sigma(1+x) = u$  and hence  $u \equiv 1 \mod M$ . This implies  $R/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Next we show that  $M^2 = 0$ . For this it suffices to show that for any  $x \in M - M^2$  and any  $y \in M$ , xy = 0. If  $xy \neq 0$ , then there exists an automorphism  $\tau$  of R so that  $\tau(1+x) = 1 + xy$  which implies that  $\tau(x) = xy$ . But, then  $\tau(x) \in M - M^2$  and  $xy \in M^2$ , which is a contradiction. So  $M^2 = 0$ .

Now, let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  be a minimal set of generators for M. Then consider the surjective homomorphism

$$f: \mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \cdots, X_m] \to R, \quad X_i \longmapsto a_i$$

As  $M^2=0$ ,  $|M|=2^m$ , since  $m=\dim_{R/M}M/M^2$  and  $R/M=\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore  $|R|=2|M|=2^{m+1}$  and  $\operatorname{Ker} f=I$  is the ideal generated by  $X_iX_j$  with  $1\leq i,j\leq m$ . As  $|\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1,\cdots,X_m]/I|=2^{m+1}$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1,\cdots,X_m]/I$  is isomorphic to R. It is easy to see that in this case,  $U(R)-\{1\}$  is indeed connected.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let (R, M) be a finite local ring with characteristic  $p^n$ . If  $M - \{0\}$  is connected, then  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$  or  $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$  where  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field with q elements and I is the ideal generated by elements of the form  $X_iX_j$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . By convention, we will include the case  $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ , when m = 0.

*Proof.* If  $M - \{0\} = \emptyset$ , then R is a field and hence  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for some q. So, let us assume that  $M \neq 0$ .

As characteristic of R is  $p^n$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \subset R$ . Exactly as in Theorem 4.1, we can see that  $M^2 = 0$ . Now, note that  $M \cap \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = (\overline{p})$ . Hence  $n \leq 2$ .

First we consider the case n=2. In this case, if p>2, then for any 1< u< p, the two elements  $u\overline{p}, \overline{p}$  are distinct non-zero elements of M and for any  $\sigma\in \operatorname{Aut} R$ ,  $\sigma(\overline{p})=\overline{p}$  and  $\sigma(u\overline{p})=u\overline{p}$ . This contradicts the fact  $M-\{0\}$  is connected. Hence p=2. In this case  $M=\{\overline{2},0\}$  since  $\sigma(\overline{2})=\overline{2}$  for any automorphism  $\sigma$  of R and  $M-\{0\}$  is connected. If  $R\neq \mathbb{Z}_4$ , then choose  $\lambda\in R-\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Clearly  $\lambda\notin M$  and hence is a unit. Now, note that  $\overline{2}$  and  $\lambda\overline{2}$  are in in  $M=\{\overline{2},0\}$ . Therefore  $\lambda\overline{2}=\overline{2}$  and hence  $(\lambda-1)\overline{2}=0$ . Since  $\overline{2}\neq 0$ , this implies that  $\lambda-1\in M$  and and since  $M\subset \mathbb{Z}_4$ , we see that  $\lambda\in \mathbb{Z}_4$ , contradicting our choice of  $\lambda$ . Thus, in this case  $R=\mathbb{Z}_4$ .

In the last case of n=1, we have  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset R$ . So  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset R/M$  is a finite separable extension and so as in Theorem 3.1, there exists a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q \subset R$  such that  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is isomorphic to R/M. Now, let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  be a minimal set of generators for M. Then consider as before the surjective

map

$$f: \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \cdots, X_m] \to R, \quad X_i \longmapsto a_i$$

Again Ker f is the ideal I generated by elements of the form  $X_iX_j$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Note that, as seen above,  $m = \dim_{R/M} M$ . Thus  $|R| = q^{m+1}$  and similarly  $|\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \cdots, X_m]/I| = q^{m+1}$ . Consequently f is an isomorphism. Hence the proof is complete.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let K/E be a field extension, and let  $\operatorname{Aut}_E K = H$ . Assume  $K - E \subset \Gamma_H(K)$  is connected. Then either K/E is algebraic or all elements of K - E are transcendental over E. Moreover,  $K^H = E$ . Further, if K/E is algebraic and not equal, then  $E = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $K = \mathbb{F}_4$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in K - E$  be two distinct elements such that a is algebraic over E. Since  $K - E \subset \Gamma_H(K)$  is connected, there exists  $\sigma \in H$  such that  $\sigma(a) = b$ . Therefore b is also algebraic over E. This proves the first part of the statement.

Next, note that  $E \subset K^H$ . Then, as  $K - E \subset \Gamma_H(K)$  is connected, it is clear that  $K^H - E = \emptyset$ , or in other words  $K^H = E$ .

Now, let K/E be algebraic. We shall consider the cases of K being infinite or finite separately.

First consider the case when K is infinite. If  $K-E \neq \emptyset$ , let  $\lambda \in K-E$ . Let p(T) be the irreducible polynomial of  $\lambda$  over E. Then for any  $\sigma \in H$ ,  $\sigma(\lambda)$  must be a root of p(T) and in particular the orbit of  $\lambda$  is finite. Since K-E is connected, this means that K-E is the orbit of  $\lambda$  and thus K-E is a finite set. Thus, K is a finite dimensional vector space over E and so E must be infinite too. For any  $0 \neq a \in E$ ,  $a\lambda \in K-E$  and these are distinct. So, K-E is infinite, which is a contradiction. So, K can not be infinite.

Next, let us consider the case when K is finite. Let  $E = \mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $|K:\mathbb{F}_q| = t > 1$ . Then H is a cyclic group of order t generated by an appropriate power of the Frobenius. For any  $\lambda \in K - E$ , the cardinality of the orbit of  $\lambda$  is therefore at most t. Since K - E is connected, we have  $|K - E| \le t$ . On the other hand,  $|K - E| = q^t - q$  and thus we get  $q^t - q \le t$ . It is easy to check that this can happen only when q = 2 and t = 2. This proves the theorem.

If  $E = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $K = \mathbb{F}_4$ , then it is trivial to check that  $\mathbb{F}_4 - \mathbb{F}_2$  is indeed connected.

Let K/k be a field extension where K and k are algebraically closed. Let  $H = \operatorname{Aut}_k(K)$ . Then, it is easy to check that  $K - k \subset \Gamma_H(K)$  is connected. We, now, ask the converse:

Question 1. Let k be an algebraically closed field and let K/k be a field extension with  $H = \operatorname{Aut}_k(K)$ . Assume  $K - k \subset \Gamma_H(K)$  is connected. Is K algebraically closed?

This question is a slight variant of part of Conjecture 2.1 in [3].

### REFERENCES

- M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, Introduction to commutative algebra, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969. MR MR0242802 (39 #4129)
- [2] István Beck, Coloring of commutative rings, J. Algebra 116 (1988), no. 1, 208–226. MR MR944156 (89i:13006)
- [3] Kiran S. Kedlaya and Bjorn Poonen, Orbits of automorphism groups of fields, J. Algebra 293 (2005), no. 1, 167–184. MR MR2173971 (2006h:12006)
- [4] Pramod K. Sharma, A note on automorphisms of local rings, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), no. 8, 3743–3747. MR MR1922308 (2003g:13027)
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Orbits of automorphisms of integral domains, Ill. Jour. Math.  $\bf 30$  (2009), 645–652.
- [6] Pramod K. Sharma and S. M. Bhatwadekar, A note on graphical representation of rings, J. Algebra 176 (1995), no. 1, 124–127. MR MR1345297 (96f:05079)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN St. LOUIS, St. Louis, Missouri, 63130, U.S.A.

E-mail address: kumar@wustl.edu

URL: http://www.math.wustl.edu/~kumar

School Of Mathematics, Vigyan Bhawan, Khandwa Road, INDORE–452 001, INDIA.

E-mail address: pksharma1944@yahoo.com