

## Lecture 26: Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Just as it is useful to write vectors  $\vec{v} \in V$  in terms of their coordinates with respect to a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$  of  $V$ , viz.

$$[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if} \quad \vec{v} = a_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n \vec{b}_n,$$

it is also computationally convenient to express linear transformations in terms of their matrices of coefficients with respect to two bases (one for the domain & one for the codomain). (What does this have to do with "eigenstuff"? You'll see!)

Namely, given a linear transformation

$$T: V \rightarrow W$$

with bases  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$  for  $V$  &  $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_m\}$  for  $W$ , we write

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & \dots & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{m1} & \dots & d_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if} \quad T(\vec{b}_j) = d_{1j} \vec{c}_1 + \dots + d_{mj} \vec{c}_m$$

(for each  $j=1, \dots, n$ ).

Since  $[T(\vec{b}_j)]_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{mj} \end{pmatrix}$ , we can rewrite this matrix as

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ [T(\vec{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} & \cdots & [T(\vec{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this form clearly

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} [{}_{\mathcal{B}}\vec{b}_j]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ [T(\vec{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} & \cdots & [T(\vec{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow \\ [T(\vec{b}_j)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow \end{pmatrix},$$

and so by extending linearly to combinations of the  $\{\vec{b}_j\}$ ,

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} [{}_{\mathcal{B}}\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T(\vec{v})]_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

**Ex 1/** Let  $V = \text{span} \underbrace{\{\cos(t), \sin(t), t\cos(t), t\sin(t)\}}_{\mathcal{B}} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$T: V \rightarrow P_4 (= \text{span} \{1, t, t^2, t^3, t^4\})$$

to take the 4<sup>th</sup>-order Taylor polynomial about 0:

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\underbrace{\cos(t)}_{b_1}) &= 1 - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^4}{24} \\
 T(\underbrace{\sin(t)}_{b_2}) &= t - \frac{t^3}{6} \\
 T(\underbrace{t\cos(t)}_{b_3}) &= t - \frac{t^3}{2} \\
 T(\underbrace{t\sin(t)}_{b_4}) &= t^2 - \frac{t^4}{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$${}_C[T]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{24} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

A special case of the above is

$$T: V \rightarrow V$$

where  $B = C$ . We will write  $[T]_B$  instead of  ${}_C[T]_B$  in this case.

**Ex 2/**  $T = \frac{d}{dt}$  on  $V = P_3 =$  polynomials of degree  $\leq 3$ ;

that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}: P_3 \rightarrow P_3.$$

It sends  $1 \mapsto 0$ ,  $t \mapsto 1$ ,  $t^2 \mapsto 2t$ ,  $t^3 \mapsto 3t^2$ . So

in terms of  $B = \{1, t, t^2, t^3\}$ , we have

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \left[\frac{d}{dt}1\right]_{\mathcal{B}} & \left[\frac{d}{dt}t\right]_{\mathcal{B}} & \left[\frac{d}{dt}t^2\right]_{\mathcal{B}} & \left[\frac{d}{dt}t^3\right]_{\mathcal{B}} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} //$$

Now let's specialize further: to  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the transformation given by  $\vec{v} \mapsto A\vec{v}$  for some  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ .

(Clearly,  $A = [T]_{\mathcal{E}}$  ( $\mathcal{E}$  = standard basis). How do we find  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$  for some other basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ?

(Remember, we must have  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T(\vec{v})]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .)

Theorem:  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A P_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

Proof:  $P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A P_{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A \vec{v} = P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} T(\vec{v})$   
 $= [T(\vec{v})]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .  $\square$

Ex 3 / Find the  $\mathcal{B}$ -matrix of the transformation

$$\vec{x} \xrightarrow{T} A\vec{x},$$

where  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$P_B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P_B^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{So } [T]_B = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So why is this useful?

Ex 4 / Find a matrix for rotating  $\mathbb{R}^3$   $90^\circ$  about (the axis spanned by)  $\vec{b}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , given that  $\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\vec{b}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  are perpendicular (their dot products  $\vec{b}_1 \cdot \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_1 \cdot \vec{b}_3, \vec{b}_2 \cdot \vec{b}_3$  are all 0), and  $\vec{b}_2$  &  $\vec{b}_3$  are the same length.

The rotation must have  $T(\vec{b}_1) = \vec{b}_1, T(\vec{b}_2) = \vec{b}_3, T(\vec{b}_3) = -\vec{b}_2$ .

$$\text{So } [T]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$A = P_B [T]_B P_B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 & 8 \\ 8 & 4 & 1 \\ -4 & 7 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ — not a matrix you would have guessed!}$$

Why is this relevant to eigenvectors & eigenvalues?

If  $B$  is an eigenbasis, say in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$T(\vec{b}_1) = \lambda_1 \vec{b}_1, T(\vec{b}_2) = \lambda_2 \vec{b}_2, T(\vec{b}_3) = \lambda_3 \vec{b}_3 \implies$$

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } \underline{\underline{\text{diagonal}}} \Rightarrow$$

$P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A P_{\mathcal{B}}$  is diagonal (faster than our earlier approach!).

To reiterate:

$[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is diagonal  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an eigenbasis for  $T$ .