

Lecture 36: Several complex variables I

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain (or more generally an open set).

Definition A function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic \Leftrightarrow

$\forall \underline{a} \in D \exists$ open $U \subset D$ s.t. f has a power series

expansion $f(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\underline{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ i_j \geq 0}} c_{\underline{i}} (\underline{z} - \underline{a})^{\underline{i}}$ which converges $\forall \underline{z} \in U$.

\uparrow
i.e. (z_1, \dots, z_n)

\uparrow
 $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$

In this lecture, which begins the final segment of the course, we shall only cover a few preliminaries, the most important of which is Osgood's lemma. (Finishing up Uniformization took some class time.)

Notation: $\overleftarrow{D}(\underline{a}, \underline{r}) := \overleftarrow{D}(a_1, r_1) \times \dots \times \overleftarrow{D}(a_n, r_n)$ polydisks

Clearly $f \in \text{Hol}(D) \Rightarrow$ expansion converges absolutely/uniformly on each U in the definition

Uniform limit
of C^0 functions
is C^0

\Rightarrow (i) $f \in C^0(\mathcal{D})$, in particular f is locally bounded (bounded on each compact subset of \mathcal{D})

(ii) f is holomorphic in each variable separately (since it is a limit, fixing n but one variable, of holomorphic polynomials),

i.e. $\bar{\partial}f := \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_1}}_{\text{each of these vanishes}} + \dots + \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_n}}_{\text{each of these vanishes}} = 0.$

In fact, the converse holds:

Lemma 1 (Osgood) f locally bounded, with $\bar{\partial}f = 0$
 $\Rightarrow f$ holomorphic.

(i.e. f is holo. in each entry with the other entries fixed)

Proof: Let $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{D}$,

$\bar{A} := \bar{D}(\underline{a}, r) \subset \mathcal{A}$. Fix $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \bar{A}$.

f holo. in $S_n \Rightarrow f(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s_n - z_n| = r_n} \frac{f(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n) ds_n}{s_n - z_n}$.

f holo in $S_{n-1} \Rightarrow f(s_1, \dots, s_{n-2}, z_{n-1}, z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s_{n-1} - z_{n-1}| = r_{n-1}} \frac{f(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, z_n) ds_{n-1}}{s_{n-1} - z_{n-1}}$
 $= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{|s_{n-1} - z_{n-1}| = r_{n-1}} \left(\oint_{|s_n - z_n| = r_n} \frac{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) ds_n}{s_n - z_n} \right) \frac{ds_{n-1}}{s_{n-1} - z_{n-1}}$,
etc.

Local boundedness allows us to rearrange the

resulting iterated integral to obtain

$$(1) \quad f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n \{ |s_i - a_i| = r_i \}} \frac{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \prod ds_i}{\prod (s_i - z_i)}$$

Now

$$\frac{1}{s_i - z_i} = \frac{1}{(s_i - a_i) - (z_i - a_i)} = \frac{1}{s_i - a_i} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z_i - a_i}{s_i - a_i}} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(z_i - a_i)^j}{(s_i - a_i)^{j+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int \frac{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \prod_{i=1}^n ds_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n (s_i - a_i)^{j_i+1}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^n (z_i - a_i)^{j_i}$$

$\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{=: \gamma_{\underline{j}} =: \gamma_{\underline{j}}}$
 $\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{=: (z - a)^{\underline{j}}}$

gives a power-series expansion at \underline{a} , necessarily

convergent in Δ . □

Corollary 1 (Cauchy estimates)

Let $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a multi-index, and write $|\underline{a}| = \sum a_i$, $\underline{a}! = \prod a_i!$. In the above situation

we have $\underline{a}! \gamma_{\underline{a}} = \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{z}} \right)^{\underline{a}} f \right\}(\underline{a})$,

with $|\gamma_{\underline{a}}| \leq \frac{M}{r^{\underline{a}}}$, where $M = \sup_{\underline{z} \in \Delta} |f(\underline{z})|$.

Proof: Differentiating both sides of (1) gives

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{z}} \right)^{\underline{a}} f \right\}(\underline{z}) = \frac{\underline{a}!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int \frac{f(\underline{s}) \prod ds_i}{\prod (s_i - z_i)^{a_i+1}}$$

Setting $\underline{z} = \underline{a}$ leads immediately to both results. □

Corollary 2 (Jensen's Inequality) $\log |f(\underline{z})| \leq \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} \log |f(\underline{z})| dV(\underline{z})$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\prod r_i dr_i d\theta_i}$

Proof: Inserting the 1-variable inequality

$$\log |g(\theta)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |g(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

gives

$$\log |f(\underline{z})| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(\underline{z} + \underline{\rho} e^{i\theta})| \prod d\theta_j.$$

Take the product with $\prod \rho_j$, then $\int_0^{r_1} \dots \int_0^{r_n} d\rho_1 \dots d\rho_n$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \prod r_i^2 \log |f(\underline{z})| \leq \frac{1}{2^n \pi^n} \int \log |f(\underline{z})| dV(\underline{z})$$

hence the result. □