

IV.G. Affine varieties

In this final section, we make explicit the correspondence between algebraic spaces and rings (of functions on them). Fix a field k , an algebraically closed field $K \supset k$, and a positive integer n .

IV.G.1. DEFINITION. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a subset. The **(affine) k -variety** defined by \mathcal{S} is

$$V(\mathcal{S}) := \{\underline{a} \in K^n \mid f(\underline{a}) = 0 \ (\forall f \in \mathcal{S})\},$$

the common zero-locus of the polynomials in \mathcal{S} .

Algebraic geometry has its origins in the study of such solution sets of polynomial equations. Here “affine” refers to the affine n -space K^n (or “ \mathbb{A}_K^n ”) in which our varieties lie, as opposed (for example) to projective n -space \mathbb{P}_K^n . I should mention that, when algebraic geometers talk about a variety W , they really mean an object called a *scheme* that has more structure; the K -points would then be written $W(K)$. For us there is no difference — it makes no sense to get that sophisticated in a brief treatment — but this does force us to work with points over an algebraically closed field.

IV.G.2. PROPOSITION. *Any variety is defined by a finite set of equations.*

PROOF. Writing (\mathcal{S}) for the ideal generated by \mathcal{S} in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we have $V(\mathcal{S}) = V((\mathcal{S}))$. By the Hilbert basis theorem, (\mathcal{S}) is finitely generated, say by f_1, \dots, f_m ; and then $V(\mathcal{S}) = V(\{f_1, \dots, f_m\})$. \square

We can also turn things around:

IV.G.3. DEFINITION. The **vanishing ideal** associated to a subset $X \subset K^n$ is

$$J(X) := \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(\underline{a}) = 0 \ (\forall \underline{a} \in X)\}.$$

IV.G.4. PROPOSITION. *For any X , $J(X)$ is a radical ideal.*

PROOF. First, the vanishing ideal is in fact an ideal: if $f_i(\underline{a}) = 0$ ($\forall \underline{a} \in X$), and $g_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $(\sum_i g_i f_i)(\underline{a}) = 0$ ($\forall \underline{a} \in X$). To

see that it is its own radical: if $(f^n)(\underline{a}) = 0$ ($\forall \underline{a} \in X$), then $f(\underline{a}) = 0$ ($\forall \underline{a} \in X$). \square

We have inclusions of sets

$\mathcal{S} :=$ set of subsets of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

\cup

$\mathcal{I} :=$ set of ideals in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

\cup

$\mathcal{R} :=$ set of radical ideals

$\mathcal{X} :=$ set of subsets of K^n

\cup

\cup

$\mathcal{P} :=$ set of prime ideals

$\mathcal{V} :=$ set of K -varieties

Here \mathcal{P} is often called the **spectrum** of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and written $\text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$. Definitions IV.G.1 and IV.G.3 produce maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} & \text{and} & J: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \\ I \mapsto V(I) & & X \mapsto J(X). \end{array}$$

This is of course far from a bijection at this level: certainly, $J \circ V$ can't send a non-radical ideal to itself; and for the other composition $V \circ J$, the situation is even worse.

IV.G.5. EXAMPLE. In \mathbb{C}^2 , if we take X to be $\{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 \leq 1\}$ or $\{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid a_1 = e^{a_2}\}$ or $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, we end up (regardless of k) with $J(X) = \{0\}$ hence $V(J(X)) = \mathbb{C}^2$. This can also depend on the choice of k : for instance, if $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $n = 1$, and $X = \{\pi\}$ [resp. $\{\sqrt{5}\}$], then we get $V(J(X)) = \mathbb{C}$ [resp. $\{\sqrt{5}, -\sqrt{5}\}$].⁹

IV.G.6. DEFINITION. (a) Given a subset $X \subset K^n$, the variety $\bar{X} := V(J(X)) \subset K^n$ is called the **k -Zariski closure** of X . It is the intersection of all k -varieties (equiv., the smallest k -variety) containing X .

(b) Similarly, we can define the *closure* of a set $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{S}$ by $\bar{\mathcal{S}} := J(V(\mathcal{S}))$. Clearly, the ideal generated by \mathcal{S} is contained in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$.

⁹You should be able to supply proofs of these statements, using the fact that a polynomial in one variable has finitely many roots, together with Lindemann-Weierstrass etc.

As to what one *can* say right off the bat about V and J , here is what follows (mostly) tautologically from the definitions. Note in particular the inclusion-reversing property (iii-iv):

IV.G.7. PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}$, and $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$.*

- (i) $V(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \emptyset$ and $V((0)) = K^n$.
- (ii) $J(\emptyset) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $J(K^n) = (0)$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T} \implies V(\mathcal{T}) \subset V(\mathcal{S})$.
- (iv) $X \subset Y \implies J(Y) \subset J(X)$.
- (v) $\mathcal{S} \subset J(V(\mathcal{S})) = \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ and $X \subset V(J(X)) = \overline{X}$.
- (vi) $V(\mathcal{S}) = V(J(V(\mathcal{S})))$ and $J(X) = J(V(J(X)))$.

PROOF. (i) 1 vanishes nowhere, while 0 vanishes everywhere.
(ii) K is infinite (since algebraically closed), so any nonzero polynomial takes a nonzero value somewhere by [Algebra I, III.G.23]. This gives $J(K^n) = \{0\}$.
(iii) Given $\underline{a} \in V(\mathcal{T})$, every $f \in \mathcal{T}$ vanishes at \underline{a} ; so every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ vanishes at \underline{a} , and $\underline{a} \in V(\mathcal{S})$.
(iv) Given $f \in J(Y)$, we have $f(\underline{a}) = 0$ ($\forall \underline{a} \in Y$), hence $f(\underline{a}) = 0$ ($\forall \underline{a} \in X$); thus $f \in J(X)$.
(v) If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f(\underline{a}) = 0$ for every $\underline{a} \in V(\mathcal{S})$. If $\underline{a} \in X$, then $f(\underline{a}) = 0$ for every $f \in J(X)$.
(vi) Begin with (v), and apply V and (iii) [resp. J and (iv)]. For instance, applying J to $X \subset V(J(X))$ gives $J(X) \supset J(V(J(X)))$, and we have the other inclusion $J(X) \subset J(V(J(X)))$ by applying the first part of (v) to $\mathcal{S} := J(X)$. \square

In view of (v), the obvious question is *when are X and \mathcal{S} closed?* — that is, when are these inclusions equalities? There is an obvious, again tautological, answer to half of this question:

IV.G.8. COROLLARY. *X is k -Zariski closed exactly when it is a k -variety: $X = \overline{X} \iff X \in \mathcal{V}$.*

PROOF. (\implies): $X = V(J(X)) \implies X$ is a k -variety (duh).

(\Leftarrow): Say $X = V(\mathcal{S})$. By IV.G.7(vi), $V(\mathcal{S}) = V(J(V(\mathcal{S}))) \implies X = V(J(X)) (= \bar{X})$. \square

But the answer for \mathcal{S} is considerably deeper; indeed, it is a corollary of the famous

IV.G.9. HILBERT'S NULLSTELLENSATZ (1893). For any $I \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$J(V(I)) = \text{Rad}(I).$$

We will prove this below after some preparation. Note that it implies $J(V(\mathcal{S})) = \text{Rad}(\mathcal{S})$ for any $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{S}$.

IV.G.10. COROLLARY. $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{S}$ is closed precisely when it is a radical ideal: $\mathcal{S} = \bar{\mathcal{S}} \iff \mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{R}$.

PROOF. (\implies): Use IV.G.4 and the hypothesis that $\mathcal{S} = J(V(\mathcal{S}))$. (\impliedby): Write $\mathcal{S} = I$. By IV.G.9, $\bar{I} = J(V(I)) = \text{Rad}(I) = I$ (since $I \in \mathcal{R}$). \square

Using this result we obtain a clean parametrization of k -varieties in K^n by radical ideals in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$:

IV.G.11. COROLLARY. $\mathcal{R} \xrightleftharpoons[V]{V} \mathcal{V}$ is an inclusion-reversing bijection.

PROOF. For $I \in \mathcal{R}$, $J(V(I)) = I$ by IV.G.10; and for $X \in \mathcal{V}$, $V(J(X)) = X$ by IV.G.8. \square

In fact, the correspondence goes far beyond a bijection: for instance, in the HW you will show that

$$(IV.G.12) \quad V(\cap_i I_i) = \cup_i V(I_i) \quad \text{and} \quad V(\sum_i I_i) = \cap V(I_i)$$

for any finite collection of ideals. Moreover, defining a k -variety to be **irreducible** if it cannot decompose as a union of two proper subsets which are both k -varieties, you will show the

IV.G.13. PROPOSITION. V and J put the irreducible k -varieties in bijection with \mathcal{P} .

The “rings of polynomial functions” we have been alluding to so far informally may now be codified as follows:

IV.G.14. DEFINITION. Let $X = V(I) \subset K^n$ be an affine k -variety, and $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ the set of K -valued functions on X . Writing $|_X$ for “restriction to X ”, the **ring of regular functions** on X or **coordinate ring** of X is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} k[X] &:= \text{im}\{k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{|_X} \mathfrak{F}(X)\} \\ &\cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \ker(|_X) \\ &\cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / J(X). \end{aligned}$$

By the Nullstellensatz, we see at once that

$$(IV.G.15) \quad k[V(I)] \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \text{Rad}(I).$$

If I is a radical ideal, with generators f_1, \dots, f_m , and X is the variety described by $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \{a \mid f_i(a) = 0\}$, this takes the form

$$k[X] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (f_1, \dots, f_m).$$

It is left to you to describe what happens to X , and to this ring, when I has a nontrivial reduced primary decomposition.

Proving the Nullstellensatz.

We proceed by way of two lemmas which are of significant interest in their own right. Let R/k be a finitely generated ring extension. Write $\mathbb{F} := \mathfrak{F}\{R\}$ for its fraction field, and put $r := \text{trdeg}(\mathbb{F}/k)$.

IV.G.16. NOETHER NORMALIZATION LEMMA. *There exists an algebraically independent subset $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\} \subset R$ such that R is integral over $k[t_1, \dots, t_r]$.*

IV.G.17. REMARK. Notice that by IV.F.19, this implies that the Krull dimension of R is r . If R is the coordinate ring of an affine variety $X \subset K^n$, the result can be thought of as saying that there is a k -linear projection $K^n \twoheadrightarrow K^r$ whose restriction to X presents it as a finite cover of affine r -space, along which the embedding

$k[t_1, \dots, t_r] \hookrightarrow k[X] = R$ represents pullback of functions. In fact, as the first line of the proof demonstrates, we are always in this case:

PROOF. Let u_1, \dots, u_n denote generators of R/k (as a ring); that is, there is a homomorphism $\pi: k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \twoheadrightarrow R$ sending $x_i \mapsto u_i$. If it is an isomorphism, then the $\{u_i\}$ are algebraically independent, and furnish a transcendence basis for \mathbb{F}/k (and we are done).

Otherwise, $I := \ker(\theta)$ is a nonzero ideal, and $R \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$. Fix an element $f(\underline{x}) := \sum_{\underline{j} \in \mathfrak{J}} \kappa_{\underline{j}} x^{\underline{j}} \in I \setminus \{0\}$, with $\kappa_{\underline{j}} \in k^*$ and $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ a finite index set, with all entries strictly bounded by some $c \in \mathbb{N}$. Writing $\sigma_{\underline{j}} := \sum_{i=1}^n c^{i-1} j_i$, the set $\{\sigma_{\underline{j}} \mid \underline{j} \in \mathfrak{J}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ has the same cardinality¹⁰ as \mathfrak{J} and a maximal element $\sigma_{\underline{j}^*}$.

Now $f(\underline{u}) = \pi(f(\underline{x})) = 0$. Writing $u_i =: v_i + u_1^{c^{i-1}}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, this becomes $\kappa_{\underline{j}^*} u_1^{\sigma_{\underline{j}^*}} + f(u_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = 0$, with $\deg_{u_1}(f) < \sigma_{\underline{j}^*}$. Denoting $R_1 := k[v_2, \dots, v_n] \subset R$, it follows that u_1 is integral over R_1 and (by IV.F.9(b)) that $R = R_1[u_1]$ is integral over R_1 . Moreover, \mathbb{F} is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_1 := \mathfrak{F}\{R_1\}$ (why?).

At this point we repeat the argument from the beginning with R_1 and v_2, \dots, v_n replacing R and u_1, \dots, u_n . This obviously must terminate at some $R_\ell = k[w_{\ell+1}, \dots, w_n]$, with the $\{w_i\}$ algebraically independent. Applying IV.F.9(c) to the tower of ring extensions, we get that R/R_ℓ is integral; and by I.N.6 (and the tower law), the $\{w_i\}$ are a transcendence basis for \mathbb{F} . So $r = n - \ell$ and we are done. \square

Before proving that the closure of a proper ideal (in the sense of IV.G.6(b)) is its radical, we first need to show that it remains proper. Equivalently, before we show that $V(I)$ is a variety with ring of functions $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\sqrt{I}$, we need to show that it contains a point:

IV.G.18. WEAK NULLSTELLENSATZ. *Any proper ideal $I \subsetneq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has nonempty $V(I) \subset K^n$.*

PROOF. Let P be a prime of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ containing I , so that $V(P) \subset V(I)$ by IV.G.7(iii). It will suffice to show that $V(P) \neq \emptyset$.

¹⁰Suppose $\sigma_{\underline{j}} = \sigma_{\underline{j}'}$. Then going mod c gives $c \mid j_1 - j'_1$ hence $j_1 = j'_1$ and $\sum_{i=2}^n c^{i-2} j_i = \sum_{i=2}^n c^{i-2} j'_i$. Going mod c again gives $j_2 = j'_2$, and so on until $\underline{j} = \underline{j}'$.

Write $\pi: k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \twoheadrightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/P =: R$ and $u_i := \pi(x_i)$. Since $P \cap k = \{0\}$, we may identify k with its image. Noether normalization produces $t_1, \dots, t_r \in R$, algebraically independent over k , such that R is integral over the polynomial ring $S := k[t_1, \dots, t_r]$.

The kernel of the evaluation map $S \rightarrow k$ sending $t_i \mapsto 0$ is the ideal $\mathfrak{m} := (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ consisting of polynomials with zero constant term. So $S/\mathfrak{m} \cong k$ and \mathfrak{m} is maximal. By the Lying-over theorem IV.F.16, there is a prime $\mathfrak{n} \subset R$ with $\mathfrak{n} \cap S = \mathfrak{m}$; by IV.F.21, \mathfrak{n} is maximal, and $R/\mathfrak{n} =: \tilde{k}$ a field. Using the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k \cong S/(\mathfrak{n} \cap S) & \hookrightarrow & R/\mathfrak{n} = \tilde{k} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow =: \tau \\ S & \hookrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

we see at once that \tilde{k}/k is an algebraic extension: lift an element of \tilde{k} to R , write down its monic equation over S , and apply the upward arrows. So there exists an embedding $\sigma: \tilde{k} \hookrightarrow \bar{k} \subset K$.

Writing ϕ for the composition

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\pi} R \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{k} \xrightarrow{\sigma} K,$$

we note that it kills P and is the identity on k . So for each $f \in P$, we have $f(\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_n)) = \phi(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0$, whence $(\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_n)) \in V(P)$. \square

IV.G.19. REMARK. Geometrically, one should think of R as the coordinate ring of the irreducible variety $X \subset K^n$ defined by P . Homomorphisms from $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ to K are evaluation maps at points of K^n , i.e. pullback maps along the inclusion of a point in K^n . To say that a homomorphism factors through $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/P$ is to say this point is in X .

The mechanism by which this proof produced a homomorphism from R to K was, in geometric terms, to present X as a finite branched cover $\beta: X \rightarrow K^r$, and exhibit a point of $\beta^{-1}(\underline{0})$. The map from $\beta^{-1}(\underline{0})$ to $\underline{0}$ is “dual” to $k \hookrightarrow \tilde{k}$, and the choice of embedding $\tilde{k} \hookrightarrow K$ over k was the choice of a point in $\beta^{-1}(\underline{0})$.

Finally, turning to the Nullstellensatz itself:

PROOF OF IV.G.9. We may assume $I \subsetneq k[\underline{x}] := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a proper ideal, since otherwise we are done by IV.G.7(i-ii). Moreover, it's easy to see that $\text{Rad}(I) \subset J(V(I))$: if $f^m \in I$, then since $I \subset J(V(I))$ (by IV.G.7(v)) and $J(V(I))$ is radical (by IV.G.4), we have $f \in J(V(I))$.

Suppose, conversely, that $f \in J(V(I)) \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the ideals $\tilde{I} := k[\underline{x}, y]I$ and $J := (yf - 1) + \tilde{I} \subset k[\underline{x}, y]$ in the polynomial ring in $(n + 1)$ variables. Given $(\underline{a}, b) \in V(J) \subset K^{n+1}$, we have:

- $\underline{a} \in V(I)$, since $J \supset \tilde{I} \implies V(J) \subset V(\tilde{I}) = V(I) \times K$; and
- $(\underline{a}, b) \in V(yf - 1)$, since $J \supset (yf - 1)$.

This gives $0 = bf(\underline{a}) - 1 = b \cdot 0 - 1 = -1$, a contradiction. So $V(J) = \emptyset$. But then, by the weak Nullstellensatz, J cannot be a proper ideal! That is, $J = k[\underline{x}, y]$; so $1 \in J$, whence

$$(IV.G.20) \quad 1 = (yf - 1)g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i f_i$$

for some $f_i \in I$ and $g_i \in k[\underline{x}, y]$.

Now define a homomorphism $\theta: k[\underline{x}, y] \rightarrow k(\underline{x})$ (over k) by sending $x_i \mapsto x_i$ and $y \mapsto \frac{1}{f(\underline{x})}$. Applying this to (IV.G.20) yields

$$1 = \left(\frac{1}{f}f - 1\right)g_0\left(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i\left(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}\right)f_i(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i\left(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}\right)f_i(\underline{x}).$$

Taking $m := \max(\{\deg_y(g_i)\}_{i=1}^{\ell})$, so that $f^m(\underline{x})g_i(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}) \in k[\underline{x}]$, we get

$$f^m = f^m \cdot 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f^m(\underline{x})g_i\left(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}\right)f_i(\underline{x}) \in I.$$

Hence $f \in \text{Rad}(I)$ and the proof is complete. \square