

Problem Set 4 (Solutions)

(1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \alpha & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \beta & \xrightarrow{j_2} & \gamma & \xrightarrow{j_3} & \delta & \xrightarrow{j_4} & \epsilon & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & d \downarrow & & e \parallel & & f \downarrow & & g \parallel & & h \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & B & \xrightarrow{i_2} & C & \xrightarrow{i_3} & D & \xrightarrow{i_4} & E & \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

already proved

Given $c \in C$, $j_4(g^{-1}(i_3(c))) = i_4(i_3(c)) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$\exists \tilde{c} \in \gamma$ s.t. $j_3(\tilde{c}) = g^{-1}(i_3(c)) \Rightarrow$

$i_3(f(\tilde{c})) = g(j_3(\tilde{c})) = i_3(c) \Rightarrow i_3(f(\tilde{c}) - c) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$\exists \tilde{b} \in B$ s.t. $i_2(\tilde{b}) = f(\tilde{c}) - c$ and $\tilde{b} \stackrel{(\in \beta)}{\text{s.t.}} e(\tilde{b}) = b \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\tilde{c} - j_2(\tilde{b})) &= f(\tilde{c}) - f(j_2(\tilde{b})) = f(\tilde{c}) - i_2(e(\tilde{b})) \\
 &= f(\tilde{c}) - (f(\tilde{c}) - c) \\
 &= c.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) (a) $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$ exact

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & \text{Hom}(X, B) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & \text{Hom}(X, C) \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & \text{exactness here} & & \text{exactness here} & &
 \end{array}$$

(i.e. $\alpha \circ (\cdot)$) (i.e. $\beta \circ (\cdot)$)

To prove exactness here, main point is: given $f \in \text{Hom}(X, B)$

with $\beta \circ f = 0$, define $g \in \text{Hom}(X, A)$ by

$g(x) :=$ unique element of A mapping by α to $f(x)$ (\exists since $\beta(f(x)) = 0$).

by injectivity of α

$$(b) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \xrightarrow{(\cdot)/m} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot m} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

5

$$(c) \quad \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n) = (R^1 \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_m, -))(\mathbb{Z}_n)$$

$$= H^1\{ \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}{n \cdot \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{identifies with } \{ \frac{a}{m} \mid a \in \{0, m-1\} \} \\ \text{identifies with } \{ \frac{nq}{m} \mid q \in \{0, m-1\} \} \end{array} \right.$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{Z}_m}{n \mathbb{Z}_m} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)} \quad \text{via (obj) at where } \bar{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m \text{ is sent}$$

(3) • \mathcal{D} flasque b/c sections / U are divisors with support on U .
 These are also divisors w./support on any open set $W \supset U$,
 so $\mathcal{D}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(U)$. Note that this is only true for $\dim M = 1$

• we have $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0$ (sheaf / M)

So $0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}^*) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{M}^*) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{D})$

$$= 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(M)^* \rightarrow \text{Div}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} \underbrace{\text{holo. line bundles}}_{\cong} \xrightarrow{\beta} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{O} \text{ h.c.} \\ \mathcal{D} \text{ flasque} \end{matrix} \rightarrow 0$$

and $\underbrace{\mathcal{J} \text{ surjective}}_{\text{what we want}} \Leftrightarrow \beta = 0 \Leftrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{M}^*) = \{0\}$

• need a little argument to show that \mathcal{J} sends a divisor D to $\mathcal{O}(D)$. Done in Čech cohomology, this is almost a tautology (lets to you).

(4) Identity $\mathcal{L}(D) = H^0(\mathcal{O}(-D))$.

(a) Let $\omega \in K'(M)$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{O}(D) \setminus \{0\}$ then

$(\omega) \geq D$, which yields

$$2g - 2 = \deg((\omega)) \geq \deg D.$$

If $\deg D > 2g - 2$, this is impossible (so there are no nonzero meromorphic forms in $\mathcal{L}(D)$).

(b) likewise, if $f \in \mathcal{L}(D) \setminus \{0\}$ then $(f) + D \geq 0$

$$\implies 0 = \deg((f)) \geq -\deg D$$

so if $\deg D < 0$ (i.e. $-\deg D > 0$), we again get a contradiction.

(5) (a) $p \in M$

$$\lambda((g+1)[p]) = i((g+1)[p]) + \overbrace{(g+1)}^{\deg D} - g + 1 \geq 2$$

$\implies \mathcal{L}((g+1)[p])$ contains a nonconstant form f .

The mapping degree is the "cardinality w/multiplicity" of $f^{-1}(a)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$. For $a=0$ or ∞ that means counting order of 0's or poles.

Now f has only pole at p , so

$$\deg(f) = \underbrace{|\text{ord}_p(f)|}_{\text{or } \deg(f^{-1}(\infty))} \leq g + 1.$$

mapping degree, not degree of divisor $\deg(f)$

pullback divisor

4

(b) By Riemann-Roch, for any $p \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 i((g-2)|_p) &= g - (g-2) - 1 + \underbrace{\lambda((g-2)|_p)}_{\geq 1 \text{ (constant fns.)}} \\
 &\geq 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there are 2 linearly independent holomorphic forms

$$\omega_1, \omega_2 \text{ with } (\omega_j) - (g-2)|_p =: D_j > 0 \quad (j=1,2),$$

$$\text{and } \deg D_j \underset{p \in M}{=} (2g-2) - (g-2) = g. \quad \text{Set } f := \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \in M(M)^*$$

so that $(f) = D_1 - D_2 = D'_1 - D'_2$ where D'_1, D'_2 are effective divisors of degrees $\leq g$ with no points in common.

We have that the mapping degree

$$\deg(f) = \deg(f^{-1}(\infty)) = \deg D'_1 \leq g.$$