K-Theory 29: 175-210, 2003.
C 2003 Kluwer Acadenic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

## A Regulator Formula for Milnor $K$-groups

MATT KERR
Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555, U.S.A
e-mail: matkerr@math.ucla.edu
(Received: 17 September 2003)
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## 1. Introduction

This paper concerns some new formulas for the regulator maps

$$
K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \cong C H^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, n\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)
$$

the maps themselves, at least in principle, have been around since the publication of [1]. Our aim is to make them more accessible to computation. We will usually be concerned with the case where $X$ is an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional projective variety, so that (ignoring torsion) the maps take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R: K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left\{H_{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{Z}\right), \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To motivate our formula, we essentially generalize the approach of Bloch for $n=2$ in Chapter 8 of [2] (see Section 6.4). We begin in Section 3 with the explicit Hodge-theoretic construction of what amounts to a Deligne cycle-class map for codimension- $n$ relative algebraic cycles on $\eta_{X} \times\left(\square^{n}, \partial \square^{n}\right)$, where $\left(\square^{n}, \partial \square^{n}\right)$ is cubical relative affine $n$-space. We call these cycles graphs, and some nontrivial geometry goes into computing the AJ part of this map. By identifying formal linear combinations $\mathbf{f} \in \otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}$ with graphs $\gamma_{\mathbf{f}} \in Z^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, n\right)$, we motivate a formula assigning them to ( $n-1$ )-currents*
$\otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}^{n-1}\right), \quad \mathbf{f} \mapsto R_{\mathrm{f}}$
${ }^{*}$ See Section 4.1 for the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{X}^{n-1}$.
by means of the $\mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ computation. This essentially descends to a 'Milnor regulator' map of the form (1.1), as described in Section 4.
Here is a concrete example of what a Milnor regulator current looks like, for $n=3$. If $f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ are meromorphic functions on an algebraic surface $S$, let $T_{f}=f^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right)\left(\right.$where $\mathbb{R}^{-}$is considered as the directed path $\overleftarrow{[0, \infty]}$ on $\left.\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ and $\log f=$ the branch with imaginary part $\in(-\pi, \pi]$ and jump along $T_{f}$. and so on for $g$ and $h$. On the other hand dlog $f$ will mean $\mathrm{d} f / f$; they are related by $\mathrm{d}[\log f]=\mathrm{d} \log f-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{T_{f}}$. Then if $\mathcal{C}$ is a 'topological' 2 -chain $\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{C}=2\right)$ on $S$ avoiding $|(f)| \cup|(g)| \cup|(h)|$ and $\mathbf{f}:=f \otimes g \otimes h$, the period of $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ is by definition

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \log g \wedge d \log h+2 \pi \mathrm{i} \int_{\mathcal{C} \cap T_{f}} \log g \mathrm{~d} \log h-4 \pi^{2} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{C} \cap T_{f} \cap T_{g}} \log h(p) .
$$

It is natural to ask whether nontrivial periods $\in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)$ arise primarily from integrals encircling divisors of the functions in $f$, i.e. $[\mathcal{C}] \in \operatorname{ker}\left\{H_{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $H_{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ \}, or from 'nontrivial' topological cycles on $X$ avoiding those divisors. To describe the first kind of periods precisely we employ a local-global spectral sequence to define various 'residues' of the Milnor-regulator currents in Section 5, and relate these to AJ maps (on higher Chow groups) with polylogarithmic properties in Section 6. We then define a subgroup $K_{n}^{M}(X) \subset K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$ which produces 'residue-free' currents, taking periods on $\operatorname{coim}\left\{H_{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X)\right\}$. This is just the Tame kernel for $n=2,3$ but is smaller for $n \geqslant 4$.

The resulting restriction

$$
R: K_{n}^{M}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right\}
$$

is called the holomorphic Milnor regulator. It appears to be related to the arithmetic rather than the geometry of $X$, for when $X$ is a very general* complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, its image is zero: the periods $\int_{\mathcal{C}} R_{\mathrm{f}}$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}(n)$; there is only one exception - for $X$ a general elliptic curve (and $n=2$ ). This is the vanishing theorem of Section 8, which in principle is a consequence of Nori connectedness. It is a generalization to higher dimension of results in [6] (also see [14]) for $n=2, X$ a curve.

According to Beilinson rigidity, which is proved in [1] (using rigidity of de Rham classes), $R$ is constant on continuous families in $K_{n}^{M}(X)$ for $X$ fixed. What we consider in Section 7 is the situation when $X=X_{s}$ is allowed to very in a (complete) family of complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. Let $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{s}\right\} \in \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Tame}) \subset K_{n}^{M}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(X_{s}\right)\right)$ be an analytic family (in the sense described in Section 7.1); the associated $R_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}}$ have trivial codimension-1 residues. Then (again excepting the case of elliptic curves) $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}}\right]$ is a flat section of $\mathcal{H}_{\eta X_{s}}^{n-1}$ under the Gauss-Manin connection; that
*This can be taken to mean that the coordinates of $X$ (in the moduli space of complete intersections) are algebraically independent.
is, the periods are constant. While this is the basis for the vanishing result, it holds with the weaker assumption (viz., $\operatorname{ker}\left(T a m e\right.$ ) instead of $K_{n}^{M}(X)$ ) and seems to be a slightly deeper result.

We remark that we have taken $\operatorname{dim} X=n-1$ throughout Part 3, because the nontrivial (primitive) cohomology of a complete intersection is found in the middle dimension, and $K_{n}^{M}$ maps to $H^{n-1}$.

We conclude this paper with an easy, concrete regulator computation on a 'degenerate elliptic curve'; it is a 'toy model' for the harder computations on elliptic curves in $[2,3,6]$

## PART 1. GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION OF $R_{f}$

## 2: Preliminaries

2.1. HIGHER CHOW GROUPS

Define the algebraic $n$-cube

$$
\square^{n}:=\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1} \backslash\{1\}\right)^{n}=:\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} \backslash \mathbb{I}^{n}
$$

with faces $\partial \square^{n}=\bigcup_{i} \partial_{i} \square^{n}=\bigcup_{i . e} \rho_{i *}^{e} \square^{n-1}$ and more generally codimension-r subfaces $\partial^{r} \square^{n}=\bigcup_{\text {i.e }} \rho_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r} *}^{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}} \square^{n-r}$, where for $e=0, \infty$ the face inclusions send $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}, \ldots, \stackrel{i}{e}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)$, and so on. The $n$-cube is also equipped with projections $\pi_{i_{1} \ldots, i_{r}}: \square^{n} \rightarrow \square^{n-r}$, where, e.g., for $r=1$, $\pi_{i}$ sends $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{z_{i}}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$.

Let $Y / \mathbb{C}$ be a (possibly singular) quasiprojective variety and define $c^{P}(Y, n):=$ subgroup of $Z^{p}\left(Y \times \square^{n}\right)$ generated by subvarieties intersecting all subfaces $Y \times\left(\rho_{\mathbf{i} *}^{\mathbf{e}} \square^{n-r}\right)$ properly, i.e. in the right codimension. (Note that anything can happen at $Y \times \mathbb{I}^{n}$ if one looks at the closure of such a cycle on $Y \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n}$.) Let $d^{p}(Y, n):=$ subgroup of $c^{p}(Y, n)$ generated by subvarieties pulled back from $Y \times \square^{n-i}$ by some $\pi_{i}$.

We neglect these latter cycles and write

$$
Z^{p}(Y, n):=c^{p}(Y, n) / d^{p}(Y, n)
$$

which forms a complex with differential

$$
\partial_{\mathcal{B}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left(\rho_{i}^{\alpha^{*}}-\rho_{i}^{0^{*}}\right): Z^{p}(Y, n) \rightarrow Z^{p}(Y, n-1)
$$

in particular note that $\partial_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{B}}=0$ so we have a complex. Define the higher Chow groups as its homology
$\mathrm{CH}^{p}(Y . n):=H_{n}\left\{Z^{p}(Y, \cdot)\right\}$.
Note: we identify $\mathrm{CH}^{p}(\mathbb{C}(X), n)=\mathrm{CH}^{p}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}(X), n)=\mathrm{CH}^{p}\left(\eta_{X}, n\right)$. We shall think of $\left(\square^{n}, \partial \square^{n}\right)$ and $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}, \mathbb{I}^{n}\right)$ as dual relative varieties.
2.2. MILNOR $K$-GROUPS

We shall write $\mathbb{Z}\{S\}$ for the free Abelian group on a set $S$. For any field $F \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \geqslant 2$, let $K_{n}^{M}(F)$ denote the quotient of the Abelian group $\otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathrm{~F}^{*}\right\}$ by the Steinberg relations: the subgroup generated by all permutations of

```
\(f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}+g_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}-f_{1} g_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}\)
\(f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}+f_{2} \otimes f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}\)
\(f_{1} \otimes\left(1-f_{1}\right) \otimes f_{3} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}\).
```

(For $n<2$ just set $K_{1}^{M}(\mathrm{~F}):=\mathrm{F}^{*}$ and $K_{0}^{M}(\mathrm{~F}):=\mathbb{Z}$.) Boldface f or $\mathbf{g}$ denotes an element of $\otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathrm{~F}^{*}\right\}$ while $\{\mathbf{f}\}$ or $\{\mathbf{g}\}$ is the corresponding element of $K_{n}^{M}(\mathrm{~F})$

Let $X / \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $d$; to a 'multifunction' $\mathbf{f}=$ $\sum m_{j} f_{1 j} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n j} \in \otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}$ we associate the subvariety $V_{\mathbf{f}}:=\bigcup_{i, j}\left|\left(f_{i j}\right)\right|$ and the 'graph cycle

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}=\left[\sum m_{j}\left(\mathrm{id}_{X} ; f_{1 j}, \ldots, f_{n j}\right)_{*}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}\right)\right] \cap\left(\left(X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times \square^{n}\right)
$$

Also denote by $V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ the union of all intersections (and self-intersections) of all components of $V_{f}$, by $V_{f}^{3}$ all intersections of components of $V_{f}$ and $V_{f}^{2}$, and so on

A fundamental result of Totaro [28] says that the graph homomorphism

$$
\gamma: \otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\} \rightarrow Z^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, n\right)
$$

so defined, induces an isomorphism

$$
K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \mathrm{CH}^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, n\right) .
$$

We shall call $\mathbf{f}$ 'good' if the closure $\overline{\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}}$ of its graph to $X \times \square^{n}$ intersects all subfaces $X \times \partial^{r} \square^{n}$ properly, i.e. if $\overline{\gamma_{f}} \in Z^{n}(X, n)$. From Bloch's moving lemma [5] we have immediately the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. For any $\mathbf{f}_{0} \in \otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}$ there is a Steinberg relation $\mathbf{g}$ (i.e $\{\mathbf{g}\}=0)$ such that $\mathbf{f}:=\mathbf{f}_{0}-\mathbf{g}$ is good.

The absence of 'corners' from good $\mathbf{f}$ allows us to define elements

$$
\partial_{i} \mathbf{f}:=\left.\left.\sum_{x \in X^{1}} v_{x}\left(f_{i}\right) \cdot f_{i}\right|_{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{f_{i}} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}\right|_{x}, \quad \partial \mathbf{f}:=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \partial_{i} \mathbf{f}
$$

of $\coprod_{x \in X^{1}} \otimes^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(x)^{*}\right\}$; and by the proposition, $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \partial \mathbf{f}$ induces a map
Tame: $K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^{1}} K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(x))$.
2.3. THE DLOG MAP

For us 'log' will be a (discontinuous) function from $\mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \widetilde{\Im}(z) \in(-\pi, \pi]\}$ with branch cut $T=\mathbb{R}^{-} \backslash\{0\}$ (or $\mathbb{R}^{-} \cup\{\infty\}$ depending on context); given $f \in$ $\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}, \operatorname{dlog} f$ means $\mathrm{d} f / f$ and $T_{f}=f^{-1}(T)$. On $\square^{n}$ one has a topological relative $n$-cycle $T_{n}:=T_{21} \cap \cdots \cap T_{z_{n}} \in C_{n}\left(\square^{n}, \partial \square^{n}\right)$ and a holomorphic $n$-form $\Omega_{n}:=\operatorname{dlog} z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} z_{n} \in H^{0}\left(\Omega_{\square^{n}}^{n}\left(\log \partial \square^{n}\right)\right)$. To a multifunction $\mathbf{f}$ on $X$ one may associate

$$
T_{\mathbf{f}}:=\sum m_{j} T_{f_{1 j}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{n j}}=\pi_{X_{*}}\left\{\overline{\gamma_{f}} \cap\left(X \times T_{n}\right)\right\} \in C_{2 d-n}\left(X, V_{\mathbf{f}}\right),
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}:=\bigwedge^{n} \mathrm{~d} \log \mathbf{f}=\sum m_{j} \frac{\mathrm{~d} f_{1 j}}{f_{1 j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\mathrm{~d} f_{n j}}{f_{n j}} \in H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{n}\left(\log V_{\mathbf{f}}\right)\right) .
$$

(For the definition of log-differentials when $V_{\mathbf{f}}$ is not a NCD, see for example [20].) Writing $\lim _{V \subset X} H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{n}(\log V)\right)=: H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{n}(\log )\right)$, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. (i) The assignment $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \Omega_{\mathrm{f}}$ descends to a map 'dlog' on Milnor K-theory, so that the lower-left-hand square of the following diagram commutes:

(ii) Assuming that always $\left[\Omega_{\boldsymbol{f}}\right] \in \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\}[$ which will follow from the construction below $],\{\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Tame}) \Longrightarrow \Omega_{f}=0$.

Proof. (i) dlog is well-defined because $\Omega_{g}=0$ if $\{\mathbf{g}\}=0$; use good $\mathbf{f}$ to check the square commutes (easy).
(ii) Note first the right-hand column is exact: this is from the localization exact sequence in cohomology. Now suppose $\{\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}$ (Tame). Then $\Omega_{\mathrm{f}} \in \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Res})$ and we defined a functional on $Z_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}\left(\Omega_{X^{\infty}}^{2 d-n}\right)$ by duality:* $\alpha \mapsto \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X \backslash N_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}$ $\Omega_{f} \wedge \alpha$. Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X \backslash N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} \Omega_{\mathrm{f}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \beta & = \pm \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\left\{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathbf{f}}\right)\right\}} \Omega_{\mathrm{f}} \wedge \beta \\
& = \pm \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{V_{\mathrm{f}} \backslash N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}\right)} \operatorname{Res}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \wedge \beta=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\beta \in \Gamma\left(\Omega_{X^{\infty}}^{2 d-n-1}\right)$, the functional descends to a class

$$
\left[\widetilde{\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}}\right] \in\left\{\frac{H^{2 d-n}(X, \mathbb{C})}{F^{d-n+1} H^{2 d-n}}\right\}^{\vee} \cong F^{n} H^{n}(X, \mathbb{C})
$$

If $\omega \in H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{n}\right)$ represents this class then $\left[J_{\eta X}^{*} \omega\right] \equiv\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}\right] \in H^{n}\left(\eta_{X}\right)$ and so (by the diagram $) \Omega_{\mathrm{f}}=J_{\eta_{X}}^{*} \omega \in H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{n}(\log )\right)$. Since im $(\mathrm{Gy}) \subseteq H^{n-1.1} \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{\mathrm{i}, n-1}$, it follows that $H^{n .0}(X) \oplus H^{0, n}(X) \stackrel{J_{\eta_{X}}^{*}}{\longrightarrow} H^{n}\left(\eta_{X}\right)$ and thus $\left[J_{\eta_{X}}^{*} \omega\right] \neq \pm\left[J_{\eta_{X}}^{*} \bar{\omega}\right]$ unless $\omega=0$. But $\left\{\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}\right] \in \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\} \Longrightarrow\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}\right]= \pm \overline{\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}\right]}$.

## 3. Abel-Jacobi for Graphs

3.1. GEOMETRIC MOTIVATION

Let $\overline{\partial \square^{n}}=\left(\mathbb{P}^{l}\right)^{n} \backslash\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$,

$$
N_{\epsilon}\left(\overline{\partial \square^{n}}\right)=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in\left(\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)^{n}| | z_{i} \mid<\epsilon \text { or }>\frac{1}{\epsilon} \text { for some } i\right\}
$$

$\ddot{\square}_{\epsilon}^{n}=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} \backslash N_{\epsilon}\left(\overline{\partial \bar{\square}^{n}}\right) ;$ and put $\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}:=\overline{\gamma_{f}} \cap\left(X \times \bar{\square}_{\epsilon}^{n}\right)$. Note that $\partial \gamma_{f}^{\epsilon} \subseteq X \times \partial \bar{\square}_{\epsilon}^{n}$. Writing $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathcal{S}):=\bigcup_{j}\left(\operatorname{id}_{X} ; f_{1 j}, \ldots, f_{n j}\right)^{-1}(X \times \mathcal{S})$ for a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n}$, define $N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{f}\right):=\mathbf{f}^{-1}\left(N_{\epsilon}\left(\overline{\partial \square^{n}}\right)\right)$.

We want to construct cycle-class and Abel-Jacobi maps for our graph cycle $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}$. To do this, we will view $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}$ as giving a homology class in

$$
H_{2 d}\left(\left(X, \overline{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}\right) \times\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \cdot \mathbb{B}^{n}\right)\right) \cong H_{2 d-n}\left(X, V_{\mathbf{f}}\right) \otimes H_{n}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}, \mathbb{I}^{n}\right)
$$

*One can show this integral is convergent for any $C^{\infty}$ form $\alpha$ (see [18]). Notation: $Z_{d}^{0}(\cdots)$ means d-closed sections and $\Omega_{X^{\infty}}^{2 d-n}$ means $C^{\infty}(2 d-n)$-forms.
and integrate $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_{1}^{*}} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi_{X}^{*} \alpha$ to determine this class (where $\alpha \in$ $Z_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{j}!\Omega_{\left(X \backslash V_{f}\right)}^{2 d-n}\right)$ has compact support on $\left.X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$. Moreover, $H_{n}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}, \mathbb{I}^{n}\right)$ is generated by the $n$-torus $\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$; so there should be a topological $(2 d+1)$-chain on $X \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ bounding on (i) $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}$, (ii) $\{$ a relative cycle $\mathcal{C}\} \times\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$, and (iii) components supported on $X \times \mathbb{I}^{n}$ and $\overline{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{f}\right)} \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$. That chain will be produced in Section 3.3 by means of a 'homotopy' $\theta$ [formula (3.1)] which pulls $\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}$ into the 'topological trashcan' $X \times \mathbb{I}^{n}$, leaving 'residues' along ${ }^{\star} \overline{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathbb{F}}\right)} \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$; and it will turn out that $\mathcal{C}$ is just $T_{\mathrm{f}}$. Towards the goal of constructing this chain, we now make some preliminary definitions.
3.2. FUNDAMENTAL DOMAIN FOR $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$

Let $\mathbb{D}=$ closure of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash T$ with left and right-hand limits not identified; there is an obvious map $\mathcal{N}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Write $\tilde{z}:=\mathcal{N}^{-1}(z \notin T)$ [one point], $\mathcal{N}^{-1}(z \in T)=$ : $\left\{z^{+}, z^{-}\right\}, \mathcal{N}^{-1}(T)=\left\{T^{+}, T^{-}\right\}, \tilde{T}=T^{+}-T^{-}$. Choose any $C^{\infty}$ map

$$
\theta:[0,1] \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

with $\theta(0, \tilde{z})=z, \theta(1, \tilde{z})=1$, so that $\theta(z):=\theta(t, z)$ gives a path from $z$ to 1 in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$. Also observe that $\theta\left(z^{+}\right)-\theta\left(z^{-}\right)=: \theta^{+}(z)-\theta^{-}(z)$ is a circle $\left(S^{1}\right)$, where $\theta^{ \pm}:[0,1] \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If $\Gamma$ is a topological cycle compactly supported on $\mathbb{C}^{*}$, and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ a lift to $\mathbb{D}, \theta(\tilde{\Gamma})$ denoted the image of $[0,1] \times \tilde{\Gamma}$ under $\theta$, and $\partial \theta(\tilde{\Gamma})=$ $\Gamma+\theta^{+}(\Gamma \cap T)-\theta^{-}(\Gamma \cup T)$.

More generally, let $\mathbb{D}^{n}=$ closure (as above) of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \backslash \cup T_{z_{i}}$ and $\mathcal{N}: \mathbb{D}^{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ take $T_{z_{i}}^{+}, T_{z_{j}}^{-} \mapsto T_{z_{i}}$, etc. We define various maps

$$
\theta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}:[0,1]^{k} \times \mathbb{D}^{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}
$$

## by formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}\left(t_{1} ; \tilde{z}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{z}_{n}\right)=\left(\theta\left(t_{1}, \tilde{z}_{1}\right), z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \\
& \theta_{12}\left(t_{1}, t_{2} ; \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{z}_{n}\right)=\left(\theta\left(t_{1}, \tilde{z}_{1}\right), \theta\left(t_{2}, \tilde{z}_{2}\right), z_{3}, \ldots, z_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on, often omitting parameters $t_{i}$ in the argument to indicate corresponding chains. Again certain restrictions descend to subsets of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$; e.g. by restricting $\theta_{12}$ to $T_{z_{1}}^{+} \cap T_{z_{2}}^{-}$we have $\theta_{12}^{+-}:[0,1]^{2} \times\left(T_{z_{1}} \cap T_{z_{2}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ and more generally $\theta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}^{s_{1} \ldots s_{k}}=: \theta_{i}^{s}$. For $z \in T_{z_{i}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{i_{k}}$, the formal sum (considered as a chain) $\sum_{s_{1} \ldots \ldots s_{k}=+.-}(-1)^{\Pi^{s_{j}}} \theta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{s}}(z)$ yields a topological $k$-torus $\left(S^{\mathrm{l}}\right)^{k}$.

All of these definitions make sense crossed with $X$. Below we shall use the shorthand $T_{z_{i}}$ for $X \times T_{z i}$, and so on.

[^0]3.3. BOUNDING CHAIN FOR $\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}$

The idea is to use $\theta_{1}$ to push $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}$ down (along the first coordinate) to $z_{1}=1$, with discrepancy arising from $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon} \cap T_{z_{1}}$ which we then push (along the second coordinate, using $\theta_{12}$ ) down to $z_{2}=1$, and so on.


If $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}$ is the preimage of $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}$ under $\mathcal{N}: X \times \mathbb{D}^{n} \rightarrow X \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$, one writes the chain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\tilde{\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}}\right):=\theta_{1}\left(\tilde{\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}}\right)-\theta_{12}\left(\tilde{\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}} \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{1}}\right)+\cdots+ \pm \theta_{1 \ldots n}\left(\tilde{\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}} \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{n}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose boundary is a sort of 'geometric coliapsing sum' yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \theta\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}}\right)=\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon} \pm \sum_{\mathrm{s}}(-1)^{\mathrm{s}} \theta_{1 \ldots n}^{\mathrm{s}}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon} \cap T_{n}\right)+\theta\left(\widetilde{\partial \gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

plus terms supported on $X \times \mathbb{I}^{n}$. The second term is supported over $T_{\mathrm{f}}$ with fibers $\sim\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$; we may tacitly modify $\theta\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}}\right)$ by a 'trivial' chain (which would not affect integrals) uniformizing these tori, and replace the second term by $T_{\mathrm{f}} \times\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$. The third term is in $\bar{N}_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ (trivial for our immediate purposes) but gives some insight into residues of the AJ (and cycle-class) constructions below. Ignoring codimension 2, it turns out that $\theta\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{i}^{\epsilon}}\right) \approx \sum_{i} S_{z_{i}}^{1} \times \theta\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{i} \mathrm{f}}\right)$ is a sufficiently accurate approximation (for good $\mathbf{f}$ ), making the construction 'telescopic' in codimension 1 (only!). Pursuing this leads to a geometric proof of Proposition 5.3.

For $\alpha \in \Omega_{X^{\infty} . c}^{2 d . n}\left(X \backslash V_{f}\right)$ d-closed and compactly supported on [a closed subset of] $X \backslash N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & = \pm \int_{\theta\left(\overline{\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{f}}}\right)} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \mathrm{~d} \alpha=\int_{\theta\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{f}}}\right)} \mathrm{d}\left\{\Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \alpha\right\}=\int_{\partial \theta\left(\widetilde{\left.\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)}\right.} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \alpha \\
& =\int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{( }} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \alpha \pm \int_{\left.T_{\mathrm{f}} \times\left(S^{1}\right)\right)^{i}} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \alpha+\int_{\theta\left(\partial \widetilde{\left.\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{f}}\right)}\right.} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \alpha \\
& =\int_{X} \Omega_{\mathrm{f}} \wedge \alpha \pm(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \int_{T_{\mathrm{f}}} \alpha+0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}\right] \equiv\left[(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \int_{T_{\mathrm{f}}}\right] \in\left\{H^{2 d-n}\left(X, V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\right\}^{\vee} \cong H^{n}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

Since $\left[(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \int_{T_{\mathrm{f}}}\right] \in \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{n}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\}$ this completes the proof (see Lemma 2.2) that Tame $\{\mathbf{f}\}=0 \Longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{f}}=0$. We shall henceforth work under the assumption that Tame $\{\mathbf{f}\}$ is trivial or $n>d\left[\Longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{f}}=0\right.$ by type considerations $]$. Either condition clearly $\Longrightarrow\left[T_{\mathfrak{f}}\right] \sim 0$ in $H_{2 d-n}\left(X, V_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$,* so that there is a membrane $\zeta$ with $\partial \zeta=T_{\mathbf{f}}+$ chains supported on $V_{\mathbf{f}}$; we often write $\partial_{\left(X, V_{t}\right)}^{-1} T_{\mathrm{f}}$ for $\zeta$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\epsilon}^{-1} \gamma_{f}:=\theta\left(\tilde{\gamma_{f}^{\epsilon}}\right) \mp \zeta \times\left(S^{1}\right)^{n} ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

modulo $X \times \mathbb{I}^{n}$ and $\overline{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ this now bounds exactly on $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon}$. We summarize in the following proposition.
PROPOSITION 3.1. If Tame $\{\mathbf{f}\}=0$ or $n>d$ then the relative cycle-class of $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}$ in $H_{2 d}\left(\left(X, N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{f}\right)\right) \times\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}, \mathbb{I}^{n}\right)\right)$ is trivial.
3.4. COMPUTATION OF AI

We now propose to define $\mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ by integrating 'test forms' over $\partial_{\epsilon}^{-1} \gamma_{\mathrm{f}}$; intuitively this should map to

$$
\frac{H^{2 n-1}\left(\left(X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times\left(\square^{n}, \partial \square^{n}\right)\right)}{F^{n}\{\text { num }\}+\{\text { num }\}_{\mathbb{Z}}} \cong \frac{\left\{F^{d+1} H^{2 d+1}\left(\left(X, V_{f}\right) \times\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}, \mathbb{I}^{n}\right)\right)\right\}^{\vee}}{\text { periods }}
$$

the expression in braces is

$$
F^{d-n+1} H^{2 d-n+1}\left(X, V_{\mathbf{f}}\right) \otimes\left\langle\Omega^{n}\right\rangle=H^{2 d-n+1}\left(X, V_{\mathbf{f}}\right) \otimes\left\langle\Omega^{n}\right\rangle
$$

which is to say the $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}, \mathbb{I}^{n}\right)$ part absorbs all Hodge-theoretic restrictions on the test forms.
${ }^{*}\left[T_{\mathbf{T}}\right]$ may still be torsion in $H_{2 d-n}\left(X, V_{\mathbf{f}} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, so in particular the membrane may require $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients.

Remark. Since there is actually nothing preventing us, then, from taking an integral basis for these test forms, we might as well substitute [Poincaré duals of] topological $(2 d-n+1)$-cycles. This is the point of view we take in subsequent sections.

DEFINITION. AJ $\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \in H^{n-1}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right) \cong\left\{H^{2 d-n+1}\left(X, V_{f}\right)\right\}^{\vee} /$ $\operatorname{im}\left\{H_{2 d-n+1}\left(X, V_{\mathbf{r}} ; \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right\}$ is the functional on forms $\omega \in \Gamma\left(\Omega_{X^{\infty}, c}^{2 d-n+1}\right)$ supported on a compact subset of $X \backslash \overline{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{f}\right)}$, given by the integral $\int_{\partial_{\epsilon}^{-1} \gamma_{\mathrm{f}}} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi_{X}^{*} \omega$. The ambiguity of $\mathbb{Q}(n) \cdot\{$ periods $\}$ is generated by the choice of $\zeta=\partial_{\left(X, V_{f}\right)}^{-1} T_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Remark. We usually take the image of $\mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{f}\right) \in H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)$ by the direct limit.

Now recall that

$$
\partial_{\epsilon}^{-1} \gamma_{\mathrm{f}}=\theta_{1}\left(\tilde{\gamma_{\mathbf{f}}^{\epsilon}}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{n}(-1)^{k-1} \theta_{1 \ldots k}\left(\tilde{\gamma_{\mathbf{f}}^{\epsilon}} \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{k}-1}\right)+\partial_{\left(X, V_{f}\right)}^{-1} T_{\mathbf{f}} \times\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}
$$

The first term of the integral defining $\mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is (partially) computed by integrating $\mathrm{d} \log z_{1}$ along the fibers of $\theta_{1}\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathrm{\epsilon}}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\theta_{1}\left(\widehat{\gamma_{f}^{\prime}}\right)} \operatorname{dlog} z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} z_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega \\
& \quad=\int_{\gamma_{f}^{\prime}} \log z_{1} \operatorname{dlog} z_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \log z_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega \\
& \quad=\sum m_{j} \int_{X} \log f_{1 j} \operatorname{dlog} f_{2 j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n j} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\theta_{1 \ldots k}\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{f}^{*}} \cap \tilde{\tau}_{\varepsilon_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap \tilde{r}_{z_{k-1}}\right)} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega \\
& =\int_{\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\epsilon} \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap \hat{T}_{z_{k-1}}\right) \times[0.1]^{k}} \theta_{1 \ldots k}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} \log z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \log z_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega\right) \\
& =\int_{\left(\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\epsilon} \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{T}_{z_{k-1}}\right) \times[0,1]^{k}} \theta^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} \log z_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{*}\left(\operatorname{dlog} z_{k}\right) \wedge \\
& \wedge \operatorname{dlog} z_{k+1} \wedge \operatorname{diog} z_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega \\
& =(2 \pi \mathbf{i})^{k-1} \int_{\gamma_{\mathbf{f}} \cap T_{z_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap T_{z_{k-1}}} \log z_{k} \operatorname{dilog} z_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} z_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega \\
& =(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{k-1} \sum m_{j} \int_{T_{f_{1} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{k-1}}}} \log f_{k j} \mathrm{~d} \log f_{(k+1) j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n j} \wedge \omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\log f_{k j}$ is understood as having argument $\in(-\pi, \pi]$. Therefore the whole integral $\left[\mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{\mathbf{f}}\right)\right](\omega)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial_{\epsilon}^{-1} \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}} \Omega_{n} \wedge \pi^{*} \omega=\sum m_{j}\left\{\int_{X} \log f_{1, j} \operatorname{d} \log f_{2 j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n j} \wedge \omega-\right. \\
& -2 \pi \mathrm{i} \int_{T_{f_{1 j}}} \log f_{2, j} \mathrm{~d} \log f_{3, j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n j} \wedge \omega+ \\
& \left.+\cdots \pm(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n-1} \int_{T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{(n-1) j}}} \log f_{n j} \wedge \omega\right\} \mp \\
& \mp(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \int_{\partial_{\left(X, V_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\prime}}^{-1}} \omega \\
& =: \int_{X} R_{\mathrm{f}} \wedge \omega \mp(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \int_{\partial_{\left(X, v_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}^{-1} T_{\mathrm{f}}} \omega \\
& =: \int_{X} R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime} \wedge \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. $\mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{\mathbf{f}}\right) \in H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$ is computed by the class of the current $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$.

Any given topological ( $n-1$ )-cycle $\mathcal{C}$ on $X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}$ has a $C^{\infty}$ Poincaré-dual ( $2 d-$ $n-1$ )-form $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ compactly supported on $X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}$; so one defines periods $\int_{\mathcal{C}} R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}:=$ $\int_{X} R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime} \wedge \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ and observes that their values $\in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)$ determine $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right]=\mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$. Since $(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \int_{\zeta} \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an intersection number $\in \mathbb{Q}(n)$, from this point of view $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}\right]$ will suffice.

Remark. One can also check geometrically that $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \mathrm{AJ}\left(\gamma_{\mathbf{f}}\right)$ descends to Milnor $K$-theory (see [18, Section 2.1]), but it is much easier to do algebraically with the bit of formalism we develop in the next section.

## 4. Milnor-regulator Currents

4.1. Currents on $X$ and $\eta_{X}$

An m-current on $X$ is a section of the sheaf ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{m}=\mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{X^{\infty}}^{2 d-m}\right)$ of distributions on $C^{\infty}$ - forms.
EXAMPLE 4.1. Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a real-codimension- $k$ analytic subset, $\eta$ an $\ell$-form with singularities along $D \subset Y$. Then $\eta \cdot \delta_{Y}$ defines a current $\in \Gamma\left({ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{k+\ell}\right)$ by the formula $\int_{X}\left(\eta \cdot \delta_{Y}\right) \wedge \omega:=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Y \backslash N_{\epsilon}(D)} \eta \wedge l_{Y}^{*} \omega$, provided the limit converges for all $\omega \in \Gamma\left(\Omega_{X^{\alpha}}^{2 d-k-\ell}\right)$.

Like $C^{\infty}$-forms, currents form a complex of acyclic sheaves with

$$
\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{X}^{*}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{X}^{*}\right)\right) \cong H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{C})
$$

under the differential defined by [15]

$$
\int_{X} \mathrm{~d}[S] \wedge \omega=(-1)^{1+\operatorname{deg} s} \int_{X} S \wedge \mathrm{~d} \omega
$$

EXAMPLE 4.2. $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{dlog} f]=2 \pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{(f)}$ on $X$.
A different kind of example concerns us here, where we are not interested in 'residues' of this sort, and want to work 'away' from $V_{\mathrm{f}}$. If $\jmath: X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}} \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion, $J_{!} \Omega_{X \backslash V_{r}+\infty}^{2 d}$ is the sheaf of $C^{\infty}$-forms compactly supported away from $V_{\mathrm{r}}$. Let ' $\mathcal{D}_{V_{\infty}}^{m} \subseteq{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{m}$ be the subsheaf of currents annihilating these forms; these are the 'currents on $X$ supported on $V^{\prime}$ (distinct from currents ' $\mathcal{D}_{V}^{m}$ 'on $V$ '). Ignoring these we get currents on $X \backslash V$,

$$
\left.' \mathcal{D}_{(X \backslash V)^{\infty}}^{m}:=\mathcal{D}_{X}^{m}\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{V \infty}^{m}=\mathcal{D}\left(\jmath!\Omega_{(X \backslash V)^{\infty}}^{2 d-m}\right) .
$$

These form a complex, and $\int_{X} S \wedge \omega$ induces a perfect pairing between

$$
H^{m}\left\{\Gamma \left(X,{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left.(X \backslash V)^{\infty}\right)} \cong \cong H^{m}(X \backslash V, \mathbb{C})\right.\right.
$$

and

$$
H^{2 d-m}\left\{\Gamma\left(X, J_{!} \Omega_{(X \backslash V)^{\infty}}^{*}\right)\right\} \cong H_{c}^{2 d-m}(X \backslash V, \mathbb{C}) .
$$

EXAMPLE 4.3. $\mathrm{d}[\log f]=\mathrm{d} \log f-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{T_{f}}$ on $\eta_{X}$, where $T_{f}$ is oriented so that $\partial T_{f}=(f)_{0}-(f)_{\infty}$.

With the convention that the $\delta_{T_{i}}$ 's anti-commute with the dlog $f_{i}$ 's and the like, we may differentiate combinations of these exactly like forms (with regard to signs).
4.2. FORMALIZATION OF THE MAP $\{f) \mapsto R_{f}$

## Define a map

$$
R: \otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}^{n-1}\right)
$$

induced by sending

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}= & \mathbf{f} \mapsto R_{\mathbf{f}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n}( \pm 2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{i-1} \log f_{i} \operatorname{dlog} f_{i+1} \wedge \\
& \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{T_{f_{i}} \cap \cdots \cap \cap T_{f_{i-1}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pm=(-1)^{n-1}$. Singularities are integrable even for f 'bad' so this makes sense as a current on all of $X$. Now modulo ' $\mathcal{D}_{V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\infty}}^{n}$, applying d gives a collapsing sum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}\right]= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}( \pm 2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{i-1} \operatorname{dlog} f_{i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{f_{f_{1}} \cap \cap \cap T_{f_{i-1}}}- \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n}( \pm 2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{i} \operatorname{dog} f_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{T_{f_{1} \cap \ldots \cap T_{f_{i}}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$=\operatorname{dlog} f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n}-(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap_{T_{n}}}=\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}-(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{T_{\mathrm{r}}}$.

Assuming $n>d$ or $\operatorname{Tame}\{\mathbf{f}\}=0, \Omega_{\mathrm{f}}=0$ and $\partial_{\left(X, V_{1}\right.}^{-1} T_{\mathrm{f}}=: \zeta_{\mathrm{r}}$ exists, so that the $(n-1)$-current $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=R_{\mathrm{f}}+(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{\dot{\text { sf}}}$ has $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right] \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{V \infty}^{n}\right)$ and so is closed in $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{(X \backslash V)^{\infty}}^{*}\right)$. We get a class in $H^{n-1}\left(X \backslash V_{f}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ well defined up to classes generated by currents $\left\{(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \mid[\mathcal{C}] \in H_{n-1}\left(X, V_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)\right\}$ as before.

PROPOSITION 4.4. For $n>d$ the assignment $\mathbf{f} \mapsto R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ induces a well-defined $\operatorname{map} R^{\prime}: K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$.

Proof. For $\mathbf{f}$ any Steinberg we must write $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ (for some choice of $\zeta_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) as a coboundary $\mathrm{d}[S]$ in $\Gamma\left({ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(X \backslash V_{\mathrm{F}}\right.}^{*}\right)$ - that is, modulo components with support in codimension $\geqslant 1$.

Case 1: $\mathbf{f}=f \otimes(1-f) \otimes \mathbf{g}$.
As $T_{f} \cap T_{i-f} \subset V_{\mathrm{f}}$. we may choose $\zeta_{\mathrm{f}}=0$ and so

$$
R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=\log f \mathrm{~d} \log (1-f) \wedge \Omega_{\mathrm{g}}+(-1)^{n-1} 2 \pi \mathrm{i} \log (1-f) \Omega_{\mathrm{g}} \cdot \delta_{T_{f}}
$$

Now one may view all the polylogarithms as single-valued functions with branch cut at $T_{1-z}=[1, \infty] \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$, and so as 0 -currents on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with

$$
\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{Li}_{n}(z)\right]=\mathrm{Li}_{n-1}(z) \operatorname{dlog} z+\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}{(n-1)!} \log ^{n-1} z \cdot \delta_{T_{1-i}}
$$

(This formula follows from the discussion of monodromy in [16].) Setting $S=-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-f) \wedge \Omega_{\mathrm{g}}$, we have $\mathrm{d}[S]=R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$.
Case 2: $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{g} \otimes f_{1} f_{2}-\mathbf{g} \otimes f_{1}-\mathbf{g} \otimes f_{2}$.
The difficuity here is the branch change: $\log f_{1}+\log f_{2}-\log f_{1} f_{2}=$ : $2 \pi \mathrm{i} \delta_{\Delta_{t}} \neq 0$, for some ( $2 d-1$ )-chain $\Delta_{f}$ with $\partial \Delta_{f}=T_{f_{1} f_{2}}-T_{f_{1}}-T_{f_{2}}$. Since dlog $f_{1} f_{2}=\operatorname{dlog} f_{1}+\operatorname{dlog} f_{2}$, most terms cancel in $R_{\mathbf{f}}=R_{\mathrm{g} \otimes f_{1} f_{2}}-$ $R_{\mathbf{q} \otimes f_{1}}-R_{y \otimes f_{2}}= \pm(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{\Delta_{f}} \cdot \delta_{T_{\mathrm{z}}}$. By choosing $\zeta_{\mathrm{f}}=T_{\mathrm{g}} \cap \Delta_{f}$ we actually cancel this term and get $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=0$ (no need for $S$ ).
Case 3: Alternation is more involved. We do increasing levels of difficulty.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}: \mathbf{f}=f \otimes g+g \otimes f . \text { On } X-V \\
& \quad R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=\log f \operatorname{dlog} g-(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}) \log g \cdot \delta_{T_{f}}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\log g \mathrm{~d} \log f-(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}) \log f \cdot \delta_{T_{k}}=\mathrm{d}[\log f \log g]
$$

$\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3}: \mathbf{f}=f \otimes g \otimes h+g \otimes f \otimes h \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{d}[\log f \log g \mathrm{~d} \log h]$
$\mathbf{f}=f \otimes g \otimes h+f \otimes h \otimes g \Rightarrow R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{d}\left[2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \log g \log h \cdot \delta_{T_{f}}\right]$
$\mathbf{f}=f \otimes g \otimes h+h \otimes g \otimes f \Rightarrow R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=\log f \mathrm{~d} \log g \wedge \mathrm{~d} \log h+$
$+(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}) \log g \mathrm{~d} \log h \cdot \delta_{T_{f}}-4 \pi^{2} \log h \cdot \delta_{T_{f} \cap T_{s}}+$
$+\log h \operatorname{dlog} g \wedge \operatorname{diog} f+(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}) \log g \operatorname{dlog} f \cdot \delta_{T_{h}}-$
$-4 \pi^{2} \log f \cdot \delta_{T_{h} \cap T_{g}}$
$=\mathrm{d}\left[-\log f \log h \operatorname{diog} g+2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \log f \log g \cdot \delta_{T_{k}}+\right.$ $\left.+2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \log h \log g \cdot \delta_{T_{f}}\right]$.
$\mathbf{n}>\mathbf{3}: \mathbf{f}=f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{j} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}+f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{j} \otimes \cdots \otimes$ $f_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}$. Then on $X \backslash V_{f}, R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{d}[S]$ (choosing $\partial_{(X, V)}^{-1} T_{\mathrm{f}}=\partial^{-1} 0=0$ ) where

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{i j}= & (2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{i-1} \log f_{i} \log f_{j} \mathrm{~d} \log f_{i+1} \\
& \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{j-1} \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{i-1}}}+ \\
& +(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{i}\left[\log f_{i} \log f_{i+1} \operatorname{dlog} f_{i+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{j-1} \wedge\right. \\
& \wedge \operatorname{d} \log f_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{i-1}} \cap T_{f_{j}}}+ \\
& +\log f_{j} \log f_{i+1} \operatorname{dlog} f_{i+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{j-1} \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{j+1} \\
& \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{\left.T_{f_{1} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{i-1}} \cap T_{f_{i}}}\right]+\cdots+} \\
& +(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{i-2}\left[\log f_{i} \log f_{j-1} \operatorname{dlog} f_{j+1}\right. \\
& \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{T_{f_{1} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{i-1}} \cap T_{f_{j}} \cap T_{f_{i+1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{j-2}}} \times} \\
& \times \log f_{j} \log f_{j-1} d \log f_{j+1} \\
& \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \cdot \delta_{\left.T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{i-1}} \cap T_{f_{i}} \cap T_{f_{i+1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{j-2}}\right] .}
\end{align*}
$$

Remarks. (i) Let $n$ and $d$ be arbitrary. Clearly the proof also shows that $R^{\prime}$ induces a map $\left\{\operatorname{ker}(\right.$ Tame $\left.) \subseteq K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))\right\} \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$. On the other hand, to induce a map on all of $K_{n}^{M}$ one needs the triple* $\left((2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} T_{\mathbf{f}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{f}}, R_{\mathbf{f}}\right)$. The resulting general version of the Milnor regulator, $K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)$, is also the form in which it is compatible with products. That is, $K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \otimes K_{m}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow$ $K_{n+m}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$ never corresponds to simply wedging together $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ 's - you have to use the multiplication table (in [8] or [19]) for computing $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes$ $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{m}(X, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+m}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}(n+m)\right.$ ). This boils down to the formula $R_{\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{g}}=$ $R_{\mathrm{f}} \wedge \Omega_{\mathrm{g}} \pm(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} R_{\mathrm{g}} \cdot \delta_{T_{\mathrm{f}}}$ in general.
(ii) Composition $R^{\prime}$ with the 'real' projection $H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}\right.$, $\mathbb{R}(n-1)$ ) gives a map $r: K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, corresponding to the real (imaginary) part of $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ for $n$ odd (even). [Note that the ambiguous membrane term is killed.] This regulator $r$ agrees (up to factors of $(2 \pi \mathrm{i})$ ) with the induced by the map in [11, Section 2.2], see [18, Section 3.1]. Composing $r$ with further projection to $\left(\left\{H^{n-1.0}(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{0 . n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})\right\} \cap\right.$ $\left.H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{R})\right)^{\vee}$ on the right, and on the left with $\left.H^{0}\left(X, K_{n . X}^{M}\right) \xrightarrow{(\cong}{ }^{( }\right) \operatorname{ker}($ Tame $)$ $\left[\subseteq K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))\right]$ (see [27]), induces the real regulator in [23] (for the case $m$, $k=n$ ).

[^1]
## PART 2. RESIDUES AND POLYLOGARITHMS

## 5. Higher Residues for Currents

5.1. DEFINITION OF Res

Following a suggestion in [9], we now construct a local-global spectral picture which will aid in describing the 'residues' of the Milnor currents. We must avoid the assumption of normal crossings, since even for good $\mathbf{f}$ the situation may not be this nice.

Consider the following inclusions for the ' $\mathbf{f}$-substrata' $V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
j^{(k)}: X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}} \hookrightarrow X, & j^{(k . k+1)}: V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1} \hookrightarrow V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k}, \\
t^{(k)}: V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k} \hookrightarrow X, & i^{(k, k+1)}: V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1} \hookrightarrow V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k}
\end{array}
$$

where $V_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}:=X$ and $V_{\mathrm{f}}^{1}:=V_{\mathrm{f}}$. Pushing forward by $c$ we have the exact sequence of sheaves

$$
0 \rightarrow i_{*}^{(k+1)} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow i_{*}^{(k)} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \quad t_{*}^{(k)} \mathbb{C} / \iota_{*}^{(k+1)} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0
$$

in which we may resolve terms by

Here

$$
' \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{f}^{k} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1}\right)^{\infty}}:=^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k}\right)}^{\bullet} /\left\{^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1}\right)^{\infty}}^{\bullet} \subset^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k}\right)}^{*}\right\}
$$

are (quotients of) currents on $V_{f}^{k}$ - not currents on $X$ with support on $V_{f}^{k}$, which is what they are mapping to via $l_{*}^{(k)}$ (essentially multiplication by $\delta_{V_{f}^{k}}$ ). The $(2 \pi i)^{k}$ twist will make sense later. The short-exact sequence reflects a descending filtration by coniveau

$$
F_{N}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathbb{C}:=t_{*}^{(\mathrm{p})} \mathbb{C}, \quad G r_{N}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathbb{C}=\frac{F_{N}^{\mathrm{p}}}{F_{N}^{\mathrm{p}+1}} \cong J_{*}^{(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}+1)} \mathbb{C}
$$

and from the acyclic resolutions we get the initial 'exact triangles'

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} H_{V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{V_{f}^{k}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow & H_{V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{C}) \\
& (2 \pi i)^{k} \cdot l_{*}^{(k)} \uparrow \cong  \tag{5.1}\\
& H^{*-2 i}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1}, \mathbb{C}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \ldots
$$

for the corresponding spectral sequence.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{*}^{(k)} \mathbb{C} \underset{(\simeq)}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{r}^{k}\right)^{\infty}}^{\bullet}, \\
& \iota_{*}^{(k)} \mathbb{C} / \iota_{*}^{(k+1)} \mathbb{C} \underset{(\approx)}{ }{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{f}^{k}\right)^{\infty}} / \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{f}^{k+1}\right)^{\infty}}^{*} \\
& (2 \pi i)^{k} \cdot t_{*}^{(k)} \uparrow \simeq \\
& { }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{k+1}\right) \infty}^{\bullet}[-2 k] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $E_{1}$-term is then ${ }^{*}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}^{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}}(n) & :=H^{2 n+\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}-1}\left\{\Gamma\left(X, G_{N}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathbb{C}\right)\right\} \\
& =H^{2 n+\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}-1}\left\{\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{\infty}}^{\prime} / \mathcal{D}_{\left.\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}+1}\right)^{\infty}\right)}^{\cdot}\right)\right\} \\
& \cong \\
& \cong \\
& \cong H^{2 \pi)^{p} t_{2}^{(p)}} \\
& \cong H^{2 n-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q})-1}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}+1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow r_{N}^{\mathrm{p}} H^{2 n+\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}-1}(X, \mathbb{C})=: E_{\infty}^{\mathrm{p},-\mathrm{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the $\mathrm{d}_{i}: E_{i}^{0,-n}(n) \rightarrow E_{i}^{i,-n-i+1}(n)$ in this spectral sequence to get 'residue' maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}^{i} & :\left\{\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Res}^{i-1}\right) \subseteq H^{n-1}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\} \\
& \rightarrow\left\{\text { subquotient of } H^{n-2 i}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{i} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{i+1}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing the left-hand column $E_{1}^{0, \mathrm{q}}(n) \cong H^{2 n-q}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ by zeroes, one has a spectral sequence ${ }^{\prime} E$ converging to $E_{\infty}^{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q}} \cong G r_{N}^{\mathrm{p}} H_{V_{\mathrm{f}}}^{2 n+\mathrm{p}-q-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$; a simple algebraic argument then gives the long-exact sequence

$$
\rightarrow H_{V_{\mathrm{f}}}^{*}(X) \underset{(\underset{(1)}{\mathrm{Gy}}}{\stackrel{(1)}{*}(X) \underset{J_{11}^{*}}{\longrightarrow} H^{*}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Res }} H_{V_{\mathrm{f}}}^{*+1}(X) \rightarrow}
$$

in which the $N$-graded pieces of Res are exactly the $\operatorname{Res}^{i}$.
PROPOSITION 5.1. If successive $\operatorname{Res}^{i}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right]$ are all trivial, then $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right]$ comes from $H^{*}(X)$.

This means (a) $\int_{X} R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime} \wedge(\cdot)$ gives a well-defined functional on $H_{c}^{*}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left(J_{*}^{(1)}\right)$ and (b) $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ may be 'completed' to a closed current on all of $X$ by adding currents supported on the $V_{\mathbf{f}}^{i \geqslant 1}$.

In order to work modulo the choice of membrane $\zeta_{\mathrm{r}}$, we need to modify the spectral sequence for $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)$ coefficients. Just as $\Gamma\left(X,{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{f}^{p}\right) \infty}^{*}\right)$ computed $H^{*}(X$, $\left.F_{N}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathbb{C}\right)$ above, cohomologies of $F_{N}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n))$ are given by taking $H^{*}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Cone}\left\{C_{2 d-\bullet}^{V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \xrightarrow{\delta_{(\cdot)}} \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right) \infty}^{\cdot}\right)\right\} \\
& \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} \text { Cone }\left\{C_{2 d-\cdot}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \mathbb{Q}(n-\mathrm{p})\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{(\cdot 1}} \Gamma\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \mathcal{D}_{V_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}}}^{\cdot}\right)\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

*Similarly, the choice of indices will also make sense later.

The corresponding $\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i}$ map from $\operatorname{ker}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i-1}\right) \subseteq H^{n-1}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$ to a subquotient of $H^{n-2 i}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{i} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{i+1}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n-i)\right)$.

COROLLARY 5.2. If the $\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right]$ are trivial, then a suitable modification of the choice of $\zeta_{\mathrm{f}}$ gives an $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}$ completable to a closed section $\in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}^{\prime n-1}\right)$. All $\operatorname{Res}^{i}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ are zero.

## Remark.

(i) We frequently work with $d(=\operatorname{dim} X)=n-1$. To get nontrivial $\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i}$ in this case, we must take $d \geqslant 2 i$.
(ii) By similar reasoning, if $\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right] \equiv 0$ for all $i \leqslant r, \exists R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}$ with $\operatorname{Res}^{i}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]=0$ for all $i \leqslant r$.

### 5.2. INTERPRETATION OF Res ${ }^{1}$

This is essentially just $\mathrm{d}[\cdot]$. Tracing through $d_{1}$ in the spectral sequence, $\left[R_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right] \in$ $H^{n-1}\left(X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ lifts to a closed section $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime} \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{X}^{n-1} / /^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left.\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{\infty}\right)}\right)$, then to a nonclosed section $\in \Gamma\left(X,{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{n-1}\right)$, so that $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right] \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{\infty}}^{n} /\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right)^{\infty}}^{n}\right)$. Modulo currents exact in the last complex, the image (of $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right]$ ) lifts to $(1 / 2 \pi \mathrm{i}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right] \in \Gamma\left(V, \mathcal{D}_{V_{f}}^{n-2} /{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}\right) \infty}^{n-2}\right)$ under $(2 \pi \mathrm{i}) \cdot i_{*}^{(1)}$; then $\operatorname{Res}^{1}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right]$ is the class of the latter, in $H^{n-2}\left(V_{\mathrm{f}} \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

If $\mathbf{f}=f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}$ is good, then in $\Gamma\left({ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}\right]= \mathrm{d}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}( \pm 2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{k-\mathrm{i}} \log f_{k} \mathrm{~d} \log f_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \log f_{n} \cdot \delta_{T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{k-1}}}\right] \\
&= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1}( \pm 2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{k-1} 2 \pi \mathrm{i}(-1)^{\ell-k-1} \log f_{k} \operatorname{diog} f_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \times\right. \\
& \times \widehat{\operatorname{dog} f_{\ell}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d} \log f_{n} \cdot \delta_{T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{k-1}}}+\sum_{k=\ell+1}^{n}( \pm 2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{k-1} \times \\
& \times(-1)^{n+\ell-k-1} \log f_{k+1} \operatorname{dlog} f_{k+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} f_{n} \\
& \cdot \delta_{\left.T_{f_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \widehat{T_{f_{\ell}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{f_{k-1}}}\right] \cdot \delta_{\left(f_{\ell}\right)} \pm(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{T_{f}}}^{=} \\
& 2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}(-1)^{\ell} R_{f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{f}_{\ell} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}} \cdot \delta_{\left(f_{\ell}\right)} \pm(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{T_{f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now writing $V_{\mathrm{f}}=\cup\left\{\left|\left(f_{\ell}\right)\right|=: V_{\ell}\right\}, W_{\ell}:=V_{\ell} \cap V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$, the boundary of the membrane is

$$
\partial_{X}\left(\partial_{\left(X, v_{\ell}\right)}^{-1} T_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=T_{\mathbf{f}}-\sum_{\ell}(-1)^{\ell} \partial_{\left(V_{\ell}, W_{\ell}\right)}^{-1} T_{\partial_{\ell} f}
$$

and so

$$
\mathrm{d}\left[R_{f}^{\prime}\right]=2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}(-1)^{\ell} R_{\partial_{\ell}}^{\prime} \cdot \delta_{\left(f_{\ell}\right)}
$$

dividing by $2 \pi$ i gives Res ${ }^{1}$.
Noticing that

$$
\{\mathbf{g}\}=0 \Longrightarrow R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{d}[S] \text { on } X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{all}^{\operatorname{Res}^{i}}\left[R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\prime}\right]=0
$$

then gives the
PROPOSITION 5.3. For $n>d$ we have a commutative diagram

and for all $n, d[\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}($ Tame $) \Longrightarrow \overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{1}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}^{\prime}\right] \equiv 0$.
5.3. INTERPRETATION OF Res ${ }^{2}$

This is defined when codimension-1 residues are trivial. From the remark to Co rollary $5.2, \overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{1}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}^{\prime}\right] \equiv 0 \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Res}^{1}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]=0 \Longrightarrow \mathrm{~d}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ exact in $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathbf{f}}\right)^{\infty}}^{*} /\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{f}^{2}\right) \infty}^{\bullet}\right)$. That is, $\exists S \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{f}\right) \infty}^{n-1}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]=\mathrm{d}[S]$ modulo ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right) \infty}^{n}$. Now to see how to compute $\operatorname{Res}^{2}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]$, we paste together exact triangles (5.1):


First use $\mathrm{d}[S]$ to 'move' support of $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ to $V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$, obtaining $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}-S\right] \in \Gamma$ $\left(X,{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right)^{\infty}}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}\right)^{\infty}}^{n} / \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}^{3}\right)^{\infty}}^{n}\right)$; then move the image by a coboundary and lift via $(2 \pi i)^{2} \cdot l_{*}^{(2)}$ to

$$
\frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \mathrm{~d}\left[\widetilde{R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}-S}\right] \in \Gamma\left(V_{\mathbf{f}}^{2}, \mathcal{D}_{V_{\mathbf{f}}^{2}}^{n-4} /^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\left(V_{\mathbf{f}}^{3}\right) \infty}^{n-4}\right)
$$

finally going modulo $\mathrm{im}\left(d_{1}\right)$ (and exact currents).
EXAMPLE 5.4. Take the case $n=4, X=$ a 3 -fold, $\mathbf{f}$ good $\in \operatorname{ker}$ (Tame). For simplicity we restrict to a Zariski-open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of a normal crossing in $V_{\mathrm{f}}$, so that $V_{\mathrm{f}}=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, V_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}=V_{1} \cap V_{2}=: W$. Now $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}$ is closed in codimension 0 , and Tame $\{\mathbf{f}\}=0 \Longrightarrow \mathrm{~d}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is exact $\left(=\mathrm{d}\left[S_{j}\right]\right.$ on $V_{i}$ ) in codimension 1. So $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}-S_{j}$ (on $V_{j}$ ) is closed in codimension 1 , and $\left(1 / 4 \pi^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}\left[S_{j}-R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]=\left(1 / 4 \pi^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}\left[S_{j}\right]$ is our answer in codimension 2 . Intuitively

$$
\operatorname{Res}^{2}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]=\operatorname{Res}_{W}\left\{\mathrm{~d}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{v_{1}}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)-\mathrm{d}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{v_{2}}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)\right\}
$$

modulo $\operatorname{Res}_{W}$ of currents on $V_{j}$ closed in codimension 1; this formula is the key to understanding what these higher residues are.

Notice that if $S_{j}$ has terms of the form $2 \pi i \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(f_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} \log g_{j} \cdot \delta_{V_{j}}$ [which one expects from Case 1 , proof of Proposition 4.4] and $g_{j}=0$ or $\infty$ at $W$, then the 0 -current $\operatorname{Res}^{2}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ has terms $\mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(f_{j}\right)$ on $W$. One expects a certain rigidity here: namely, $\operatorname{Res}^{2}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ must actually (up to $4 \pi^{2} \mathbb{Q}$ 'jumps') be constant on $W$. That is because we have just locally traced through the composition

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\operatorname{ker}(\text { Tame }) & \xrightarrow{R^{\prime}} & \operatorname{ker}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{1}\right) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{2}} \frac{\bigcup_{y \in X^{2}} H^{0}\left(\eta_{y}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(2)\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(d_{1}\right)}=\frac{\amalg_{y \in X^{2}} \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(2)}{\operatorname{im}\left(d_{1}\right)} . \\
K_{4}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) & H^{3}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(4)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Logically, the next step should be to define 'Tame ${ }^{2}$ ' on $\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Tame}) \subseteq K_{4}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$, so as to get a diagram for $\operatorname{Res}^{2}$ analogous to Proposition 5.3. First recall the Bloch group $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{C}(X)):=\mathbb{Z}\{\mathbb{C}(X) \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}\}$ modulo the 'dilogarithm' relations*

$$
[f]-[g]+[g / f]-[(1-g) /(1-f)]+\left[\left(1-g^{-1}\right) /\left(1-f^{-1}\right)\right]
$$

and st: $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathbb{C}(X)^{*}$ maps $\{f\}_{2} \mapsto(1-f) \wedge f$. Clearly

$$
K_{2}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))=\operatorname{coker}(\mathrm{st})
$$

$$
K_{3}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))=\operatorname{coker}\left\{\mathrm{st} \otimes \mathrm{id}: \mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \otimes \mathbb{C}(X)^{*} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}
$$

let us also suppose the existence of $T: \bigwedge^{4} \mathbb{C}(X)^{*} \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^{1}} \bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{C}(X)^{*}$ inducing Tame.
${ }^{*}$ Note: these are relations on $\mathcal{L}_{2}(x)=\approx\left\{\left\{\operatorname{Li}_{2}(x)\right\}+\log |x| \arg (1-x)\right.$.

Now start by lifting $\{\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Tame}) \subseteq K_{4}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \in \bigwedge^{4} \mathbb{C}(X)^{*}$, and assume one can trace through a diagram like the following (with $T \circ T=0$ )

to get an element $\sum a_{i}\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{2}=: \operatorname{Tame}^{2}\{\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{st})$. It certainly seems plausible that (for some variant $\tilde{\mathrm{Li}}_{2}$ of the dilogarithm)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{y}^{2}\left[R_{\mathbf{f}}^{\prime}\right] \stackrel{?}{=} \tilde{\operatorname{Li}}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Tame}_{y}^{2}\{\mathbf{f}\}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

especially in light of the above 'rigidity' (as $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{st})$ is generated by algebraic numbers, see [29]). Similar arguments suggest an $i$-logarithmic behavior for $\operatorname{Res}^{i}\left[R_{f}^{\prime}\right]$.

These suggestions are not entirely wrong, but (except perhaps for individual computations) the approach outhined here would not work. To prove something like (5.3), one would need maps directly from the groups in the diagram, to corresponding currents. This is fine for Goncharov's real currents but ours are only well defined on the level $\otimes^{4} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}$ and so on. Moreover, while there is no problem with defining the first $T$, issues involving norm maps (encountered in the passage from codimension 1 to 2, see [12] or [18]) have so far proved fatal for defining the other two.

## 6. Higher Residues for $K_{n}^{M}$

6.1. AJ FOR HIGHER CHOW GROUPS

Since one therefore cannot define the higher residue maps for Milnor $K$-theory on the level of functions, we are forced to use the higher Chow complex.

Recall that on $\square^{n}$ we have $T_{n}=T_{z_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{z_{n}} \in C_{n}\left(\square^{n}\right), \Omega_{n}=\operatorname{dog} z_{n} \wedge$ $\cdots \wedge \operatorname{dlog} z_{n} \in \Gamma\left(F^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{D}_{\square n}^{n}\right)$, and set $R_{n}=R_{z 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{n}} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{\square n}^{n-1}\right)$. Given $\mathcal{Z} \in$ $Z^{p}(X, n)[X$ smooth projective $/ \mathbb{C}]$ with irreducible components $\mathcal{Z}_{j}$, let $\mathcal{Z}_{*}:=$ $\sum \pi_{X} \mathcal{Z}_{j} \circ \pi_{\square}^{\mathcal{Z}_{j}}$; and define

$$
R_{\mathcal{Z}}:=\mathcal{Z}_{*} R_{n} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}^{2 p-n-1}\right), \quad \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}:=\mathcal{Z}_{*} \Omega_{n} \in \Gamma\left(F^{p^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{2 p-n}\right)
$$

and

$$
T_{\mathcal{Z}}:=\pi_{X}\left(\left(X \times T_{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{Z}\right) \in C_{2 d-2 p+n}(X, \mathbb{Z})
$$

Note that $\pi_{X *}^{\mathcal{Z}_{j}}$ involves 'fiberwise integration' when fiber dimension of $\mathcal{Z}_{i} \rightarrow X$ is $\geqslant 1$.

Cohomology of the complex*

$$
C_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)):=\text { Cone }\left\{C_{2 d-\bullet}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \oplus \Gamma\left(X, F^{p^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{X}^{*}\right)\right\}[-1]
$$

computes Deligne cohomology: $H^{*}\left(C_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))\right) \cong H_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial T_{\mathcal{Z}}=T_{\partial_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Z}}, \quad \mathrm{d}\left[\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}\right]=\Omega_{\partial_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Z}}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathcal{Z}}\right]=-2 \pi \mathrm{i} R_{\partial_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Z}}+\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}-(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{T_{\mathcal{Z}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

sending (for $-\bullet=n$ )

$$
\mathcal{Z} \longmapsto(-2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{p-n}\left((2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} T_{\mathcal{Z}}, \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}, R_{\mathcal{Z}}\right)=: \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

induces a map of complexes

$$
\mathcal{R}: Z^{p}(X,-\bullet) \longrightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}}^{2 p+\bullet}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))
$$

and thus

$$
\mathrm{AJ}_{X}^{p, n}: \mathrm{CH}^{p}(X, n) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2 p-n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))
$$

## Remark.

(i) Whenever $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}=0$ (e.g. $p>d, p<n$ ) and $\partial_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Z}=0, \mathrm{~d}\left[R_{\mathcal{Z}}\right]=(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{T_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Longrightarrow$ $\exists$ topological $\mathbb{Q}$-chain $\zeta$ with $\partial \zeta=T_{\mathcal{Z}}$. So $R_{\mathcal{Z}}-(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{\zeta}=: R_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\prime}$ is a closed $(2 p-n-1)$-current and $\left[\left(1 /(-2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n-p}\right) R_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\prime}\right]=\mathrm{A} J_{X}^{p, n}(\mathcal{Z})$ (modulo torsion).
(ii) The composition of AJ with the real projection $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{p}: H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2 p-n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2 p-n}$ ( $X, \mathbb{R}(n)$ ), coincides with Goncharov's regulator map (in [11]); see [18, Section 3.1.1] for the proof.

### 6.2. DEFINITION OF TAME ${ }^{i}$

Now $\mathcal{R}$ essentially respects coniveau, and we can use this to induce a map of localglobal spectral sequences for CH and $H_{\mathcal{D}}$. The general case requires reduction to a normal-crossings/log-poles setting (as does AJ for quasi-projectives, e.g. $X \backslash V$ ); see [18, Section 2.4.1] and [19, Section 5.9]. An exception is the case $p>d$, where $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2 p-n}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p)) \cong H^{2 p-n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(p))$, the middle term of the cone complex drops out, and

$$
C_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p))=\operatorname{Cone}\left\{C_{2 d-\bullet}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p)) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X,{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{*}\right)\right\}[-1]
$$

[^2]is easily filtered by $F_{N}^{i} C_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p)):=$ limit of
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Cone }\left\{C_{2 d-a}^{V^{i}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p)) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{\left(V^{i}\right) \infty}^{*}\right)\right\}[-1] \underset{\left(2 \pi i^{i} \cdot \cdot_{*}^{(i)}\right.}{\simeq} \\
& \text { Cone\{ }\left\{C_{2 d-\bullet}\left(V^{i}, \mathbb{Q}(p-i)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(V^{i}, \mathcal{D}_{V^{i}}^{*}\right)\right\}[-1] .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

## The resulting

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}^{i,-j}(p) & =H^{2 n-(i+j)}\left\{\operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{i} C_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p))\right\} \\
& \cong \coprod_{x \in X^{i}} H^{2 p-(i+j)-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(p-i)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is the (modified) spectral sequence from Section 5.1; recall the $d_{i}: E_{i}^{0,-n}(p) \rightarrow$ $E_{i}^{i,-n-i+1}(p)$ are called $\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i}$. Let [4]

$$
E_{1}^{i,-j}(p):=H^{i-j}\left\{\mathrm{Gr}_{N}^{i} Z^{p}(X,-\bullet)\right\} \cong \coprod_{x \in X^{i}} \mathrm{CH}^{p-i}\left(\eta_{X}, j-i\right) ;
$$

then commutativity of the square

establishes the map ${ }^{\prime} E \rightarrow E$ induced by $\mathcal{R}$; in particular this enjoys the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i} \circ \mathrm{AJ}_{\eta X}^{p, n}=\left(\coprod_{x \in X^{i}} \mathrm{AJ}_{n X}^{p-i . n-1}\right) \circ^{\prime} d_{i} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as maps from ${ }^{\prime} E_{i}^{0 . n}(p) \rightarrow E_{i}^{i,-n-i+1}(p)$.
If furthermore $n=p(>d)$ then under $\gamma: K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \mathrm{CH}^{n}\left(\eta_{X}, n\right), \mathrm{AJ}_{\eta X}^{n . n}$ is identified with $R^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{\prime} d_{1}$ with Tame. So we generalize Tame $\left(=:\right.$ Tame $^{1}$ ) by setting $\operatorname{Tame}^{i}:={ }^{\prime} d_{i} \circ \gamma$. The ker(Tame ${ }^{i}$ ) then give a filtration on $K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$ and we define

$$
K_{n}^{M}(X):=\bigcap \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Tame}^{i}\right)
$$

This consists of those $\{\mathbf{f}\} \in K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$ whose [good representative's] graph $\bar{\gamma}_{\mathrm{f}} \in$ $Z^{n}(X, n)$ may be completed to a higher Chow ( $\partial_{\mathcal{B}^{-}}$) cycle by the addition of components with support (over $X$ ) of codimension $\geqslant 1$.

Now (6.1) becomes the ultimate generalization of Proposition 5.3:

PROPOSITION 6.1.
(i) The following diagram commutes:


In terms of currents, $\overline{\operatorname{Res}}_{x}^{i}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right] \equiv\left(1 /(-2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{i-1}\right) R_{d_{i}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{r}}\right)}^{\prime}$ up to coboundaries on $\eta_{x}$.
(ii) Since $\bigcap \operatorname{ker}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{i}\right)=\operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n))\right\}$, the Milnor regulator has a 'holomorphic' restriction

$$
R: K_{n}^{M}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\cong H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)) / \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{~Gy})
$$

Remark. Here Gy: $\lim _{V \subset X} H_{V}^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n))$ is the Gysin homomorphism ( $\vec{V} \subset X$ divisors); we are obviously concerned with situations where it is not surjective, e.g. $d=n-1$ and $K_{X} \geqslant 0$.
6.3. SOME QUESTIONS
(1) How deep is the filtration on $K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$ ?

CONJECTURE 6.2 [Beilinson-Soulé]. $\mathrm{CH}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{C}(x), m)=0$ for $m \geqslant 2 q$. (Known for $q=0,1$.)
(See [24].) Assuming $n \geqslant 2$ one has this easy.
COROLLARY 6.3. $K_{n}^{M}(X)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\right.$ Tame $\left.^{i}\right)$ if $2 i+1 \geqslant n($ or $i \geqslant d)$. Known for $n=2,3,4$; in particular $\operatorname{ker}($ Tame $)=K_{n}^{M}(X)$ for $n=2.3$.
(2) Does $\mathrm{AJ}_{n X}^{n-i . n-1}$ exhibit ' $i$-logarithmic' behavior?

Let us specialize to the case $n=2 i$, where this is obviously tied to Goncharov's conjecture that Chow polylogarithms are expressible in terms of classical polylogarithms. One easily writes an element $\mathcal{W} \in Z^{i}$ (pt., $2 i-1$ ) for which $R_{\mathcal{W}}$ is an $i$-logarithm value: namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{i}(a)= & \left(1-\frac{a}{w_{i-1}}, 1-\frac{w_{i-1}}{w_{i-2}}, \ldots, 1-\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.1-w_{1}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right) \subseteq \square^{2 i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

has $R_{\mathcal{W}_{i}(a)}=\int_{\mathcal{W}_{i}(a)} R_{2 i-1}=\operatorname{Li}_{i}(a)$. But you cannot build higher Chow cycles out of the $\mathcal{W}_{i}(a)$ without alternating them, and alternating destroys the computation.

Our feeling is that the ' $i$-logarithms' involved should be not the $\mathrm{Li}_{i}$, but $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(i)$ valued 'lifts' of the real-valued $\mathcal{L}_{i}$, defined only on small subsets of $\mathbb{Z}\{\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}\}$. We work this out for $i=2$ in the following example.

EXAMPLE 6.4. $n=4, X=3$-fold, $\{\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}$ (Tame). Recall that in Example 5.4 we attempted to relate $\overline{\operatorname{Res}}^{2}\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right] \in\left\{\coprod_{y \in X^{2}} \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(2)\right] / \operatorname{im}\left(d_{1}\right)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{C}(y))$ and the dilogarithm. We accomplish this now (in a weaker sense) by relating $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{AJ}_{\eta_{j}}^{2.3}$ (in the next proposition) on at least certain types of higher Chow cycles.

For $g \in \mathbb{C}(y) \backslash\{0.1\}$ let $\rho(g)=\operatorname{Alt}_{3}(1-g / z, 1-z, z) \in Z^{2}(\mathbb{C}(y), 3)$.
PROPOSITION 6.5 ([18, Section 3.1.2]).
(i) Given any element $\xi=\sum m_{j}\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{2} \in \operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{st}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{C}(y)), \sum m_{j} \rho\left(g_{j}\right) \in$ $Z^{2}(\mathbb{C}(y), 3)$ can be completed to a higher Chow cycle $\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}$ by adding decomposable elements $\in Z^{1}(\mathbb{C}(y), 2) \wedge Z^{1}(\mathbb{C}(y), 1)$.
(ii) Moreover, the 'real' projection of $\mathrm{AJ}_{\eta_{r}}^{2,3}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}\right)$ is the constant $\mathfrak{\Im}\left(R_{\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}}\right)=\sum m_{j} \mathcal{L}_{2}$ $\left(g_{j}(p)\right), p \in y$ a general point.

### 6.4. BLOCH'S CONSTRUCTION

There is a nice geometric perspective on the holomorphic Milnor regulator, which exhibits it as the correct generalization to higher $n(>d)$ of Bloch's construction (in Chapter 8 of [2], for $n=2, d=1$ ). Given a compact Riemann surface $\mathcal{E}$, and $\mathbf{f} \in$ $\otimes^{2} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{E})^{*}\right\}$ (not necessarily good) such that $\{\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}(T a m e) \subseteq K_{2}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{E})$ ), he shows how to complete $\overline{\gamma_{f}}$ to a certain kind of relative cycle $\Gamma_{\mathbf{f}}$ in $\left(\overline{\mathcal{E}} \times \square^{n}, \mathcal{E} \times \partial \square^{2}\right)$ by adding curves (in $\square^{2}$ ) over points of $\mathcal{E}$. Then he constructs a bounding chain, and integrates $\pi^{*} \omega_{X} \wedge \Omega_{2}$ over this bounding chain to get a map ker(Tame) $\rightarrow$ $H^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}(2))$ by duality.

He allows certain corner intersections (satisfying some integrability conditions) for his relative cycles; to get rid of the $\int$ conditions we disallow corners by taking $Z^{n}\left(X \times \square^{n}, X \times \partial \square^{n}\right)$ to be the subset of $c^{n}(X, n)$ consisting of $\left\{\mathcal{Z} \mid \mathcal{Z} \cdot\left(X \times \partial \square^{n}\right)=\right.$ $0\}$. We then choose a good representative $\mathbf{f}$ of $\{\mathbf{f}\} \in K_{n}^{M}(X)$ (not $\operatorname{ker}($ Tame), of course), complete $\overline{\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}}$ to a higher Chow cycle $\Gamma_{\mathrm{f}} \in Z^{n}(X, n)\left(\partial_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}=0\right)$, and alternate to a relative cycle* $\operatorname{Alt}_{n} \Gamma_{\mathrm{f}} \in Z^{n}\left(X \times \square^{n}, X \times \partial \square^{n}\right)$ Q. Finally we apply the homotopy $\theta$ from Section 3.3 [formula (3.1)] over all of $X$, to get a [limit of $]$ bounding chain $[\mathrm{s}]$ and integrate $\pi^{*} \omega_{X} \wedge \Omega_{n}$ over the result. We lose torsion information from the alternation, though; it is better** (and equivalent) to take $\mathrm{AJ}\left(\Gamma_{f}\right)$. In either case we must go modulo AJ of ambiguities in codim. $\geqslant 1$, arising from the completion $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}} \mapsto \Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}$; so the target is $H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)) / \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Gy})$.

[^3]
## PART 3. BEHAVIOR OF $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}}\right]$ IN FAMILIES

## 7. Rigidity

7.1. THE STATEMENT

We now investigate the behavior of the Milnor regulator on smooth $(n-1)$-dimensional complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^{n+r}$ of multidegree ( $D_{0}, \ldots, D_{r}$ ) $\left.r \geqslant 0\right]$; to avoid redundancy take all $D_{j} \geqslant 2$. The family of all such is denoted

$$
\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{S}:=\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}, \mathcal{O}\left(D_{0}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(D_{r}\right)\right) \backslash \Delta
$$

where $\Delta$ is the discriminant locus and $\pi^{-1}(s)=: X_{s}$. We are interested in the situation where

$$
\left\{\mathbf{f}_{s}\right\} \in \operatorname{ker}(\text { Tame }) \subseteq K_{n}^{M}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(X_{s}\right)\right)
$$

is an analytic family over an open ball $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{S}$; in particular, we assume $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{s}\right\}$ comes from the fiberwise restriction of $\{\mathbf{F}\} \in \operatorname{ker}$ (Tame) $\subseteq K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})$ ), where $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{S} \stackrel{\text { Zar. op. }}{\subset}$ is a finite cover and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}=\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. (We are implicitly identifying $\mathcal{U}$ with a component of $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$.)

For convenience write $\tilde{V}=V_{\mathbf{F}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}, V_{s}=V_{\mathrm{f}_{5}}=\tilde{V} \cap X_{s}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}}=\pi^{-1} \mathcal{U}$ and $V_{U}=\tilde{V} \cap \mathcal{X}_{U}$. shrinking $\mathcal{U}$ if necessary so that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}} \backslash V_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\left(X_{s} \backslash V_{s}\right) \times \mathcal{U}$ are diffeomorphic for any $s \in \mathcal{U}$. This ensures $\operatorname{rank}\left\{H^{n-1}\left(X_{s} \backslash V_{s}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\}$ is constant so that it makes sense to apply the Gauss-Manin connection to a section* of $H_{X_{s} \backslash V_{s}}^{n-3}$ on $\mathcal{U}$. Let $0 \in \mathcal{U}$ be a point.

Corresponding to $\{\mathbf{F}\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{s}\right\}$ there are regulator currents $R_{\mathbf{F}} \in \Gamma\left({ }^{\prime} \mathcal{D}_{\dot{x}}^{n-1}\right)$ generating by fiberwise pullback $\iota_{X_{s}}^{*} R_{\mathbf{F}}=R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}\right)$, so that $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right] \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}_{X_{s} \backslash V_{s}}^{n-1} \otimes\right.$ $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)$ ). By differentiating the periods of $R_{f_{s}}$ (modulo $\left.\mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$ on ( $n-1$ )-cycles $\mathcal{C}_{s} \subset X_{s} \backslash V_{s}$ to obtain $\nabla\left[R_{\mathbf{f}_{s}}\right] \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\mathcal{S}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_{s} \backslash V_{s}}^{n-1}\right)$, we will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 7.1 [Rigidity]. In the situation just described with $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{s}\right\} \in \operatorname{ker}($ Tame $) \subseteq$ $K_{n}^{M}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(X_{s}\right)\right), \nabla\left[R_{f_{s}}\right]$ is zero unless $X_{s}$ are elliptic curves; that is, unless $n=2$ $\left(\operatorname{dim} X_{s}=1\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(K_{X_{s}}\right)\left[=\sum D_{j}-(n+r+1)\right]=0,\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right]$ is flat .

Remark. In the case of elliptic curves Collino [6] has constructed a family $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{s}\right\} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\right.$ Tame), whose infinitesimal invariant $\nabla\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right]$ he shows to be nonzero by means of theta nulls.

### 7.2. THE PROOF

The first step is to lift $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}}\right]$ to $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}}^{\prime}\right] \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}_{X_{s} \backslash V_{s}}^{n-1}\right)$, in such a way that they come from fiberwise pullback of some $R_{\mathbf{F}}^{\prime} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}^{n-1}\right)$. Now while $\Omega_{\mathrm{f}_{5}}=0(n>$

[^4]$d=n-1),\{\mathbf{F}\} \in \operatorname{ker}($ Tame $)$ does not imply $\Omega_{\mathbf{F}}=0$ [compare Lemma 2.2]. This is because $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ is quasi-projective; in fact we have (in taking ' $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}$ ) purposely omitted from $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ fibers (which would have been 'vertical' components of $\tilde{V}$ ) along which Tame $\{\mathbf{F}\}$ may not be 0 . On the other hand, $\{\mathbf{F}\} \in \operatorname{ker}($ Tame $)$ does imply $\mathrm{d}\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right]=0$ [as in proof of 2.2], and so $\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}$ gives a class $\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right] \mathcal{U} \in H^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}} \backslash V_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ with $i_{{X_{0}}_{0}}^{*}\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right] \mathcal{U}=\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}\right]=0$; by acyclicity of $\mathcal{U}$ and rigidity of $H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{*},\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right]_{\mathcal{U}}=0$. Since (on $\left.\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}} \backslash V_{\mathcal{U}}\right) \mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{F}}\right]=\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}-(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{\delta_{T_{F}}},\left[T_{\mathbf{F}}\right]=0$ in $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}}, V_{\mathcal{U}} \cup \mathcal{X}_{\partial \mathcal{U}}\right)$. Set $R_{\mathrm{F}}^{\prime}:=R_{\mathrm{F}}+(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{\partial-1} T_{\mathrm{F}}$, where $\partial^{-1} T_{\mathrm{F}}$ is a relative bounding chain.

Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ be a coordinate system on $\mathcal{U}$ with $t_{i}(0)=0(\forall i)$; and let $\epsilon_{i} \in \mathcal{U}$ denote the point with $t_{j}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)=\epsilon \cdot \delta_{i j}$. Paths $\left[0, \epsilon_{i}\right]$ are taken to lie in the disks $\mathcal{U}_{i}:=\mathcal{U} \cap\left\{t_{j}=\right.$ $0 \mid \forall j \neq i\}$. If $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{s} \in C_{n-1}\left(X_{s} \backslash V_{s}\right)\right\}_{s} \in \mathcal{U}$ is a continuous family of topological cycles, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{C}_{s}} R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{C}_{s}} R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}}^{\prime}=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{s}}\left[{ }^{[ }{ }_{X_{s}}^{*}\right] R_{\mathrm{F}}^{\prime} \bmod \mathbb{Z}(n) \Longrightarrow \\
& \left(\nabla_{\partial / \partial t_{i}}\left[R_{\left.\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}}\right]}\right)_{s=0}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right):=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{s}} R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}}\right)_{s=0}\right. \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon_{j}}} R_{F}^{\prime}-\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} R_{\mathbf{F}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\left[0, \epsilon_{i}\right]}} \mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathbf{F}}^{\prime}\right]=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\left[0, c_{i}\right\}}} \Omega_{\mathbf{F}}=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} i_{X_{0}}^{*} \widetilde{\partial / \partial / \partial t_{i}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{F}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

(since $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\mathrm{F}}^{\prime}\right]=\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}}$ ), and we conclude that

$$
\nabla\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{3}}\right]=\sum_{i} \mathrm{~d} t_{i} \otimes\left[\iota_{\hat{\mathcal{X}} / \overline{\mathcal{S}}}^{*}\left(\widetilde{\left(\partial / \partial t_{i}\right.}, \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right] \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\mathcal{\mathcal { S }}}^{!} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_{N}, V_{s}}^{n-1}\right) .
$$

We show this lifts to a section $v_{s} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}\right)$, by checking that $t_{X_{s}}^{*}\left\langle\widetilde{\partial / \partial t_{i}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{F}}\right\rangle \in Z_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}\left(F^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}\right)$ at $s=0$. Take any $\alpha_{0} \in \Gamma\left(\Omega_{\left(X_{0}\right) \infty}^{n-2}\right), \beta_{0} \in Z_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}$ $\left(F^{1} \Omega_{\left.\left(\mathcal{X}_{0}\right)^{\infty}\right)}^{n-1}\right)$, and let $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Gamma\left(\Omega_{\left.\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{u}}\right)^{\infty}\right)}^{n-2}\right), \tilde{\beta} \in \Gamma\left(F^{1} \Omega_{\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{u}}\right)^{\infty}}^{n-1}\right)$ be local lifts. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \int_{X_{0}} \alpha_{0} \wedge \mathrm{~d}\left[\left(\widetilde{\partial / \partial t_{i}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right\rangle\right]:=\int_{X_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{0} \wedge \widetilde{\left(\widetilde{\partial / \partial t_{i}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right\rangle}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\left[0, \epsilon_{i}\right]}} \mathrm{d} \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\mathrm{F}} \\
& \quad=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{\mathcal{X}_{\left\{0, \epsilon_{i}\right]}} \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}\left[\Omega_{\mathbf{F}}\right]+\int_{X_{\epsilon_{i}}} \alpha_{\epsilon_{i}} \wedge \Omega_{\mathbf{f}_{f_{i}}}-\int_{X_{0}} \alpha_{0} \wedge \Omega_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathrm{d}\left[\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right]=0$, while

$$
\left.\int_{X_{0}} \beta_{0} \wedge \widetilde{\partial / \partial t_{i}} . \Omega_{\mathbf{F}}\right\rangle=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{i_{0, \epsilon_{i}} \mid} \subset \mathcal{X}_{u_{i}}} \tilde{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\mathbf{F}}=0
$$

since $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}_{i}}$ can only support $n \mathrm{dz}$ 's
So if $K_{X_{s}}<0$, then $\mathcal{F}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}=0 \Longrightarrow v_{s}$ trivial $\Longrightarrow$ its image $\nabla\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right]$ is also trivial (the desired result). Henceforth assume $\sum D_{j} \geqslant n+r+1\left(K_{X} \geqslant 0\right)$.

Now since $\mathcal{F}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1} \subset H_{X_{s}, \mathrm{pr}}^{n-1}, \quad \nabla v_{s} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \mathrm{pr}}^{n-1}\right) ;$ moreover, $\nabla \circ \nabla\left[R_{f_{s}}\right]=0 \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_{s} \backslash v_{s}}^{n-1}\right)$, so $v_{s}$ is actually a section of

$$
\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{2} \otimes \operatorname{ker}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \mathrm{pr}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X_{s} \backslash V_{s}}^{n-1}\right\}=\Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}^{2} \otimes \operatorname{ker}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \mathrm{pr}}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1} / \mathcal{K}_{s}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{K}_{s}:=\operatorname{im}\left\{H_{V_{s}}^{n-1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\}
$$

If in fact this $\mathcal{K}_{s}=\operatorname{im}\left(H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(X_{s}\right)\right\},{ }^{\star}$ then $\theta: \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, p r}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X_{n, \text {,var }}^{n-1}}^{n}$ is an isomorphism and $\nabla v_{s}=0$.

Since $\mathcal{K}_{s}$ is generated over $\mathbb{Q}$, one can choose a local basis of (flat!!) rational sections $\left\{\sigma_{\ell}\right\} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, R_{\pi_{*}}^{n-1} \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_{s}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{K}_{s} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}$, the $\left\{\sigma_{\ell}\right\}$ are in fact sections of $\operatorname{ker}\left\{\mathcal{F}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes\left(\mathcal{F}^{0}\right) \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}\right\}$. Taking the quotient by $\operatorname{im}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+r}}^{n-1} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}\right\}$ gives sections $\left\{\widetilde{\sigma}_{\bar{\ell}}\right\}$ of the middle term in the short exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left\{\mathcal{F}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s, \text { var }}^{n-1}} \xrightarrow{\square} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \text { var }}^{n-1}\right\} \\
& \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left\{\mathcal{F}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{1}, \text {,var }}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes\left(\mathcal{F}^{-0}\right) \mathcal{H}_{X_{s, \text { var }}^{n-1}}\right\} \\
& \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left\{\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \text { var }}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}_{11}^{\prime}} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{0} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s, \text { var }}^{n-1}}^{\prime}\right\} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 7.2. $\bar{\nabla}_{(k)}^{1}: \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{k} \mathcal{H}_{X_{1, v a r}^{n}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{S}}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \text { var }}^{n-1}$ is injective for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-$ 1, provided $\sum D_{j} \geqslant n+r+1\left[\Longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{0} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1} \neq 0\right]$.

So the last term is zero and the $\left\{\overline{\sigma_{\ell}}\right\}$ pull up to the first term. Now one simply increases all the $\mathcal{F}$ and $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ superscripts by 1 , writes this out again with $\left\{\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{\ell}}\right\}$ in the middle and repeats the bootstrapping procedure. This continues, using injectivity of $\bar{\nabla}_{(2)}^{\prime}, \bar{\nabla}_{(3)}^{\prime}$, etc. until the $\left\{\bar{\sigma}_{\ell}\right\}$ wind up in $\mathcal{F}^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \text { pr }}^{n-1} \cap R_{\pi_{*}}^{n-1} \mathbb{Q}$ (for $n$ even) or $\mathcal{F}^{(n+1) / 2} \mathcal{K}_{X_{n}, \text { var }}^{n-1} \cap R_{\pi_{*}}^{n-1} \mathbb{Q}$ (for $n$ odd), which are both zero. So there was only one $\sigma_{\ell}$ (as they were a basis) and it was in $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{U}, \operatorname{im}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+r}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{x_{s}}^{n-1}\right\}\right)$. We have established $\nabla \nu_{s}=0$.

Therefore $v_{s}$ is a section of

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left\{\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}(, \text { var })}^{n-1} \stackrel{\left.\bar{r}_{\underline{m}}^{2}-1\right]}{\rightarrow} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{2} \otimes \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n-2} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s, \text { var }}^{n}}^{n-1}\right\}
$$

${ }^{*}$ In fact, $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{s}:=\mathcal{K}_{s} / \mathrm{im}\left\{H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}\right)\right\}$ can also be shown zero by means of a monodromy argument over $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ (since we are working over $\mathcal{U}$ we have opted for the local argument given above). Here is the idea: one can show that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \text { var }}^{n-1}$ is an invariant $\pi_{1}(\tilde{S})$-module, and clearly $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{0} \neq \mathcal{H}_{X_{0}, \text { var }}^{n-1}$ if $\operatorname{deg}\left(K_{X_{0}}\right) \geqslant 0$. Since the action of $\pi_{1}(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{X_{0}, \text { var }}^{n-1}$ is ireducible, $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{S}=0$.

LEMMA 7.3. $\bar{\nabla}_{(n-1)}^{2}$ is injective for

$$
\sum D_{j}>n+r+1, \quad n=2, \quad \sum D_{j} \geqslant n+r+1, \quad n \geqslant 3 .
$$

So unless $n=2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(K_{X_{s}}\right)=0, \nu_{s}=0\left[\Longrightarrow \nabla\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{s}}\right]=0\right]$. This completes the proof in the case $K_{X_{s}} \geqslant 0$.

## 7.3. the algebraic lemmas

We give a brief indication of how the two algebraic lemmas (due to Nagel) are proved. See [18] for more details. Notation is as follows: we write $S^{\text {a.b }}$ for the elements in $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{0} \ldots, z_{n+r} ; x_{0}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$ of bihomogeneous bidegree $(a, b)$, where $z_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ are taken to have, respectively, bidegrees $(0,1)$ and $\left(1,-D_{j}\right)$. If $F_{i}(\mathbf{z}) \in S^{D_{j}}$ are the homogeneous polynomials cutting out $X_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+r}$, then set $F=F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}):=$ $x_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\cdots+x_{r} \mathrm{~F}_{r}(\mathbf{z}) \in S^{1,0}$; the corresponding bigraded Jacobi rings are defined by

$$
R_{F}^{a, b}:=S^{a, b} /\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_{n+r}} ; \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{r}}\right)
$$

Finally put $D:=\sum D_{j}$.
Proof of Lemma 7.2. The dual $\theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n-k} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \mathrm{pr}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n-k-1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \mathrm{pr}}^{n-1}$ of $\bar{\nabla}_{\langle k\rangle}^{1}$ is isomorphic (at $s=0$ ) to $S^{1.0} /(\mathrm{F}) \otimes R_{F}^{k-1 . D} \xrightarrow{\mu_{(k)}^{\prime}} R_{\mathrm{F}}^{k . D}$, surjectivity of which follows from [25] Lemma 3.4.

Proof of Lemma 7.3. This lemma generalizes [7]. The dual $\theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{2} \otimes \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \mathrm{pr}}^{n-1} \rightarrow$ $\theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{0} \mathcal{H}_{X_{\text {spr }}}^{n-1}$ of $\bar{\nabla}_{(n-1)}^{2}$ is isomorphic (at $s=0$ ) to $\wedge^{2} S^{1,0} /$ $(\mathrm{F}) \otimes R_{\mathrm{F}}^{n-2, D} \xrightarrow{\mu_{n-1)}^{2}} S^{1,0} /(\mathrm{F}) \otimes R_{F}^{n-1 . D}$, surjectivity of which follows ${ }^{\star}$ from [25] Lemma 3.5 and vanishing of $R_{\mathrm{F}}^{n \cdot D}$

## 8. Vanishing

8.1. TARGET OF THE HOLOMORPHIC REGULATOR

We now return to the family $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{S}$ of smooth complete intersections, and take $X_{0}$ to be a very general member of this family. Given $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{0}\right\} \in \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Tame}) \subseteq K_{n}^{m}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)$,
*With his $p, n=$ the present $n, n+r$ (resp.). For both lemmas one needs to check that Nagel's restriction (his $r \leqslant n-3$ ) to $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geqslant 2$ is not essential.
there exists an extension to $\{\mathbf{F}\} \in \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Tame}) \subseteq K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}))$ as in Section 7, and $[\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \supset] \mathcal{U} \geqslant 0$ sufficiently small that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}} \backslash V_{\mathcal{U}} \simeq\left(X_{0} \backslash V_{0}\right) \times \mathcal{U}$. (This is proved by a standard 'spread' argument, see [18].) Section 7.2 then shows $\nabla\left[R_{f_{f}}\right]=0$, where $f_{s}$ are the restrictions of $\mathbf{F}$ to $X_{s \in \mathcal{U}}$; but this is not enough to accomplish the monodromy argument that will give the vanishing theorem below.

Instead we will start from the (for $n \geqslant 4$ ) stronger assumption that $\left\{f_{0}\right\} \in$ $K_{n}^{M}\left(X_{0}\right)$, and recall from Section 6.2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}^{\prime}\right] \in \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\quad \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X_{0}}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right\}=: H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X_{0}}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

An immediate consequence of the argument involving $\mathcal{K}_{s}$ and Lemma 7.2, is the following statement about the target group of the holomorphic regulator:
PROPOSITION 8.1. For $X_{0}$ a very general (smooth) complete intersection $\subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+r}$ with $K_{X_{0}} \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{H^{n-1}}\left(\eta_{X_{0}}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right) & \cong{ }_{n . c}{\xrightarrow{\lim _{V \subset x}} \frac{H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left\{H_{V}^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right\}}} \cong H_{\text {var }}^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)[\neq 0]
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark.
(i) The statement here is in the second isomorphism.
(ii) The limit is over all (arbitrary unions of) divisors.
(iii) By definition $H_{\text {var }}^{n-1}\left(X_{0}\right):=\operatorname{coker}\left\{H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)$.
(iv) Proposition is clearly false for $\sum D_{j}<n+r+1$; e.g. a general quadric surface $\subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is birational to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and removing the divisors $\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\{0\}$ eliminates $H^{2}$ completely.
Since $X_{0}$ is a smooth complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{n+r}$,

$$
\operatorname{im}\left\{H_{n-1}\left(\eta_{X_{0}}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow H_{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right\} \subset \operatorname{ker}\left\{H_{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow H_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right\}
$$

COROLLARY 8.2. This inclusion is actually an equality; that is, any topological cycle in the r.h.s. may be 'moved' by a $\mathbb{Q}$-coboundary (on $X_{0}$ ) to avoid an arbitrary configuration of divisors.

It is on a basis (for the r.h.s.) consisting of 'moved' cycles that one computes periods $\in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)$ of $R_{f_{0}}$, in order to determine the class [ $R_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}^{\prime}$ ]. Now we prove these periods are essentially always zero.
8.2. THE VANISHING ARGUMENT

Let $\mathbf{f}_{0} \in \otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}$ be a good representative of $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{0}\right\} \in K_{n}^{M}\left(X_{0}\right) \subseteq K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$, so that $\overline{\gamma_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}}$ is the codimension-0 component of a ( $\partial_{\mathcal{B}}$-closed) higher Chow cycle
$\Gamma_{0} \in Z^{n}\left(X_{0}, n\right)$. Writing $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}=\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}, \mathcal{O}\left(D_{0}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(D_{r}\right)\right)$ for the full family (including singular fibers), there exists a finite branched cover $\hat{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{S}}} \ni 0$ and a 'spread' $\tilde{\bar{\Gamma}} \in Z^{n}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}, n)$ restricting to $\Gamma_{0}$ at 0 . Now supp $\overline{\mathcal{X}}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{E}} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}\right) \subseteq \pi^{-1}$ (codim. -1 subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, so one may choose a Zariski-open subfamily $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ (with smooth fibers) on which the restriction $\tilde{\Gamma} \in Z^{n}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, n)$ of $\tilde{\bar{\Gamma}}$ is a higher Chow cycle.

Writing $\gamma_{s}^{i}$ for components of codimension $i \geqslant 1$ (on $X_{s}$ ), $\Gamma$ produces by fiberwise restriction a family of higher Chow cycles

$$
\Gamma_{s}=\overline{\gamma_{s}}+\sum_{i \geqslant 1} \gamma_{s}^{i} \quad\left(\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp}_{X_{s}}\left(\gamma_{s}^{i}\right)=: V_{s}\right)
$$

giving rise to currents $R_{\mathrm{r}_{s}^{\prime}}=R_{\mathrm{f},}+\sum_{i \geqslant 1} R_{\gamma_{s}^{\prime}}$ [by the formula in Section 6.1]. Since $\Omega_{\Gamma_{s}}=0$ by type considerations, $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\Gamma_{s}}\right]=-(2 \pi i)^{n} \delta_{\Gamma_{\Gamma_{s}}}$; and so $R_{\Gamma_{s}}^{\prime}=R_{\Gamma_{s}}+$ $(2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{n} \delta_{\partial^{-1}} T_{T_{s}}$ is d-closed.

We can put these $R_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$, into families locally, over any sufficiently small ball $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. On $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ one has d $\left[\Omega_{\tilde{\Gamma}}\right]=\Omega_{\partial_{B} \tilde{\Gamma}}=0$ and $\tau_{\chi_{s}}^{*} \Omega_{\tilde{\Gamma}}=\Omega_{\Gamma_{s}}=0$; so $\Omega_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ has trivial class on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{U}}$, and one can write an $R_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ with $\iota_{X_{s}}^{*} R_{\Gamma}^{\prime}=R_{\Gamma_{s}}^{\prime}(\forall s \in \mathcal{U}), \mathrm{d}\left[R_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right]=\Omega_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$, Moreover $\Omega_{\Gamma}$ has (by further type considerations) no codim. $\geqslant 1$ 'components', and so $\Omega_{\tilde{\Gamma}}=\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}$.

Lifting $\mathrm{f}_{s}$ to $\Gamma_{s}$ has saved us from the headache of working away from $V_{s}$. There are actualiy two lifts going on here: since $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{s}\right\} \in K_{n}^{M}\left(X_{s}\right) \subseteq K_{n}^{M}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(X_{s}\right)\right)$ the class $\left[R_{r_{s}}\right] \in \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n-1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(X_{s} \backslash V_{s}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right] ; \quad\left[R_{\Gamma_{s}}\right] \in H^{n-1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{C} /\right.$ $\mathbb{Q}(n))$ gives a global lift over $\tilde{S}$, of which $\left[R_{\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right] \in H^{n-1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is a local lift, e.g. over $\mathcal{U}$. However, it may be analytically continued to a 'multivalued section' over all of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, and one can look at its monodromy in $H^{n-1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$.

Before doing this we show locally that $\left[R_{\Gamma_{s}}^{\prime}\right]$ is flat, i.e. $\nabla R_{\Gamma_{s}}^{\prime}=0$. Since locally $\mathrm{d}\left[R_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right]=\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla R_{\Gamma_{s}}^{\prime} & \left.=\sum \mathrm{d} t_{i} \otimes \widetilde{\partial / \partial t_{i}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}\right\rangle \\
& \in \operatorname{ker}\left\{\Omega_{\tilde{S}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{S}}^{2} \otimes \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n-2} \mathcal{H}_{X_{s}, \text { var }}^{n-2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is zero except for $n=2, K_{X_{0}}$ trivial (by Lemma 7.3).
Describing monodromy by the map

$$
\rho: \pi_{1}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, 0) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left\{H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right\}
$$

we note the difference of classes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\alpha)\left[R_{\Gamma_{0}}^{\prime}\right]-\left[R_{\Gamma_{0}}^{\prime}\right] \in H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}(n)\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since they both go to the same $\left[R_{\Gamma_{0}}\right] \in H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$. Now recall that if $\alpha$ goes around a divisor in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{S}}} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ over which $X_{\mathrm{s}}$ acquires a node ( $\left[R_{\Gamma_{s}}\right]$ need not be defined there), we may speak of the vanishing cycle $\delta \in H_{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ associated
to $\alpha$, whose 'flat transport' to the nodal $X_{s}$ is homologous to zero. It is a fact that such $\delta$ span $\operatorname{ker}\left\{H_{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow H_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right\}$; let $\left\{\delta_{i}\right\}$ be a basis (with associated loops $\alpha_{i}$ ) and $\left\{\hat{\delta}_{i}\right\}$ a dual basis* for $H_{\text {var }}^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$, which one easily lifts to $H_{\mathrm{pr}}^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$.

Since [ $R_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ ] is flat, the Picard-Lefschetz formula (see [15] or [22]) applies to compute

$$
\rho\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\left[R_{\Gamma_{0}}^{\prime}\right]-\left[R_{\Gamma_{0}}^{\prime}\right]= \pm\left(\int_{\delta_{i}} R_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \hat{\delta}_{i}
$$

Combined with (8.1) this gives immediately

$$
\int_{\delta} R_{\Gamma_{0}}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}(n) \quad \forall \delta \in \operatorname{ker}\left\{H_{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow H_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right\}
$$

which is to say

$$
\left[R_{\Gamma_{0}}\right] \in \operatorname{im}\left\{H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)\right\}
$$

and so (except for elliptic curves)

$$
\left[R_{\mathbf{f}_{0}}\right]=0 \in H^{n-1}\left(X_{0} \backslash V_{0}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)
$$

since the composition $H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(X_{s}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(X_{s} \backslash V_{s}\right)$ is zero.
8.3. STATEMENT AND INTERPRETATIONS

We formally state what we have proved.
THEOREM 8.3 [Vanishing]. Let $X_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+r}$ be a very general smooth complete intersection of multidegree $\left(D_{0}, \ldots, D_{r}\right)$, where if $n=2$ then $\sum D_{j} \neq n+r+1$. Then the image of the holomorphic Milnor regulator

$$
R: K_{n}^{M}(X) \rightarrow \underline{H^{n-1}}\left(\eta_{X} \cdot \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)
$$

is zero.
Remark. This does not rule out interesting images for the holomorphic regulator on very general members of a proper subfamily of $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}$. However in the codimension 2 case ( $r=1$ ) we have the following refinement. If instead of a very general member of the family over $\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \mathcal{O}\left(D_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(D_{2}\right)\right)$, one fixes a degree- $D_{1}$ smooth hypersurface $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and considers a very general ' $X_{0}$ in the family $\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}\left(D_{2}\right)\right.$ ), the vanishing result holds for $D_{2}$ sufficiently (possibly very) large. While less spectacular this is in fact harder to prove (see [18] for the argument, which extends techniques from [13]).

[^5]The vanishing theorem has an interesting interpretation in terms of Goncharov's [= Beilinson's] real regulator $\mathrm{r}: \mathrm{CH}^{n}\left(X_{0}, n\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{n}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{R}(n)\right) \cong H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, Clearly for $n$ even it is just zero, since $H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+r}\right)=0$ (and so $H^{n-1}\left(X_{0}\right)=$ $\left.H_{\text {var }}^{n-1}\left(X_{0}\right) \cong \underline{H^{n-1}}\left(\eta_{X_{0}}\right)\right)$. For $n$ odd let $\Gamma=\gamma^{0}+\sum_{i \geqslant 1} \gamma^{i} \in Z^{n}(X, n)$ be a higher Chow cycle $\left(\operatorname{codim}_{X}\left\{\operatorname{supp}_{X} \gamma^{i}\right\}=i\right)$, and write $V_{\Gamma}:=\operatorname{supp}_{X}\left(\sum_{i \geqslant 1} \gamma^{i}\right)$. To state the result, denote the intersection of $\Gamma \subset X \times \square^{n} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+r} \times \square^{n}$ with $\mathbb{P}^{(n+1) / 2+r} \times \square^{n}$ by $\Gamma \cdot[H]^{(n-1) / 2}$; and note that $\pi_{\square}\left([H]^{(n-1) / 2} \cdot \Gamma\right) \in Z^{(n+1) / 2}(\mathbb{C}, n)$. Also write $[H]$ for the homology class of a hyperplane section of $X$, and $\tilde{i}_{\square}^{n}$ for the real $n$-current on $\square^{n}$ defined in [11] (as modified slightly in [18]).
Then $r(\Gamma)$ is computed by a real $(n-1)$-current $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Gamma}$ on $X_{0}$ whose periods are
(i) $\int_{[H]} \tilde{r}_{\Gamma}=\int_{\pi_{\square}\left([H]^{(n-1) / 2 \cdot \Gamma)}\right.} \tilde{r}_{\square}^{n}=\mathrm{a}$ value of Goncharov's Chow $((n+1) / 2)$ -
logarithm (conjecturally computable in terms of $\mathcal{L}_{(n+1) / 2}$ ),
(ii) $\int_{\sigma} \tilde{r}_{\Gamma}=0$ for all $\sigma$ avoiding $V_{\Gamma} \subset X$
(which, together with $[H]$, span $H_{n-1}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ by Proposition 8.1).
This result is consistent with the Beilinson conjecture (see for instance [26]), which predicts the nontriviality of [the covolume of] the image of $r$ for $X$ defined over a number field (and therefore not very general). If $X$ is a complete intersection defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, one should except a nontorsion image for the holomorphic Milnor regulator (provided $K_{X} \geqslant 0$ so that the target group is nonzero).

For $X / \mathbb{Q}$ a version of the Bloch-Beilinson conjecture also predicts injectivity (modulo torsion) of the composition $K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{Q}(X)) \rightarrow K_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X))$ $H^{n-1}\left(\eta_{X}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right)$.

## PART 4. RELATIVE MILNOR REGULATORS

For an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional smooth algebraic variety $X$ with proper subvariety $Y$, one may defined Milnor groups

$$
\tilde{K}_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X, Y)):=\frac{\mathbb{Z}\{\mathbb{C}(X, Y)\} \otimes\left(\otimes^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}\right)}{\text { num } \cap\left\{\text { Steinberg relations } \subset \otimes^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}(X)^{*}\right\}\right\}},
$$

where $\mathbb{C}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(X)^{*}$ is the multiplicative subgroup consisting of functions $\equiv 1$ along $Y$. The association $\mathrm{f} \rightarrow R_{\mathrm{f}}$ gives a well-defined map

$$
R: \tilde{K}_{n}^{M}(\mathbb{C}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \lim _{V \in(X, Y)} \operatorname{Hom}\left\{H_{n-1}(X \backslash V, Y \cap X \backslash V ; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(n)\right\}
$$

where $V \subset(X, Y)$ means $V$ intersects $Y$ properly.

## 9. The Simplest Nontrivial Regulator Computation

To give a simple demonstration of a Milnor regulator computation we work on a degenerate elliptic curve - or what is the same, the relative variety $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\{0, \infty\}\right)$.

For $\mathbf{f}=\sum \ell_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \otimes g_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\{0, \infty\}\right)\right\} \otimes \mathbb{Z}\left\{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{*}\right\}$, assuming for simplicity $\left|\left(f_{\alpha}\right)\right| \cap\left|\left(g_{\alpha}\right)\right|=\emptyset \forall \alpha$, we say $(\mathbf{f}) \in \operatorname{ker}($ Tame $)$ if $\prod_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}(p)^{v_{p}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)} / g_{\alpha}(p)^{v_{p}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{\ell_{\alpha}}=1$ for all $p \in V_{\mathrm{f}}=\bigcup_{\alpha}\left|\left(f_{\alpha}\right)\right| \cup\left|\left(g_{\alpha}\right)\right| \cap \mathbb{C}^{*}$. The regulator image of such an element is determined entirely by the value ${ }^{*} \int_{\mathcal{C}} R_{\mathrm{f}} \in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q}(2)$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is any generator of $H_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\{0, \infty\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ avoiding $V_{\mathrm{f}}$.

We shall compute this value for the element $\{\mathbf{f}\} \in \operatorname{ker}($ Tame $) \subseteq \tilde{K}_{2}^{M}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right.\right.$. $\{0, \infty\})$ ) define by $\mathrm{f}=f^{2} \otimes g^{2}$, where

$$
f=\frac{1-\mathrm{i} / w}{1+\mathrm{i} / w} \quad \text { and } \quad g=\frac{1-w}{1+w}
$$

Let $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ be the path along $\mathbb{R}^{-}$from $\{0\}$ to $\{\infty\}$, perturbed by $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \epsilon}$ to avoid $\{1\} \in|(g)|$. (There will be no need to take $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.)

We want to evaluate

$$
\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} R_{\mathrm{f}}=\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \log f^{2} \mathrm{~d} \log g^{2}-\sum_{\gamma_{\epsilon} \cap T_{f^{2}}} 2 \pi i \log g
$$

but do not want to deal with the branch $\log f^{2}$ or [the point] $\gamma_{\epsilon} \cap T_{f^{2}}$. (Here $T_{f^{2}}$ is the preimage of $\mathbb{R}^{-}$under $f^{2}$, which is the unit circle; $\log g$ blows up very close to the intersection.) Take the $\mathbb{Z}(1)$-valued 0 -current $\Delta_{f}^{2}:=2 \log f-\log f^{2}$ with ( $1 / 2 \pi \mathrm{i}$ ) $\mathrm{d}\left[\Delta_{f}^{2}\right]=\delta_{T_{f^{2}}}-2 \delta_{T_{f}}$, and observe that on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$

$$
\mathrm{d}\left[\Delta_{f}^{2} \cdot \log g^{2}\right]=4\left(\log f \mathrm{~d} \log g-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \log g \cdot \delta_{T_{f}}\right)-R_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

modulo the $\mathbb{Z}(2)$-valued 1 -current $2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\{2 \Delta_{g}^{2} \cdot \delta_{T_{f}}-\Delta_{f}^{2} \cdot \delta_{T_{g^{2}}}\right\}$. Since $\gamma_{\epsilon} \cap T_{f}=\{0\}$ and $\log g=0$ there, it follows that modulo $\mathbb{Z}(2)$

$$
\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} R_{\mathbf{f}}=4 \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \log g
$$

Up to this point our branches of $\log$ have strictly had $\arg \in(-\pi, \pi]$. It makes no difference for the computation of the right hand side. if we now perturb $T_{f}$ and $T_{g}$ (and the accompanying branches of log) slightly so they avoid** $\{0\},\{\infty\}$, and $\gamma_{\epsilon}$. We shall also use the slightly altered branch of $\log z$ corresponding to $T_{z}=-\gamma_{\epsilon}$. Here is the resulting 'perturbed' picture:

[^6]**While remaining paths (resp.) from $\{-\mathrm{i}\}$ to $\{\mathrm{i}\}$ and $\{-1\}$ to $\{1\}$.


Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\int_{\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{1}}} \mathrm{d}\left[-\frac{1}{2 \pi \overline{\mathrm{i}}} \log z \log f \mathrm{~d} \log g+\left.\log z \log g\right|_{T_{f}}\right] \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left\{\left.\log f \mathrm{~d} \log g\right|_{\gamma_{\epsilon}}-\left.\log z \mathrm{~d} \log g\right|_{T_{f}}+\left.\log z \mathrm{~d} \log g\right|_{T_{f}}+\right. \\
& +\left.\log g \operatorname{dog} z\right|_{T_{f}}-\left.\log z \log f\right|_{(g)}+\left.\log z \log g\right|_{(f)}+ \\
& \left.+\left.2 \pi \mathrm{i} \log z\right|_{T_{f} \cap T_{g}}-\left.2 \pi i \log g\right|_{T_{f} \cap \gamma_{\epsilon}}\right\} \\
& =\int_{\gamma \epsilon} \log f \mathrm{~d} \log g+\int_{T_{f}} \log g \mathrm{~d} \log z-\sum_{(g)} \log z \log f+\sum_{(f)} \log z \log g,
\end{aligned}
$$

since the two intersections are empty. Multiplying by 4 , the last two terms are $\mathbb{Z}(2)$. Now $\log g=\log (1-z)-\log (1+z)$ exactly (i.e., not $\bmod \mathbb{Z}(1))$, and so

$$
\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \log f \operatorname{dog} g=-\int_{T_{f}} \log (1-z) d \log z+\int_{T_{f}} \log (1+z) \operatorname{diog} z
$$

Since the dilogarithm has no monodromy about 0 , this

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-2 \int_{T_{f}} \log (1-z) \operatorname{dlog} z=2(\operatorname{Li}(\mathrm{i})-\mathrm{Li}(-\mathrm{i})) \\
& =2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{j}^{k}}{k^{2}}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{k}}{k^{2}}\right)=4 \mathrm{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k+1)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and four times this is the final result: $16 \mathrm{i} \cdot G$, where $G$ is the Catalan constant (a famous transcendental number).

The interested reader may consult [18, Section 3.2] for more general relative regulator computations on $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\{0, \infty\}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right.$, triangle).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\star}$ We cannot say $\partial \overline{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathbf{f}}\right)}$ here because in general $\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\partial \bar{\square}_{\epsilon}^{n}\right)$ only $\subset \overline{N_{\epsilon}\left(V_{\mathbf{f}}\right)}$.

[^1]:    *See Section 6.1 (and [19. Section 5.9]). Technically for $d \geqslant n$ one needs to replace $X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}$ by See Section 6.1 (and $\overline{1}\left(\dot{V}_{\text {g }}\right.$. Section 5.9 ). Technically for $d \geqslant n$ one needs to replace $X \backslash V_{\mathrm{f}}$ by
    a normal-crossings pair $\bar{X}$ (just for the map on all of $K_{n}^{M}$ ). We have carefully pursued lines of reasoning (and cases) in this paper that avoid this complication.

[^2]:    ${ }^{*}$ Equivalently $\left\{C_{2 d-\bullet}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \oplus \Gamma\left(X, F^{p^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{*}\right) \oplus \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{D}_{X}^{*-1}\right)\right\}$ with differential $\mathrm{D}(a . b, c):=(-\partial a,-\mathrm{d}[b] \cdot \mathrm{d}[c]-b+a)$. (See [17] for a discussion of Deligne homology.)

[^3]:    *That $\mathrm{Alt}_{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{f}}$ has the same higher Chow class as $\Gamma_{\mathbf{f}}$ (mod torsion) follows from [21].
    **One could also use normalization of chain complexes ([10, Section III.2]) to produce (from $\Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) a relative cycle without losing torsion.

[^4]:    ${ }^{*}$ We avoid the $R_{\pi *}^{n-1}$ notation to prevent confusion between $\mathcal{H}_{X_{s}}^{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{X_{s} \backslash V_{s}}^{n-1}\left[=R_{\pi *}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}\right.$ for $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \backslash \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, resp. $].$

[^5]:    ${ }^{*}$ That is, $\int_{\delta_{i}} \hat{\delta}_{j}=\delta_{i j}$.

[^6]:    *Note: it is o.k. to replace $\mathbb{Q}(2)$ by $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ here

