

Ma 450: Mathematics for Multimedia  
**Solution:** to Homework Assignment 2

Prof. Wickerhauser

Due Sunday, February 19th, 2023

1. Let  $N$  be a fixed positive integer.
  - (a) How many vertices are there in the unit cube in Euclidean  $N$ -space?
  - (b) Fix a vertex in the  $N$ -cube. How many other vertices are connected to it by single edges?
  - (c) Use parts a and b to count the total number of edges in the  $N$ -cube.

**Solution:** (a) There are  $2^N$  vertices, representable by all distinct  $\{0, 1\}$  sequences of length  $N$ .

(b) Imagine aligning the  $N$ -cube with the coordinate axes in Euclidean  $N$ -space in such a way that the chosen vertex is at the origin and the edges at that vertex lie in the positive rays of the  $N$  coordinate axes. The vertices connected to the origin by single edges will then lie at coordinate 1 in each of the  $N$  directions, so there will be exactly  $N$  of them.

(c) From part a, there are  $2^N$  vertices. From part b, each of these  $2^N$  vertices shares an edge with  $N$  others. Summing over the vertices counts each edge twice, so the number of edges is  $N2^{N-1}$ .  $\square$

2. Let  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{S}$  be subspaces of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  with respective dimensions  $p, q, s$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q}$ .
  - (a) Prove that  $\max\{p, q\} \leq s \leq p + q$ .
  - (b) Find an example that achieves the equality  $s = \max\{p, q\}$ .
  - (c) Find an example that achieves the equality  $s = p + q$ .

**Solution:**

(a) Let  $\mathbf{P} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_q\}$  define bases. Then  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p; \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_q\}$ , so by Theorem 2.2 the dimension of  $\mathbf{S}$  is at most  $p + q$ .

Vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p \subset P \subset S$  are linearly independent, so the dimension of  $\mathbf{S}$  is at least  $p$ . Similarly,  $s \geq q$ . Thus  $s \geq \max\{p, q\}$ .

(b) Use  $\mathbf{R}^3$  with  $\mathbf{P} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_1\}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$ .

(c) Use  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with  $\mathbf{P} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_1\}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_2\}$ .  $\square$

3. Prove Inequality 2.15 for every  $N$ .

**Solution:** Fix  $N$  and let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}^N$  be any vector. Then  $|x(k)| \leq \max\{|x(i)| : i = 1, \dots, N\} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , so

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = |x(1)| + \dots + |x(N)| \leq N\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty.$$

Also,  $|x(k)| \geq 0$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , so

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = |x(1)| + \dots + |x(N)| \geq \max\{|x(i)| : i = 1, \dots, N\} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty,$$

proving the other inequality.

Since  $\mathbf{x}$  was arbitrary, the inequalities hold for all of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . Since  $N$  was arbitrary, both equalities hold for any  $N$ .  $\square$

4. Prove that  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \geq \left| \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\| \right|$  for any vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  in a normed vector space  $\mathbf{X}$ .

**Solution:** Use the norm sublinearity axiom twice:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}\| &= \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\| \Rightarrow \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \geq \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\|; \\ \|\mathbf{y}\| &= \|(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{x}\| \Rightarrow \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| \geq \|\mathbf{y}\| - \|\mathbf{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| = \|(-1)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\| = |-1|\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$ , so the two inequalities may be combined:

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \geq \max\{\|\mathbf{y}\| - \|\mathbf{x}\|, \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\|\} = \left| \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\| \right|,$$

since  $\max\{z, -z\} = |z|$  for any real number  $z$ .  $\square$

5. Suppose that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is an  $m$ -dimensional subspace of an  $N$ -dimensional inner product space  $\mathbf{X}$ . Prove that  $\mathbf{Y}^\perp$  is at most  $N - m$  dimensional.

**Solution:** First check the trivial case: If  $m = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , so  $\mathbf{Y}^\perp = \mathbf{X}$  is  $N = N - 0 = N - m$  dimensional.

Otherwise, let  $\mathbf{V} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbf{Y} = \text{span } \mathbf{V}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}^\perp$  is a subspace of a finite-dimensional space, it too is finite-dimensional, so let  $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$  be its basis. The dimension of  $\mathbf{Y}^\perp$  is  $k$ , so it remains to show that  $m + k \leq N$ .

Now suppose  $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_m\mathbf{v}_m + b_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{w}_k = \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_m\mathbf{v}_m = -(b_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{w}_k)$  belongs to both  $\mathbf{Y} = \text{span } \mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}^\perp = \text{span } \mathbf{W}$ . But  $\mathbf{Y} \cap \mathbf{Y}^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  by Lemma 2.5, so this implies  $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_m\mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{0}$  and  $b_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{w}_k = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$  and  $b_1 = \dots = b_k = 0$  by the linear independence of sets  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  individually. This shows that  $\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{W}$  is a linearly independent set in  $\mathbf{X}$ . There cannot be more than  $N$  linearly independent vectors in an  $N$ -dimensional vector space, so it follows that  $m + k \leq N$ .  $\square$

6. Suppose that  $\mathbf{Y} = \text{span } \{\mathbf{y}_n : n = 1, \dots, N\}$  and  $\mathbf{Z} = \text{span } \{\mathbf{z}_m : m = 1, \dots, M\}$  are subspaces in an inner product space  $\mathbf{X}$ . Show that if  $\langle \mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{z}_m \rangle = 0$  for all  $n, m$ , then  $\mathbf{Y} \perp \mathbf{Z}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}$  be arbitrary and write  $\mathbf{y} = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mathbf{y}_n$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{m=1}^M b_m \mathbf{z}_m$  for appropriate scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_M$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mathbf{y}_n, \sum_{m=1}^M b_m \mathbf{z}_m \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M a_n b_m \langle \mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{z}_m \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since every term in the sum is zero by hypothesis.  $\square$

7. Find an orthonormal basis for the subspace of  $\mathbf{E}^4$  spanned by the vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (1, 0, 1, 0)$ , and  $\mathbf{z} = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ .

**Solution:** First note that these three vectors are linearly independent: if  $a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y} + c\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $(a + b + c, c, b + c, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ , which implies  $a = b = c = 0$ . Applying the recursive Gram-Schmidt construction gives the orthonormal set  $\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{p} &= \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x} = (1, 0, 0, 0); \\ \mathbf{q}' &= \mathbf{y} - \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{p} = (0, 0, 1, 0) = \mathbf{q}; \\ \mathbf{r}' &= \mathbf{z} - \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \mathbf{p} - \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \mathbf{q} = (0, 1, 0, 0) = \mathbf{r},\end{aligned}$$

since both  $\mathbf{q}'$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$  happen to be unit vectors. □

8. Find the biorthogonal dual of the basis  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  of  $\mathbf{E}^3$ .

**Solution:** This may be solved by undetermined coefficients. Let  $(a, b, c)$ ,  $(d, e, f)$ , and  $(g, h, i)$  be the coordinates of the biorthogonal dual vectors. The biorthogonality conditions imply that  $a = 1$ ,  $a + b = 0$ ,  $a + b + c = 0$ ;  $d = 0$ ,  $d + e = 1$ ,  $d + e + f = 0$ ;  $g = 0$ ,  $g + h = 0$ ,  $g + h + i = 1$ . Back substitution gives  $b = -1$ ,  $c = 0$ ;  $e = 1$ ,  $f = -1$ ;  $h = 0$ ,  $i = 1$ . Hence the dual vectors are  $(1, -1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, -1)$ , and  $(0, 0, 1)$ .

Alternatively, use matrix inversion:

```
Bt=[1,0,0; 1,1,0; 1,1,1]; inv(Bt) % Transpose Bt has the basis as its rows
ans =
    1    0    0
   -1    1    0
    -0   -1    1
```

The biorthogonal dual basis is found in the columns. Note that  $-0$  equals 0. □

9. Confirm, by checking the necessary properties, that the inner product on **Poly** given by

$$\langle p, q \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_k \bar{a}_k b_k,$$

is Hermitean symmetric, nondegenerate, and linear. Here  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ ,  $q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m$ , and the sum is over all nonzero terms  $\bar{a}_k b_k$ . Note that this inner product defines the derived norm in Equation 2.21.

**Solution:** Hermitean symmetry follows from the identity

$$\overline{\left( \sum_k \bar{a}_k b_k \right)} = \sum_k a_k \bar{b}_k.$$

Nondegeneracy holds because for  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ ,

$$\langle p, p \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_k |a_k|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow (\forall k = 0, 1, \dots, n) a_k = 0.$$

But this means that  $p$  is the zero polynomial.

Linearity holds because for polynomials  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ ,  $q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m$ ,  $r(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_lx^l$ , and scalars  $s, t$ ,

$$\langle p, sq + tr \rangle = \sum_k \bar{a}_k (sb_k + tc_k) = s \sum_k (\bar{a}_k b_k) + t \sum_k (\bar{a}_k c_k).$$

This is evidently  $s \langle p, q \rangle + t \langle p, r \rangle$ . □

10. Show that  $\|T\|_{\text{op}}$  is infinite for  $T : \mathbf{Poly} \rightarrow \mathbf{Poly}$  defined by  $Tp(x) = \frac{d}{dx}p(x)$  (the derivative), with respect to the norm in Equation 2.21.

**Solution:** Let  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ . Then  $Tp(x) = a_1 + 2a_2x + \cdots + na_nx^{n-1}$ , so

$$\|Tp\|^2 = |a_1|^2 + 2^2|a_2|^2 + \cdots + n^2|a_n|^2,$$

whereas

$$\|p\|^2 = |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + \cdots + |a_n|^2.$$

The ratio  $\|Tp\|^2/\|p\|^2 = n$  for  $p(x) = x^n$ , and this is unbounded over  $\mathbf{Poly}$ , which contains polynomials of arbitrarily large degree. Hence  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \infty$ . □

11. Suppose that  $A$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix satisfying  $A^k = Id$  for some integer  $k > 0$ . Prove that  $\|A\|_{\text{HS}} \geq 1$ .

**Solution:** A direct calculation with Equation 2.44 shows that  $\|Id\|_{\text{HS}} = \sqrt{N} \geq 1$ . Thus for some  $k > 0$ ,

$$1 \leq \|Id\|_{\text{HS}} = \|A^k\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}}^k,$$

by the submultiplicativity of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Taking  $k^{\text{th}}$  roots on both sides gives  $1 \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}}$ . □

12. Can there be matrices  $A, B \in \mathbf{Mat}(N \times N)$  satisfying  $AB - BA = Id$ ?

**Solution:** No. Compare traces:  $\text{tr}(AB - BA) = 0$  by Equation 2.46, while  $\text{tr}(Id) = N \neq 0$ . □

13. Determine whether the linear transformation  $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \left(x_1, \frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}, \frac{x_4 + x_5 + x_6}{3}, \dots\right)$$

is bounded or unbounded.

**Solution:**  $T$  is bounded. First note that for every integer  $k > 0$  and all scalars  $x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_{p+k}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{x_{p+1} + \cdots + x_{p+k}}{k}\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{k^2} |\langle (1, \dots, 1), (x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+k}) \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^2} \|(1, \dots, 1)\|^2 (|x_{p+1}|^2 + \cdots + |x_{p+k}|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} (|x_{p+1}|^2 + \cdots + |x_{p+k}|^2), \end{aligned}$$

using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in  $\mathbf{E}^k$ . Thus

$$\|T(x_1, x_2, \dots)\|^2 \leq |x_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2) + \frac{1}{3}(|x_4|^2 + |x_5|^2 + |x_6|^2) + \cdots \leq \|x\|^2.$$

Conclude that  $T$  is bounded with  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1$ .

Checking  $x = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , we see that  $\|Tx\| = 1 = \|x\|$ , so in fact  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} = 1$ . □

14. Given a matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ , find a Givens rotation  $G$  such that  $GA$  is upper triangular.

**Solution:** Write

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\theta$  to be determined in terms of  $x, y, z, w$ . If  $GA$  is upper triangular, then its 2,1 coefficient must be zero:

$$(-\sin \theta)x + (\cos \theta)z = 0.$$

If  $z = 0$ , then  $A$  is already upper triangular and it suffices to choose  $\theta = 0$ , making  $G = Id$  the trivial Givens rotation.

If  $z \neq 0$ , then  $x/z = \cos \theta / \sin \theta = \cot \theta$  will make  $GA$  upper triangular, so it suffices to choose  $\theta = \cot^{-1}(x/z)$ .  $\square$

15. Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $N \times N$  matrices satisfying the condition  $A(i, j) = B(i, j) = 0$  if  $i > j$ . Prove that their product satisfies the same condition. (This shows that the product of upper-triangular matrices is upper-triangular.)

**Solution:** Their product  $C = AB$  is  $C(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^N A(i, k)B(k, j)$ . But  $A(i, k)B(k, j) = 0$  if  $i > k$  or  $k > j$ , and one of these will be true for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  if  $i > j$ . Thus  $C(i, j) = 0$  for  $i > j$ .  $\square$