

Proof: Properties 1–4 will be shown in turn.

1. We show by induction that ϕ inherits compact support from **1**. Suppose $h(k) = 0$ for $k > b$ or $k < a$, and $f(t) = 0$ for $t \notin [c, d]$. Then $Hf(t) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=a}^b h(k)f(2t-k) = 0$ unless $c \leq 2t-k \leq d$ for some $k = a, a+1, \dots, b$. But this means that $Hf(t) = 0$ unless $(a+c)/2 \leq t \leq (b+d)/2$. Iterating, $H^2f(t) = 0$ unless $[a+(a+c)/2]/2 \leq t \leq [b+(b+d)/2]/2$, and $H^n f(t) = 0$ unless

$$(1-2^{-n})a + 2^{-n}c = \frac{a}{2} + \dots + \frac{a}{2^n} + \frac{c}{2^n} \leq t \leq \frac{b}{2} + \dots + \frac{b}{2^n} + \frac{d}{2^n} = (1-2^{-n})b + 2^{-n}d.$$

Note that if $t < -|a| - |c|$ or $t > |b| + |d|$, then $H^n f(t) = 0$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. This means that u and all iterations $H^n f$ are supported in a single compact interval $[-|a| - |c|, |b| + |d|]$, of length $|a| + |b| + |c| + |d|$. Starting with **1**, with $c = 0$ and $d = 1$, the limit ϕ obtained as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is supported in $[a, b]$.

2. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We show that $|\phi(t) - H\phi(t)| < \epsilon$ for every t , so since ϵ is arbitrary we must have $\phi = H\phi$. But for any $\delta > 0$, by uniform convergence we can choose N large enough so that $n \geq N \Rightarrow |f_n(t) - \phi(t)| < \delta$. Then, using the relation $f_{n+1}(t) = Hf_n(t)$ and the triangle inequality, we estimate

$$|\phi(t) - H\phi(t)| \leq |\phi(t) - f_{n+1}(t)| + |Hf_n(t) - H\phi(t)| < \delta + B\delta,$$

where $B = \sqrt{2} \sum_k |h(k)|$ is the largest amount by which H can increase the maximum absolute value of a function. Now we go back and pick $\delta = \epsilon/(1+B)$.

3. First note that if f is integrable then so is Hf , since finite sums of integrable functions are integrable. Then observe that $\int Hf(t) dt = \int f(t) dt$ by Equation 5.38. Thus, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, f_n is integrable and $\int f_n(t) dt = 1$. Now $f_n(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ uniformly at all t , so given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N large enough so that $n \geq N \Rightarrow |\phi(t) - f_n(t)| < \epsilon$ for all t . Since all functions are supported in a single interval of length $L = |a| + |b| + |c| + |d|$, it follows⁴ that ϕ is integrable, and

$$\left| \int \phi(t) dt - 1 \right| = \left| \int \phi(t) dt - \int f_n(t) dt \right| \leq \int |\phi(t) - f_n(t)| dt < \epsilon L.$$

Since $|\int \phi(t) dt - 1| < \epsilon L$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, it follows that $\int \phi(t) dt = 1$. Finally, ϕ must be nonzero because its integral is nonzero.

4. Suppose $\langle f(t-p), f(t-q) \rangle = \delta(p-q)$ for a compactly-supported function f . Then Hf inherits the same property:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Hf(t-p), Hf(t-q) \rangle &= 2 \int \sum_{k,k'} \overline{h(k-2p)} h(k'-2q) \overline{f(2t-k)} f(2t-k') dt \\ &= \sum_{k,k'} \overline{h(k-2p)} h(k'-2q) \delta(k-k') = \delta(p-q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since **1** is orthogonal to its integer translates, so is f_n for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$

⁴Here we use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, which is beyond the scope of this text. For a full proof, see Apostol, page 270, in the further readings from Chapter 3.

For n so large that $|\phi(t) - f_n(t)| < \epsilon$ at all t , the value $M = \int |\phi(t)| dt$ gives an upper bound $\int |f_n(t)| dt < M + \epsilon L$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \overline{\phi(t-p)} \phi(t-q) dt - \delta(p-q) \right| = \\ &= \left| \int \overline{\phi(t-p)} \phi(t-q) dt - \int \overline{f_n(t-p)} f_n(t-q) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int |\phi(t-p) - f_n(t-p)| |\phi(t-q)| dt \\ &\quad + \int |f_n(t-p)| |\phi(t-q) - f_n(t-q)| dt \\ &< \epsilon M + (M + \epsilon L) \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, it follows that $|\langle \phi(t-p), \phi(t-q) \rangle - \delta(p-q)| = 0$. This implies that $\langle \phi(t-p), \phi(t-q) \rangle = \delta(p-q)$, so the inner product is zero if $p \neq q$. Finally, setting $p = q = 0$ shows that $\|\phi\| = 1$. \square

For the Haar filters of Equation 5.46, we have $H\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ as in Equation 5.31. Thus $f_N = \mathbf{1}$ for all $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, so convergence is not only uniform but immediate: $\phi = \mathbf{1}$. However, a study of more general filters H, G that have uniform convergence of $\{f_n\}$ is beyond the scope of this book.⁵

To get lots of samples for a graph of a wavelet or scaling function, however, is easy. Since the wavelet transform of ϕ_{jk} has the expansion sequence $s_j = \mathbf{e}_k$, we simply apply the j -level inverse wavelet transform to the sequences $s_j = \mathbf{e}_k$; $d_j = d_{j-1} = \dots = d_1 = \mathbf{0}$. Likewise, to get the samples for a graph of ψ_{jk} , we simply apply the j -level inverse wavelet transform to the sequences $s_j = \mathbf{0}$; $d_j = \mathbf{e}_k$; $d_{j-1} = \dots = d_1 = \mathbf{0}$. We need to use the indexing formula for the particular wavelet transform to find the locations of $s_j(k)$ and $d_j(k)$.

5.2.4 Lifting

A clever method of implementing filter transforms is called *lifting*. The two output sequences (Hu, Gu) produced by a pair H, G of CQFs are computed together, efficiently and in a manner that reduces the amount of auxiliary storage. We illustrate with the example of Haar filters applied to a finitely-supported signal $u(0), \dots, u(N-1)$ of length $N = 2q > 0$:

Lifting Implementation of the Haar Filter Transform on $2q$ Samples

```
haarlift( u[], q, dq ):
[1] For n=0 to q-1, replace u[(2*n+1)*dq] -= u[(2*n)*dq]
[2] For n=0 to q-1, replace u[(2*n)*dq] += 0.5*u[(2*n+1)*dq]
[3] For n=0 to q-1, replace u[(2*n+1)*dq] /= sqrt(2.0)
[4] For n=0 to q-1, replace u[(2*n)*dq] *= sqrt(2.0)
```

⁵Cavaretta, Dahmen and Micchelli's *Stationary Subdivision*, in the further readings, has a highly detailed exposition of the relevant technicalities.