

HW 5 Solutions
Eric Hintikka
April 6, 2014

1. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}0 \cdot x &= 0 \cdot x + 0 \\ &= 0 \cdot x + (0 \cdot x + -(0 \cdot x)) \\ &= (0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot x) + -(0 \cdot x) \\ &= (0 + 0) \cdot x + -(0 \cdot x) \\ &= 0 \cdot x + -(0 \cdot x) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}(-1) \cdot x &= (-1) \cdot x + 0 \\ &= (-1) \cdot x + (x + -x) \\ &= ((-1) \cdot x + x) + -x \\ &= ((-1) \cdot x + 1 \cdot x) + -x \\ &= (-1 + 1) \cdot x + -x \\ &= 0 \cdot x + -x \\ &= 0 + -x \\ &= -x\end{aligned}$$

2. Parts (a) and (b) are straightforward. See the top of page 88 from the text for an explanation of parts (c) and (d).

- (a) $2\mathbb{Z}$
- (b) $20\mathbb{Z}$
- (c) $2\mathbb{Z}$
- (d) $1\mathbb{Z}$

3. Each principal ideal in \mathbb{Z}_{14} can be written as $a\mathbb{Z}_{14}$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{14}$. These are:

$$\begin{aligned}[0]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0]\} \\ [1]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \mathbb{Z}_{14} \\ [2]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\} \\ [3]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \mathbb{Z}_{14} \\ [4]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\} \\ [5]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \mathbb{Z}_{14} \\ [6]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\} \\ [7]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0], [7]\} \\ [8]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\} \\ [9]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \mathbb{Z}_{14} \\ [10]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\} \\ [11]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \mathbb{Z}_{14} \\ [12]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\} \\ [13]\mathbb{Z}_{14} &= \mathbb{Z}_{14}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the principal ideals are $\{[0]\}$, $\{[0], [7]\}$, $\{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\}$, and \mathbb{Z}_{14} . Now, we show that there are no ideals in \mathbb{Z}_{14} which are not principal, and hence that the above list actually includes *all* the ideals.

Suppose that $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{14}$ is an ideal. Let's say that an element in \mathbb{Z}_{14} is "even" if it can be written as $[a]$, where $0 \leq a < 14$ and a is even, and let's call it odd otherwise. We proceed by considering two cases: (1) I contains a nonzero even element $[a]$; and (2) I does not contain any nonzero even element.

In the first case, we can show that I also contains $[2]$. Though there is a somewhat more generalizable argument that could be made, we instead show this by brute force, as follows: if $[a] = [2]$, then obviously $[2] \in I$. If $[a] = [4]$, then $[2] = [4][a] \in I$. If $[a] = [6]$, then $[2] = [5][a] \in I$. If $[a] = [8]$, then $[2] = [2][a] \in I$. If $[a] = [10]$, then $[2] = [3][a] \in I$. Finally, if $[a] = [12]$, then $[2] = [6][a] \in I$. Then, it is clear that $\{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\} \subseteq I$, since I must contain all multiples of $[2]$. Now, if I contains no odd elements, then $I = \{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12]\}$, so I is principal. If I contains an odd element $[b]$, then I must contain $[b] + [2]$, $[b] + [4]$, $[b] + [6]$, etc., which give all of the odd elements, so $I = \mathbb{Z}_{14}$ and hence I is principal.

In the second case, we can show that I must not contain any odd element other than $[7]$. This is because if $[b]$ is an odd element of \mathbb{Z}_{14} and $[b] \neq [7]$, then b is relatively prime with 14, so by the theorem on page 90 $[b]$ generates \mathbb{Z}_{14} , and hence $I = \mathbb{Z}_{14}$. This is a contradiction, since we are working in the case where I contains no nonzero even elements. So, the only odd element that I can contain here is $[7]$. Note that since I is an ideal it is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_{14}, +)$, so it must contain the additive identity element $[0]$. Thus, either $I = \{[0]\}$ or $I = \{[0], [7]\}$. These are both principal ideals.

So, in either case it must be that I is principal, which means that there are no nonprincipal ideals. Hence the list of principal ideals that we gave earlier is in fact a complete list of ideals in \mathbb{Z}_{14} .

4. Suppose that there are finitely many primes. Then for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can let p_1, \dots, p_n be a complete list of primes. Since $p_1 \cdots p_n + 1$ is a natural number greater than 1, it must have a prime factorization. Hence there is some prime number p such that $p | p_1 \cdots p_n + 1$. But for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we can see that $p_i \nmid p_1 \cdots p_n + 1$, since $p_1 \cdots p_n + 1 = p_i(p_1 \cdots p_{i-1} \cdot p_{i+1} \cdots p_n + \frac{1}{p_i})$, and the value inside the parentheses is not an integer. Hence $p \neq p_i$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. That is, our list of primes was not a complete one. So, we have arrived at a contradiction, which means that our assumption must have been false; that is, there must be infinitely many primes.
5. Choose a prime number p and an integer n . The only positive divisors of p are 1 and p (since p is prime), and it follows that $\gcd(p, n)$ must be either 1 or p , since $\gcd(p, n)$ must be a divisor of p . But, if $\gcd(p, n) = p$, then p is a divisor of n as well, i.e. $p | n$. That is, either $p | n$ or $\gcd(p, n) = 1$.
6. (a) $110 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$
 (b) $792 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11$
 (c) $343 = 7^3$
 (d) $3422 = 2 \cdot 29 \cdot 59$
 (e) $15 \times 10^{23} = (3 \cdot 5) \times (5 \cdot 2)^{23} = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot (5^{23} \cdot 2^{23}) = 3 \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 2^{23} = 2^{23} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{24}$
7. The primes larger than 13 and less than 50 are: 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, and 47.

Interval Ratio	Semitones	Keyboard Approx.	Error
17	$12 \log_2(17) \approx 49.050$	49 semitones	0.050 semitones = 5.0 cents
19	$12 \log_2(19) \approx 50.975$	51 semitones	0.025 semitones = 2.5 cents
23	$12 \log_2(23) \approx 54.283$	54 semitones	0.283 semitones = 28.3 cents
29	$12 \log_2(29) \approx 58.296$	58 semitones	0.296 semitones = 29.6 cents
31	$12 \log_2(31) \approx 59.450$	59 semitones	0.450 semitones = 45.0 cents
37	$12 \log_2(37) \approx 62.513$	63 semitones	0.487 semitones = 48.7 cents
41	$12 \log_2(41) \approx 64.291$	64 semitones	0.291 semitones = 29.1 cents
43	$12 \log_2(43) \approx 65.115$	65 semitones	0.115 semiteons = 11.5 cents
47	$12 \log_2(47) \approx 66.655$	67 semitones	0.345 semitones = 34.5 cents

The prime numbers 17, 19, and 43 have good keyboard approximations.

8. First, we make an observation that we will use later in this problem. Suppose that X is an interval of x semitones. Then the opposite interval of X (let's call it $-X$) has $-x$ semitones. Converting these to interval ratios, we see that X has an interval ratio of $2^{x/12}$ and $-X$ has an interval ratio of $2^{-x/12} = \frac{1}{2^{x/12}}$. That is, the interval ratio of an interval is the reciprocal of the interval ratio of the opposite interval.

Now, the proof of this problem. Let Q be a rational interval and let q be its interval ratio. Since q is rational, we can write $q = \frac{n}{m}$, where n and m are integers and $m \neq 0$, and since interval ratios must be positive, we can assume that n and m are both positive. Now, we saw in class that every positive integer has a prime factorization. So, we can write $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$ and $m = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_l$, where each p_i and each q_i is a prime number (note that we are not insisting here that $p_i \neq p_j$ or that $q_i \neq q_j$ when $i \neq j$). So, we have $q = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k \frac{1}{q_1} \frac{1}{q_2} \cdots \frac{1}{q_l}$. (In the edge case where $n = m = 1$, instead write $q = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$.) Each p_i is an interval ratio representing a prime interval, and by the observation we made earlier we know that each $\frac{1}{q_i}$ is an interval ratio representing the opposite of a prime interval. Since the composition of two intervals with interval ratios a and b is the interval with interval ratio ab , it follows that Q is the composition of prime intervals and the opposites of prime intervals.

9. The group of units \mathbb{Z}_7^* is the set of elements in (\mathbb{Z}_7, \cdot) that have inverses, together with the multiplication operation that they inherit from \mathbb{Z}_7 . That is, $\mathbb{Z}_7^* = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, since 1 is its own inverse, 2 and 4 are inverse to each other, 3 and 5 are inverse to each other, and 6 is its own inverse. This group is indeed cyclic, and its only generators are 3 and 5. This is straightforward to check.
10. Answers will vary. See page 91 in the text for an example. Ask me if you have questions.