

# Algebra II, Spring 2017

## Solutions to Problem Set 7

1. (a) Let  $M$  be the collection of all ideals of  $A$  containing  $I$  whose intersection with  $S$  is empty. Then  $M$  is non-empty since  $I \in M$ . On the other hand every increasing chain of ideals in  $M$  has a maximal element (their union), so  $M$  has a maximal element  $J$  by Zorn's lemma. We claim that  $J$  is a prime ideal. If  $ab \in J$  and  $a \notin J$  and  $b \notin J$ , then the ideal  $J_1$  generated by  $J$  and  $x$  can not belong to  $M$  (by maximality of  $J$ ), so it intersects  $S$ , so there is  $x \in A$  and  $j_1 \in J$  such that  $xa + j_1 = s_1 \in S$ . Similarly, there is  $y \in A$  and  $j_2 \in J$  such that  $yb + j_2 = s_2 \in S$ . Then  $s_1s_2 \in S$  since  $S$  is multiplicatively closed, and

$$s_1s_2 = xaj_1 + ybj_2 + xy(ab) + j_1j_2 \in J,$$

a contradiction.

(b) Clearly,  $\text{rad}(I)$  is contained in every prime ideal containing  $I$ . Conversely, if  $a$  is contained in every prime ideal containing  $I$ , and no power of  $a$  is in  $I$ , then  $S = \{1, a, \dots, a^n, \dots\}$  is a multiplicative subset of  $A$ , and by part (a), there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$ , so  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , a contradiction.

2. Suppose  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{rad}(I)$  where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal. Then by Question 1, the only prime (and in particular maximal) ideal which contains  $I$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If  $y^n \notin I$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , then  $y \notin \mathfrak{m} = \text{rad}(I)$ . Let  $J = I + (y)$ . Then  $J$  is an ideal containing  $I$  and if it is not equal to  $A$ , then it is contained in a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$ . But then  $\mathfrak{n} \neq \mathfrak{m}$  since  $y \in \mathfrak{n}$ , which is not possible. So  $I + (y) = A$ , hence  $1 = i + ay$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $a \in A$ . Multiplying by  $x$  we get,  $x = ix + axy \in I$ .

3. In class, we gave an example of a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  is not primary. We show  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{p}^2) = \mathfrak{p}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , so

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \text{rad}(\mathfrak{p}^2) \subset \text{rad}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p},$$

so  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{p}^2) = \mathfrak{p}$ .

4. Every ideal in  $S^{-1}A$  is of the form  $S^{-1}I$  for some ideal  $I \subset A$ . If  $I$  is generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_m$ , then  $S^{-1}I$  is generated by  $\frac{a_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{a_m}{1}$ .

5. We first show  $f$  has no rational root. Since  $\mathbf{Z}$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbf{Q}$ , every rational root of  $f$  should be an integer. But for every integer  $x$  with  $|x| \geq 4$ ,  $x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 = x^2(x^2 - 10) + 1 > x^2 + 1 > 0$ , and no integer with  $|x| \leq 3$  is a root of  $f$  either. Suppose now that  $f$  is a product of two irreducible factors

$$x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + dx + c).$$

Then  $a + d = 0$ , so  $d = -a$ . Also,  $bc = 1$ ,  $bd + ca = 0$ , and  $ad + b + c = -10$ . So  $-ab + ca = 0$ , so  $a = 0$ , or  $c = b$ . And  $-a^2 + b + c = -10$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $b + c = -10$  and  $bc = 1$  which is not possible since  $b$  and  $c$  are rational. If  $c = b$ , then  $bc = 1$ , so  $b = \pm 1$ . But then  $a^2 = b + c + 10 = 12$  or  $8$ , which is not possible since we are assuming  $a, b, c$  are rational.

(b) Let  $L$  be the splitting field of  $f$ . The roots of  $f$  are  $\pm\alpha$  and  $\pm\beta$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in L$ . Since the product of all roots of  $f$  is 1, we have  $(\alpha\beta)^2 = 1$ , so  $\alpha\beta = \pm 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})$ . We show if  $\sigma \neq id$ , then  $\sigma$  has order 2.

- If  $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$ , then  $\sigma(\beta) = -\beta$ , so  $\sigma^2 = id$ .
- If  $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ , and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ , then  $\sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\beta) = 1$ , so  $\sigma(\beta) = 1/\sigma(\alpha) = 1/\beta = \alpha$ , so  $\sigma^2 = id$ . Similarly, if  $\alpha\beta = -1$ , then  $\sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\beta) = -1$ , so  $\sigma(\beta) = -1/\beta = \alpha$ .
- If  $\sigma(\alpha) = -\beta$ , and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ , then  $\sigma(\beta) = 1/(-\beta) = -\alpha$ . Similarly, if  $\alpha\beta = -1$ , then  $\sigma(\beta) = -1/(-\beta) = -\alpha$ . So in this case,  $\sigma^2 = id$  too.

Therefore the Galois group is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ .

(c) If  $p = 2$ , then  $f(x) = x^4 + 1 = (x^2 + 1)^2 \pmod{2}$ . If  $p = 3$ , then

$$x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + 1)^2 \pmod{3}$$

So assume  $p \neq 2, 3$ . Then  $f' = 4x^3 - 20x = 4x(x^2 - 5)$ , so  $f$  and  $f'$  has no common roots (since if  $\alpha$  is a common root of  $f$  and  $f' \pmod{p}$  in the algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , then  $\alpha^2 = 5$ , so  $f(\alpha) = \alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 10) + 1 = -24$  so  $p = 2$  or  $p = 3$ .) This shows that  $f$  has no repeated roots. Let  $K$  be the splitting field of  $f \pmod{p} \in \mathbf{F}_p[x]$ , and assume  $f \pmod{p}$  is irreducible. Then  $[K : \mathbf{F}_p] \geq 4$ , and also since the Galois group of every finite extension of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  is cyclic,  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{F}_p)$  contains an  $n$ -cycle  $n \geq 4$ . This is not possible since  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})$  has no 4-cycle.