Solutions to the selected problems (Homework 5–7)

Linear Algebra

Fall 2010

Page 86, 3) Let T be the given function, so $T(x, y, z, t) = \begin{pmatrix} t + x & y + iz \\ y - iz & t - x \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{split} T(c(x, y, z, w) + (x', y', z', w')) &= T(cx + x', cy + y', cz + z', cw + w') \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ct + t' + cx + x' & cy + y' + i(cz + z') \\ cy + y' - i(cz + z') & ct + t' - (cx + x') \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c \begin{pmatrix} t + x & y + iz \\ y - iz & t - x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} t' + x' & y' + iz' \\ y' - iz' & t' - x' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= cT(x, y, z, w) + T(x', y', z', w'), \end{split}$$

for any $c \in \mathbf{R}$, so T is linear. To show that T is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that T is one-one and onto.

If T(x, y, z, w) = 0, then t + x = y + iz = y - iz = t - x = 0, so t = x = z = w = 0, so T is one-one. If A is a Hermitian matrix, then $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b + ic \\ b - ic & d \end{pmatrix}$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$. If we let $t = \frac{a+d}{2}, x = \frac{a-d}{2}, y = b, z = c$, then T(x, y, z, w) = A, so T is onto.

Page 96, 12. (b) We have

$$T^{m}(\alpha_{j}) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{j+m} & \text{if } j \leq n-m \\ 0 & \text{if } j > n-m \end{cases}$$

So $T^n(\alpha_i) = 0$ for every $1 \le i \le n$, and since every vector can be written as a linear combination of the α_i , $T^n(\alpha) = 0$ for every vector $\alpha \in V$. We have $T^{n-1}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_n \ne 0$, so $T^{n-1} \ne 0$. (c) since $S^{n-1} \neq 0$, we can choose a vector α such that $S^{n-1}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = S(\alpha), \ldots, \alpha_i = S^{i-1}(\alpha), \ldots, \alpha_n = S^{n-1}(\alpha)$. Clearly $S(\alpha_j) = \alpha_{j+1}$ if j < n, and $S(\alpha_n) = 0$ since $S^n = 0$. We claim the α_j are linearly independent. Note that $\alpha_n = S^{n-1}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Assume on the contrary that there is a non-trivial linear relation

$$c_1\alpha_1 + \dots c_n\alpha_n = 0$$

(so there is at least one c_i which is not equal to zero). Assume that t is the smallest integer such that $c_t \neq 0$. So we have

$$c_t \alpha_t + \dots + c_n \alpha_n = 0, \quad c_t \neq 0$$

Then

$$S^{n-t}(c_t\alpha_t + \dots c_n\alpha_n) = 0.$$

So

$$c_t S^{n-t}(\alpha_t) + \dots + c_n S^{n-t}(\alpha_n) = 0,$$

but $S^{n-t}(\alpha_{t+1}) = \cdots = S^{n-t}(\alpha_n) = 0$ and $S^{n-t}(\alpha_t) = \alpha_n$ by definition, so

$$c_t \alpha_n = 0$$

But α_n is non-zero by our assumption, so $c_t = 0$, a contradiciton. Therefore, there is no non-trivial linear relation between the α_i . Thus they are linearly independent and hence form a basis.

(d) Assume that $M^{n-1} \neq 0$ and $M^n = 0$. Define a linear transformation

$$S: F^{n \times 1} \to F^{n \times 1}$$

such that S(X) = MX. Then $S^n(X) = M^n X = 0$, so $S^n = 0$, and $S^{n-1} \neq 0$. of so by part (b) there is a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ for $F^{n \times 1}$ such that $S(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $S(\alpha_n) = 0$. The matrix of S in this basis is

$$[S]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since M is the matrix of S with respect to the standard basis, M is similar to the above matrix. The same argument shows that N is similar to the above matrix. Since being similar is an equivalent relations, M and N are similar.

Page 106, 11) If W_1 and W_2 are two subspaces of a vector space V, then clearly

$$W_1 \subset W_2$$
 implies $(W_2)^0 \subset (W_1)^0$.

(a) Since $W_1, W_2 \subset W_1 + W_2, (W_1 + W_2)^0 \subset W_1^0$ and $(W_1 + W_2)^0 \subset W_2^0$, so $(W_1 + W_2)^0 \subset W_1^0 \cap W_2^0$. Conversely, assume $f \in W_1^0 \cap W_2^0$, and let $\alpha \in W_1 + W_2$, then $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ for some $\alpha_1 \in W_1$ and $\alpha_2 \in W_2$. So $f(\alpha) = f(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = f(\alpha_1) + f(\alpha_2) = 0$. Therefore, $f \in (W_1 + W_2)^0$.

(b) Since $W_1 \cap W_2 \subset W_1, W_2$, we have

$$W_1^0, W_2^0 \subset (W_1 \cap W_2)^0$$

Since by definition, $W_1^0 + W_2^0$ is the intersection of all subspaces which contain both W_1^0 and W_2^0 , the above inclusion implies that $W_1^0 + W_2^0 \subset (W_1 \cap W_2)^0$. To show that

$$(W_1 \cap W_2)^0 = W_1^0 + W_2^0,$$

it is enough to show that $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2)^0 = \dim(W_1^0 + W_2^0)$ (because a proper subspace of a vector space has dimension smaller than the dimension of the vector space). We have

$$dim(W_1^0 + W_2^0) = \dim W_1^0 + \dim W_2^0 - \dim(W_1^0 \cap W_2^0) \quad \text{(by Thm. 6, page 46)} = \dim W_1^0 + \dim W_2^0 - \dim(W_1 + W_2)^0 \quad \text{(by part (a))} = (\dim V - \dim W_1) + (\dim V - \dim W_2) \quad \text{(by Thm. 16, page 101)} - (\dim V - \dim(W_1 + W_2)) = \dim V - (\dim W_1 + \dim W_2 - \dim(W_1 + W_2)) = \dim V - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)^0$$

Page 106, 12) Assume dim W = r and dim V = n. Pick a basis $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ for W, and extend it to a basis: $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ for V. We know that a linear functional $g: V \to F$ is uniquely determined by its values at the α_i . And we also know that for any choice of scalars $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$, there is a linear functional $V \to F$ which sends α_i to a_i (such a linear functional is given by $g(c_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + c_n\alpha_n) = c_1a_1 + \cdots + c_na_n)$.

Now given $f: W \to F$, define g as follows: $g(\alpha_1) = f(\alpha_1), \ldots, g(\alpha_r) = f(\alpha_r), g(\alpha_r + 1) = 0, \ldots, g(\alpha_n) = 0$. Then we can extend g to the whole V.

Any vector $\alpha \in V$ can be written uniquely as

$$\alpha = c_1 \alpha_1 + \dots c_n \alpha_n,$$

and $g(\alpha) = c_1 f(\alpha_1) + \cdots + c_r f(\alpha_r)$. Then g is of course a linear functional. And if α is already in W, then when we write α as above, we have

$$\alpha = c_1 \alpha_1 + \dots c_r \alpha_r,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$g(\alpha) = c_1 f(\alpha_1) + \dots + c_r f(\alpha_r) = f(c_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + c_r \alpha_r) = f(\alpha).$$

So on W, f = g.

Page 106 13) We have $h(\alpha) = f(\alpha)g(\alpha)$, so for every $c \in F$,

$$ch(\alpha) = cf(\alpha)g(\alpha).$$

On the other hand,

$$c h(\alpha) = h(c\alpha) = f(c\alpha)g(c\alpha) = cf(\alpha)cg(\alpha) = c^2 f(\alpha)g(\alpha).$$

Comparing the above two equalities, we see for every $c \in F$, and $\alpha \in V$:

$$cf(\alpha)g(\alpha) = c^2 f(\alpha)g(\alpha).$$

Pick an arbitrary $c \neq 0, 1$. For every $\alpha \in V$, we have

$$f(\alpha)g(\alpha) = cf(\alpha)g(\alpha),$$

so $(c-1)f(\alpha)g(\alpha) = 0$, so $f(\alpha) = 0$, or $g(\alpha) = 0$. Therefore, if we let W_1 be the null-space of f:

$$W_1 = \{ \alpha \in V : f(\alpha) = 0 \},\$$

and W_2 be the nullspace of g, then $V = W_1 \cup W_2$. But we know from a previous homework that the union of two subspaces is a subspace exactly when one is contained in the other one. Thus either $W_1 \subset W_2$ or $W_2 \subset W_1$. In the former case $V = W_1 \cup W_2 = W_2$ so g = 0, and in the later case, $V = W_1 \cup W_2 = W_1$, so f = 0.

Page 107, 17) We know that

$$\operatorname{trace}(A + cB) = \operatorname{trace}(A) + c\operatorname{trace}(B),$$

so the set of trace zero matrices is a subspace of W, which we denote by W_1 . Let $E^{i,j}$ be a matrix whose entries are all zero except the (i, j)-th entry which is equal to 1. Let M^i , $1 \le i \le n-1$ be the matrix whose entries are all zero, except the (i, i)-th entry which is 1 and the (n, n)-th entry which is -1. Then $E^{i,j}$, $1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j$, and M^i , $1 \le i \le n-1$ are all in W_1 . These $(n^n - n) + (n - 1) = n^2 - n$ matrices span W_1 : If $A \in W_1$, A has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \cdots & -(a_{1,1} + \cdots + a_{n-1,n-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

So

$$A = \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} a_{i,j} E^{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i,i} M^i.$$

(It is easy to show that these $n^2 - 1$ matrices are linearly independent too, so dim $W_1 = n^2 - 1$, but it is not needed here).

Note that if a matrix P can be written as AB - BA, then the same is true for every scalar multiple of P:

$$cP = cAB - cBA = (cA)B - B(cA) = A'B - BA'$$

where A' = cA.

Now we show that each matrix $E^{i,j}$ can be written as AB - BA for two matrices A and B and the same is true for every matrix M^i . Since every matrix of trace zero can be written as a linear combination of the $E^{i,j}$ and M^i , this shows that every matrix of trace zero can be written as a finite sum

$$(A_1B_1 - B_1A_1) + \dots + (A_kB_k - B_kA_k)$$

for some matrices A_i and B_i . Which is exactly what the question is asking (well this is one direction, the other direction is trivial: every matrix of the form AB - BA has trace zero by a previous homework, and the same is true for a sum of the matrices of the form AB - BA.)

Note that for any i, j, k, l, we have

$$E^{i,k}E^{l,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq l \\ E^{i,l} & \text{if } k = l \end{cases}$$

So if $i \neq j$,

$$E^{i,j} = E^{i,i}E^{i,j} - E^{i,j}E^{i,i}$$

And if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$M^i = E^{i,n} E^{n,i} - E^{n,i} E^{i,n}.$$

Page 115, 1) (a) $g(x_1, x_2) = ax_1$, (b) $g(x_1, x_2) = bx_1 - ax_2$, (c) $g(x_1, x_2) = (a+b)x_1 + (b-a)x_2$.

Page 149, 6) If j_1, \ldots, j_n are distinct, then it is easy to show that D is *n*-linear (I think we proved this in class). Conversely, we assume that j_1, \ldots, j_n are not distinct and we show that D is not linear. Assume that $j_r = j_s$, $r \neq s$. Let $j := j_r = j_s$. Assume that m of the numbers j_1, \ldots, j_n are equal to j. Then $m \geq 2$, and if If we denote the rows of A, by ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_n , then

$$D(\rho_1,\ldots,c\rho_j,\ldots,\rho_n)=c^m A_{j_1,k_1}A_{j_2,k_2}\cdots A_{j_n,k_n}.$$

But

$$cD(\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_j,\ldots,\rho_n)=cA_{j_1,k_1}A_{j_2,k_2}\cdots A_{j_n,k_n}.$$

If we take A to be the matrix whose entries are all 1, and if we let c be a scalar, then the two right hand sides of the above equations are c^m and c. Since $m \ge 2$, we can choose a scalar c such that $c^m \ne c$, so D cannot be linear with respect to the *j*-th row.

Page 163, 7) This can be proved using induction. For k = 2, this is just the special case of equation (5-19) of the book. If we know the equality holds for k-1, and A is the given matrix, then we can divide the matrix into 4 blocks:

$$A_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & A_k \end{pmatrix}$$
. By equation (5-19) determinant of

A is equal to $(\det A_1)$ times the determinant of the last block. By induction, the last block has determinant equal to $(\det A_2) \dots (\det A_k)$, so

$$\det A = (\det A_1) \cdots (\det A_k).$$

Page 163, 9) Assume A is $n \times n$. If the determinant rank of A is r. Then there is a submatrix B of A consisting of say columns j_1, \ldots, j_r and rows i_1, \ldots, i_r of A such that $\det(B) \neq 0$. This implies that the columns of B are linearly independent, in particular, columns j_1, \ldots, j_r of A are linearly independent, so the rank of A is at least r. so

rank $(A) \geq$ determinant rank (A).

On the other hand if rank(A) = s, then there are s linearly independent rows of A: call them i_1, \ldots, i_s . Let M be the $s \times n$ matrix which is formed by rows i_1, \ldots, i_s of A. Then since M has linearly independent rows, the rank of M (which is defined to be the row rank of M and is equal to the column rank of M) is s, so there are s columns j_1, \ldots, j_s of M which are linearly independent. The matrix which is obtained from rows j_1, \ldots, j_s of M is a submatrix of A with rank s, so it is invertible, and its determinant is not equal to zero. So

determinant $\operatorname{rank}(A) \geq \operatorname{rank}(A)$,

and the result follows.