

Math 350 - Homework 10 - Solutions

1. In certain situations a random variable X , whose mean is known, is simulated so as to obtain an estimate of $P\{X \leq a\}$ for a given constant a . The raw simulation estimator from a single run is I , where

$$I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X \leq a \\ 0 & \text{if } X > a. \end{cases}$$

Because I and X are clearly negatively correlated, a natural attempt to reduce the variance is to use X as a control—and so use an estimator of the form $I + c(X - E[X])$.

- (a) Determine the percentage of variance reduction over the raw estimator I that is possible (by using the best c) if X were uniform on $(0, 1)$.
(b) Repeat (a) if X were exponential with mean 1.
(c) Explain why we knew that I and X were negatively correlated.

The following remarks are useful. I will assume that X takes values in $[0, \infty)$. (This is the case for the random variables of part (a) and (b).) $E[I] = P(X \leq a) = F(a)$, where $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$ is the cumulative distribution function of X . Also recall that, for any function $H(x)$ such that the expected value of $H(X)$ makes sense to take (i.e., $H(x)$ is integrable with respect to the probability distribution of X), we have $E[H(X)] = \int xF'(x) dx$. In particular, if $I(x)$ is the function which is 1 if $x \leq a$ and 0 otherwise, then

$$E[XI] = \int_0^a xF'(x) dx.$$

Therefore, the covariance of X and I can be written as

$$\text{Cov}(X, I) = E[XI] - E[X]E[I] = \int_0^a xF'(x) dx - F(a) \int_0^L xF'(x) dx$$

where L (possibly ∞) is the upper endpoint of the interval of values of X . We also have:

$$\text{Var}(X) = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 = \int x^2 F'(x) dx - \left(\int x F'(x) dx \right)^2$$

and

$$\text{Var}(I) = E[I^2] - E[I]^2 = E[I](1 - E[I]) = F(a)(1 - F(a)).$$

Finally, recall that the fraction of variance reduction obtained by using I_{c^*} is

$$\frac{\text{Var}(I_{c^*})}{\text{Var}(I)} = 1 - \text{Corr}^2(X, I)$$

where $\text{Corr}^2(X, I) = \text{Var}^2(X, I) / (\text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(I))$.

Part (a). If X is uniform over $(0, 1)$, then $F(x) = x$, $F'(x) = 1$ and

$$\text{Cov}(X, I) = \int_0^a x dx - a \int_0^1 x dx = \frac{a^2 - a}{2}.$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = \int_0^1 x^2 dx - (x dx)^2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{12}.$$

$$\text{Var}(I) = a(1 - a).$$

Therefore, $\text{Corr}^2(X, I) = 3a(1 - a)$ and

$$\frac{\text{Var}(I_{c^*})}{\text{Var}(I)} = 1 - 3a(1 - a).$$

Part (b). If X is exponential with mean $\lambda = 1$, then $F'(x) = e^{-x}$, $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$. In this case

$$\text{Cov}(X, I) = \int_0^a x e^{-x} dx - (1 - e^{-a}) \int_0^\infty x e^{-x} dx = -a e^{-a} - e^{-a} + 1 - 1 + e^{-a} = -a e^{-a}.$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = 1.$$

$$\text{Var}(I) = F(a)(1 - F(a)) = e^{-a}(1 - e^{-a}).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\text{Var}(I_{c^*})}{\text{Var}(I)} = 1 - \frac{a^2}{e^a - 1}.$$

Part (c). It is intuitively clear that if X is large then I is more likely to be 0, and if X is small, then I is more likely to be 1. I give now a sketch of proof that $\text{Cov}(X, I) < 0$. (See if you can fill in the details.) I assume that the range of values of X is $[0, L)$, where L may be finite or ∞ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X, I) &= E[XI] - E[X]E[I] \\ &= \int_0^a xF'(x) dx - F(a) \int_0^L xF'(x) dx \\ &= (1 - F(a)) \int_0^a xF'(x) dx - F(a) \int_a^L xF'(x) dx \quad (\text{Splitting the integral from 0 to } L \text{ in two}) \\ &= -(1 - F(a)) \int_0^a F(x) dx + aF(a)(1 - F(a)) - F(a) \int_a^L xF'(x) dx \quad (\text{Integration by parts}) \\ &= -(1 - F(a)) \int_0^a F(x) dx + aF(a)(1 - F(a)) - \bar{x}F(a)(1 - F(a)) \\ &= -(1 - F(a)) \left(\int_0^a F(x) dx + (\bar{x} - a)F(a) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{x} is the conditional expectation of X assuming that $X \geq a$. Since $\bar{x} > a$, $F(a) < 1$, and $F(x) \geq 0$, we conclude that the covariance is negative.

2. Show that $\text{Var}(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha)W)$ is minimized by α being equal to the value given in Equation (8.3) and determine the resulting variance.

Due to the general properties of variance and covariance, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha)W) &= \alpha^2 \text{Var}(X) + (1 - \alpha)^2 \text{Var}(W) + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)\text{Cov}(X, W) \\ &= \text{Var}(W) + 2(\text{Cov}(X, W) - \text{Var}(W))\alpha + (\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(W) - 2\text{Cov}(X, W))\alpha^2.\end{aligned}$$

This is a quadratic function in α , which is bounded below since $\text{Var}(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha)W) \geq 0$. Therefore, the unique critical point is a point of minimum, and it is given by the unique root α^* of the derivative of the quadratic function:

$$2(\text{Cov}(X, W) - \text{Var}(W)) + 2\alpha^*(\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(W) - 2\text{Cov}(X, W)) = 0$$

or

$$\alpha^* = \frac{\text{Var}(W) - \text{Cov}(X, W)}{\text{Var}(X) - 2\text{Cov}(X, W) + \text{Var}(W)} = \frac{\text{Var}(W) - \text{Cov}(X, W)}{\text{Var}(X - W)}.$$

Replacing this value of α into the expression

$$\text{Var}(W) + 2(\text{Cov}(X, W) - \text{Var}(W))\alpha + (\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(W) - 2\text{Cov}(X, W))\alpha^2$$

and after some straightforward algebraic manipulation, we obtain

$$\text{Var}(\alpha^* X + (1 - \alpha^*)W) = \text{Var}(W) - \frac{(\text{Cov}(X, W) - \text{Var}(W))^2}{\text{Var}(X) - 2\text{Cov}(X, W) + \text{Var}(W)}.$$

An alternative way to write this expression which is more explicitly symmetric is

$$\text{Var}(\alpha^* X + (1 - \alpha^*)W) = \frac{\text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(W) - \text{Cov}^2(X, W)}{\text{Var}(X - W)}.$$

3. (a) Explain how control variables may be used to estimate θ in Exercise 1. (The latter is Problem 1 of homework assignment 9.)
 - (b) Do 100 simulation runs, using the control given in (a), to estimate first c^* and then the variance of the estimator.
 - (c) Using the same data as in (b), determine the variance of the antithetic variable estimator.
 - (d) Which of the two types of variance reduction techniques worked better in this example?
- (a) Let $X = e^{U^2}$, so that $\theta = E[e^{U^2}]$. One possible choice of control variable is $Y = U^2$. The expected value of Y is $E[Y] = \int_0^1 x^2 dx = 1/3$. So we can use the unbiased estimator of θ given by

$$X_{c^*} = e^{U^2} + c^*(U^2 - 1/3)$$

where $c^* = -\text{Cov}(e^{U^2}, U^2)/\text{Var}(Y)$.

The following Matlab script can be used to answer the other questions (notice that the expected value of Y used is the exact one, equal to $1/3$, not the estimated value):

```
m      = 100;
U      = rand(1,m);
Y      = U.^2;
Ybar   = 1/3;
X      = exp(Y);
```

```

Xbar = sum(X)/m;
J     = ones(1,m);
A     = sum((X-Xbar*J).*(Y-Ybar*J));
B     = sum((Y-Ybar*J).^2);
C     = sum((X-Xbar*J).^2);
CovXY = A/(m-1);
VarY   = B/(m-1);
VarX   = C/(m-1);
c      = -A/B;
%Estimator:
Xc     = X + c*(Y-Ybar*J);
Xcbar  = sum(Xc)/m;
%Variance of estimator:
VarXc  = (VarX - CovXY^2/VarY)/m
%Antithetic estimator
Xa     = (exp(U.^2)+exp((1-U).^2))/2;
Xabar  = sum(Xa)/m;
VarXa  = sum((Xa-Xabar*J).^2)/(m-1)

```

(b) One run of the above program gave the following: the estimated value of c^* was -1.5950 , and the variance of the estimator X_{c^*} was $\text{Var}(X_{c^*}) = 4.5860 \times 10^{-5}$.

(c) The variance of the antithetic variable estimator (using the same U) was: $\text{Var}(X_a) = 0.0306$, so the reduction in variance is

$$\frac{\text{Var}(X_{c^*})}{\text{Var}(X_a)} = 0.0015.$$

(d) It is clear from part (c) that it is better to use the control variable method. Confidence intervals using the control variable method is $\sqrt{0.0015} = 0.0387$ shorter than confidence intervals obtained by using the antithetic variable method.

4. Show that in estimating $\theta = E[(1 - U^2)^{1/2}]$ it is better to use U^2 rather than U as the control variate. To do this, use simulation to approximate the necessary covariances.

One run of the below program gave the following values: the variance of the estimator X_1 of $\theta = \pi/4$ that uses the control variable U is $V_1 = 6.3796 \times 10^{-5}$ and the variance of the estimator that uses the control variable U^2 is $V_2 = 1.6246 \times 10^{-5}$. So it is more efficient to use U^2 . The quotient is

$$\frac{V_2}{V_1} = 0.2547$$

and the ratio of standard deviations is approximately 0.5.

```

m      = 100;
U      = rand(1,m);
X      = (1-U.^2).^(1/2);
Xbar   = sum(X)/m;
Y1     = U;
Y2     = U.^2;
Y1bar  = 1/2;

```

```

Y2bar = 1/3;
J      = ones(1,m);
A1     = sum((X-Xbar*J).*(Y1-Y1bar*J));
B1     = sum((Y1-Y1bar*J).^2);
C1     = sum((X-Xbar*J).^2);
CovXY1 = A1/(m-1);
VarY1  = B1/(m-1);
VarX   = C1/(m-1);
c1     = -A1/B1;
%Variance of first estimator, X1c:
X1c    = X + c1*(Y1-Y1bar*J);
X1cbar = sum(X1c)/m;
VarX1c = (VarX - CovXY1^2/VarY1)/m
%%%%%%%%%%
A2     = sum((X-Xbar*J).*(Y2-Y2bar*J));
B2     = sum((Y2-Y2bar*J).^2);
C2     = sum((X-Xbar*J).^2);
CovXY2 = A2/(m-1);
VarY2  = B2/(m-1);
VarX   = C2/(m-1);
c2     = -A2/B2;
%Variance of second estimator, X2c:
X2c    = X + c2*(Y2-Y2bar*J);
X2cbar = sum(X2c)/m;
VarX2c = (VarX - CovXY2^2/VarY2)/m

```