

Homework 5

Problem 1

(#2.64)(i)

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{T_0}(\theta) &= E(T_0 - \theta)^2 \\ &= \theta^2 P(\bar{X} < 0.5) + (1 - \theta)^2 P(\bar{X} > 0.5) + (0.5 - \theta)^2 P(\bar{X} = 0.5). \end{aligned}$$

When $n = 2k$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{X} < 0.5) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{2k}{j} \theta^j (1 - \theta)^{2k-j}, \\ P(\bar{X} > 0.5) &= \sum_{j=k+1}^{2k} \binom{2k}{j} \theta^j (1 - \theta)^{2k-j}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P(\bar{X} = 0.5) = \binom{2k}{k} \theta^k (1 - \theta)^k.$$

When $n = 2k + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{X} < 0.5) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2k+1}{j} \theta^j (1 - \theta)^{2k+1-j}, \\ P(\bar{X} > 0.5) &= \sum_{j=k+1}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{j} \theta^j (1 - \theta)^{2k+1-j}, \end{aligned}$$

and $P(\bar{X} = 0.5) = 0$.

(ii)

A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{T_1}(\theta) &= E(T_1 - \theta)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} E(\bar{X} - \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} E(T_0 - \theta)^2 \\ &= \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} R_{T_0}(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{T_0}(\theta)$ is given in part (i), and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{T_2}(\theta) &= E(T_2 - \theta)^2 \\
&= E \left[\bar{X}(\bar{X} - \theta)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right)^2 (1 - \bar{X}) \right] \\
&= E(\bar{X} - \theta)^3 + \theta E(\bar{X} - \theta)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right)^2 (1 - \theta) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n E(X_i - \theta)(X_j - \theta)(X_k - \theta) + \frac{\theta^2(1 - \theta)}{n} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right)^2 (1 - \theta) \\
&= \frac{E(X_1 - \theta)^3}{n^2} + \frac{\theta^2(1 - \theta)}{n} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right)^2 (1 - \theta) \\
&= \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)^3 - \theta^3(1 - \theta)}{n^2} + \frac{\theta^2(1 - \theta)}{n} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right)^2 (1 - \theta),
\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equality follows from $E(\bar{X} - \theta)^2 = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \theta(1 - \theta)/n$ and the fifth equality follows from the fact that $E(X_i - \theta)(X_j - \theta)(X_k - \theta) \neq 0$ iff $i = j = k$.

(#2.69)

Here $T = 1/2$ means the decision rule is random with probability 0.5 to be 0 or 1.

$$R(\theta, T) = E(L(\theta, T))$$

$$= L(\theta, 0)P(n\bar{X} < 0.5n) + L(\theta, 1)P(n\bar{X} > 0.5n) + \frac{1}{2}[L(\theta, 0) + L(\theta, 1)]P(n\bar{X} = 0.5n).$$

If H_0 is true, i.e. $\theta \leq 0.5$, then $L(\theta, 0) = 0$ and $L(\theta, 1) = C_1$. So

$$R(\theta, T) = C_1 [P(n\bar{X} > 0.5n) + 0.5P(n\bar{X} = 0.5n)].$$

If H_1 is true, i.e. $\theta > 0.5$, then $L(\theta, 0) = C_0$ and $L(\theta, 1) = 0$. So

$$R(\theta, T) = C_0 [P(n\bar{X} < 0.5n) + 0.5P(n\bar{X} = 0.5n)].$$

Using the fact that $n\bar{X} \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta)$, we have

$$R(\theta, T) = \begin{cases} C_1 \left[\sum_{j=0.5n+1}^n \binom{n}{j} \theta^j (1 - \theta)^{n-j} + 0.5 \binom{n}{0.5n} \theta^{0.5n} (1 - \theta)^{0.5n} \right], & \theta \leq 0.5 \\ C_0 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{0.5n} \binom{n}{j} \theta^j (1 - \theta)^{n-j} + 0.5 \binom{n}{0.5n} \theta^{0.5n} (1 - \theta)^{0.5n} \right], & \theta > 0.5 \end{cases}$$

Problem 2(#2.73)

i

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{a\bar{X}+b} &= E(a\bar{X} + b - \mu)^2 \\
&= a^2 \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + (a\mu + b - \mu)^2 \\
&\geq a^2 \text{Var}(\bar{X}) \\
&= a^2 R_{\bar{X}(P)} \\
&> R_{\bar{X}}(P)
\end{aligned}$$

when $a > 1$. Hence \bar{X} is better than $a\bar{X} + b$ with $a > 1$.

ii

For $b \neq 0$,

$$R_{\bar{X}+b}(P) = E(\bar{X} + b - \mu)^2 = Var(\bar{X}) + b^2 > Var(\bar{X}) = R_{\bar{X}}(P).$$

Hence \bar{X} is better than $\bar{X} + b$ with $b \neq 0$.

Problem 3 (#2.81)

a

$$X_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2).$$

Since the sample mean and sample variance are independent,

$$\bar{X}_i \perp \Sigma_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2.$$

Due to between-group independence,

$$\Sigma_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2 \perp \bar{X}_{i'}, i' \neq i.$$

Then $\Sigma_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2 \perp (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2$. So $MSA \perp MSE$.

b

$$\bar{X}_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma_a^2 + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n}), \text{ thus}$$

$$\Sigma_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2 \sim (\sigma_a^2 + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n}) \chi_{m-1}^2.$$

On the other hand, $X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i = \varepsilon_{ij} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i$ implies that

$$\Sigma_i \Sigma_j (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2 \sim \sigma_e^2 \chi_{m(n-1)}^2.$$

Since $MSA \perp MSE$,

$$\frac{MSA}{MSE} \sim \frac{n\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2}{\sigma_e^2} F_{m-1, m(n-1)}$$

and

$$E\left(\frac{MSA}{MSE}\right) = (n\theta + 1) \frac{mn - m}{mn - m - 2}.$$

Solving

$$\frac{1}{n} \left((1 - \delta)(n\theta + 1) \frac{mn - m}{mn - m - 2} - 1 \right) = \theta,$$

we obtain

$$\delta = \frac{2}{m(n-1)}.$$

c

Since $\frac{MSA}{MSE} = (n\theta + 1) F_{m-1, m(n-1)}$ depends only on θ , the risk of $\hat{\theta}(\delta)$ can be expressed by δ and θ .

d

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta, \hat{\theta}(\delta)) &= E(\hat{\theta}(\delta) - \theta)^2 \\ &= E(\hat{\theta}(\delta)^2 + \theta^2 - 2\theta\hat{\theta}(\delta)) \\ &= (\delta - 1)^2 \frac{1}{n^2} E\left(\frac{MSA}{MSE}\right)^2 + (\delta - 1) \left(\frac{2}{n^2} E\left(\frac{MSA}{MSE}\right) + \frac{2\theta}{n} E\left(\frac{MSA}{MSE}\right) \right) + \theta^2 + \frac{2\theta}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

$E[(\hat{\theta}(\delta) - \theta)^2]$ is a convex quadratic function of δ , thus we conclude the statement.

e

From (d), $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}(\delta))$ attains minimum at

$$\delta^* = 1 - \frac{(mn - m - 4)(m - 1)}{(m + 1)m(n - 1)} = \frac{2(mn + m - 2)}{(m + 1)m(n - 1)},$$

$\delta = \frac{2}{m(n+2)} \neq \delta^*, R(\theta, \hat{\theta}(\delta)) > R(\theta, \hat{\theta}(\delta^*))$, so $\hat{\theta}(\delta)$ is inadmissible.

Problem 4 (#4.80)

The joint Lebesgue density of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$\theta^{-n} e^{-n\bar{X}/\theta} I_{(0, \infty)}(X_{(1)}),$$

where $X_{(1)}$ is the smallest order statistic. Let $T(X) = \bar{X}$, $\vartheta = -\theta^{-1}$ and $c(\vartheta) = \vartheta^n$. Then the joint density is of the form $c(\vartheta)e^{\vartheta T}$ with respect a σ -finite measure and the range of ϑ is $(-\infty, 0)$. For any $\vartheta_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\vartheta_0} e^{-b\vartheta/n} \vartheta^{-1} d\vartheta = \int_{\text{theta}_0}^0 e^{-b\vartheta/n} \vartheta^{-1} d\vartheta = \infty.$$

By Karlin's theorem, we conclude that $(n\bar{X} + b)/(n + 1)$ is admissible under the squared error loss. This implies that $(n\bar{X} + b)/(n + 1)$ is also admissible under the loss function $L(\theta, a) = (a - \theta)^2/\theta^2$. Since the risk of $n\bar{X}/(n + 1)$ is

$$\frac{1}{\theta} E\left(\frac{n\bar{X}}{n + 1} - \theta\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n + 1},$$

$n\bar{X}/(n + 1)$ is an admissible estimator with constant risk. Hence it is minimax.

Problem 5 (#4.75)

The posterior density of μ is proportional to

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{(\mu - x)^2}{2} + \mu\right\} \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{[\mu - (x + 1)]^2}{2}\right\}.$$

Thus, the posterior distribution of μ is $N(X + 1, 1)$ and the generalized Bayes estimator is $E(\mu|X) = X + 1$. Since the risk of $X + 1$ is $E(X + 1 - \mu)^2 = 1 + E(X - \mu)^2 > E(X - \mu)^2$, which is the risk of X , we conclude that $X + 1$ is neither minimax nor admissible.

Problem 6

(#4.81)

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = p^{n\bar{X}}(1-p)^{n-n\bar{X}} = (1-p)^n e^{n\bar{X} \log \frac{p}{1-p}}$$

Let $\theta = n \log \frac{p}{1-p}$, $c(\theta) = (1 + e^{\frac{\theta}{n}})^{-n}$, $T(X) = \bar{X}$, $T_{\lambda, \gamma}(X) = (T + \gamma\lambda)/(1 + \lambda)$. Let $\lambda = 0$, we have that $T_{\lambda, \gamma} = T(X)$ and

$$\int_{\theta_0}^{\infty} 1 d\theta = \infty = \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_0} 1 d\theta,$$

so $T(X) = \bar{X}$ is admissible. $T_{\lambda, \gamma}(X) = (\bar{X} + \gamma\lambda)/(1 + \lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\theta_0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma\lambda\theta} (1 + e^{\frac{\theta}{n}})^{n\lambda} d\theta &= \int_{\theta_0}^{\infty} \exp\{-\gamma\lambda\theta + n\lambda \log(1 + e^{\frac{\theta}{n}})\} d\theta \\ &\geq \int_{\theta_0}^{\infty} \exp\{\lambda\theta(1 - \gamma)\} d\theta && \text{because } \lambda > 0 \\ &\geq \int_{\theta_0}^{\infty} \exp\{\lambda\theta_0(1 - \gamma)\} d\theta = \infty && \text{because } 0 \leq 1 - \gamma \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

So $(\bar{X} + \gamma\lambda)/(1 + \lambda)$ is admissible for p .

(#4.82)(i)

The discrete probability density X is $\theta^x e^{-\theta}/x! = e^{-e^\vartheta} e^{\vartheta x}/x!$, where $\vartheta = \log \theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Let $\alpha = (1 + \lambda)^{-1}$ and $\beta = \gamma\lambda/(1 + \lambda)$. Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{-\gamma\lambda\vartheta}}{e^{-\lambda e^\vartheta}} d\vartheta = \infty$$

iff $\lambda\gamma \geq 0$, and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma\lambda\vartheta}}{e^{-\lambda e^\vartheta}} d\vartheta = \infty$$

iff $\lambda \geq 0$. And by #2.73, when $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta \neq 0$, $\alpha X + \beta$ is inadmissible. When $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, $\alpha X + \beta$ is inadmissible. So the conclusion is that $\alpha X + \beta$ is admissible if and only if (α, β) is in the following set:

$$\{\alpha = 0, \beta = 0\} \cup \{\alpha = 1, \beta = 0\} \cup \{0 < \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0\}.$$

(ii)

The discrete probability density of X is $\binom{x-1}{r-1} \frac{p^r}{(1-p)^r} e^{x \log(1-p)}$. Let $\theta = \log(1-p) \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\alpha = (1 + \lambda)^{-1}$, and $\beta = \gamma\lambda/(1 + \lambda)$. Note that

$$\int_c^0 e^{-\lambda\gamma\theta} \left(\frac{e^\theta}{1 - e^\theta} \right)^{\lambda r} d\theta = \infty$$

iff $\lambda r \geq 1$, i.e., $\alpha \leq \frac{r}{r+1}$;

$$\int_{-\infty}^c e^{-\lambda\gamma\theta} \left(\frac{e^\theta}{1 - e^\theta} \right)^{\lambda r} d\theta = \infty$$

iff $\gamma > r$, i.e., $\beta > r\lambda/(1 + \lambda) = r(1 - \alpha)$. The result follows from Karlin's theorem.