

Lecture 4 : Mathematical Induction

Recall that if we want to prove some assertion for all positive integers, and $A(n)$ denotes the truth of this assertion for the particular positive integer n , then induction says:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } A(1) \\ \text{(ii) } A(n) \Rightarrow A(n+1) \\ \quad \text{(for each } n \in \mathbb{P} \text{)} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow A(n) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{P}.$$

We begin with a really important consequence of induction:

The Well-Ordering Principle: Every nonempty set $T \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ contains a smallest element. (i.e. $\inf T \in T$)

Proof: Suppose T doesn't have a smallest element ($\inf T \notin T$).

Write $S := \{k \in \mathbb{P} \mid k < t \text{ for every } t \in T\}$, and let $\underline{A(n)}$ mean $\underline{n \in S}$.

Clearly $1 \notin T$ (otherwise it's smallest), so $A(1)$ is true.

Suppose $A(n)$ holds. If $A(n+1)$ fails, then $t \leq n+1$ for some $t \in T$.

Since T has no smallest element, $\exists t' \in T$ with $t' < t$, hence $t' < n+1$. But $n, t' \in \mathbb{P}$, and so $t' \leq n$, contradicting $A(n)$.

So $A(n) \Rightarrow A(n+1)$, making S an inductive set hence $S = \mathbb{P}$, a contradiction since T is nonempty. \square

This allows us, in turn, to prove "improved" forms of induction:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } A(1) \\ \text{(ii) } A(k) \text{ for all } k \leq n \Rightarrow A(n+1) \\ \quad \text{(for every } n \in \mathbb{P} \text{)} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow A(n) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{P}.$$

Proof: Suppose $T := \{n \in \mathbb{P} \mid A(n) \text{ false}\}$ is nonempty. Then by the

WOP, T has a least element t_0 . For any $n < t_0$, $n \notin T$

— that is, $A(n)$ is true. Now consider the following 2 cases:

$t_0 = 1$: impossible, as it contradicts (i)

$t_0 > 1$: by (ii), $A(t_0)$ is then true, contradicting $t_0 \in T$.

Therefore our supposition was absurd, and T is empty. \square

Here's an application:

Ex/ Define the Fibonacci series by $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 2$, &

$a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{n-1}$ (for $n \geq 2$). Let " $A(n)$ " mean $a_n < \varphi^n$,

where $\varphi := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio. Clearly

$A(1)$ holds, since $\varphi > 1$. Suppose that $A(n)$, $A(n-1)$, ...

all hold. Then $a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{n-1} < \varphi^n + \varphi^{n-1} \stackrel{\text{Ⓢ}}{=} \varphi^{n+1}$

$\Rightarrow A(n+1)$ holds. (The equality is tricky: it is because

$$\varphi^2 = \frac{(1+\sqrt{5})^2}{4} = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} = 1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = 1 + \varphi \quad (\text{now multiply by } \varphi^{n-1}). //$$

[Remark: In induction, you can always replace (i) by $A(n_0)$ and (ii) by $A(n) \Rightarrow A(n+1)$ for $n \geq n_0$. The conclusion is then that $A(n)$ holds for all $n \geq n_0$. Here n_0 could be 0 or bigger than 1.]

Another application of the WOP (used in Lecture 3!) is:

Theorem: If $p, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p < r$, then $\exists q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $p < q < r$.

Proof: Since $r-p \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the Archimedean property of \mathbb{R} guarantees an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(r-p) > 1$ ($\Rightarrow nr - np > 1 \Rightarrow np+1 < nr$).

By the WOP, $S := \{k \in \mathbb{P} \mid k > np\}$ has a least element s_0 .

Hence $s_0 > np$, but $s_0 - 1 \leq np$, which gives

$$np < s_0 \leq np + 1 < nr$$

$$\Rightarrow p < \frac{s_0}{n} < r, \quad \text{done.} \quad \square$$

One more (a bit long, you can skip if not interested):

Theorem (Euclid): There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof: Suppose $S = \{n \in \mathbb{P} \mid \overset{(n \geq 2)}{n} \text{ has no prime factorization}\} \neq \emptyset$.

It has a least element N , by WOP. This N can't be

prime, b/c then it is its own prime factorization! So N

has a divisor $M > 1$, i.e. $N = ML$ for $M, L \in \mathbb{P}$.

But then $M, L < N \Rightarrow M, L \notin S \Rightarrow M, L$

have prime factorizations, $M_1 \cdots M_k, L_1, \dots, L_\ell \Rightarrow$

$N = M_1 \cdots M_k L_1 \cdots L_\ell$ is a prime factorization $\Rightarrow N \notin S \quad \#$.

So $S = \emptyset$, i.e. every positive integer has a prime factorization.

Now suppose there are finitely many primes $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$.

Let $Q := 1 + p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m$. It has a prime factorization

$Q = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_m^{a_m}$, with at least one of the $a_i > 0$.

That is, p_i divides Q ; since it also divides $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m$,

it divides $Q - p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Final application of induction: recall $\binom{n}{k} := \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k \cdot (k-1) \cdots 1}$

with conventions $\binom{n}{0} = 1$, $\binom{n}{-1} = 0 = \binom{n}{n+1}$. We'll need the

Pascal's triangle identity: $\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} = \binom{n+1}{k}$.

(This doesn't require induction to check. Just write

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} &= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} + \frac{n!}{(n+1-k)!(k-1)!} = \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{n+1-k} \right) \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{n+1}{k(n+1-k)} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!} = \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+1-k)!k!} = \binom{n+1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

(You'll use this in Problem #12 on p. 45 to show what amounts to the statement that $e < 3$.)

Binomial Theorem: $(a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: Well, "A(1)" is clearly true:

$$(a+b)^1 = a+b = \binom{1}{0} a + \binom{1}{1} b.$$

"A(n) \Rightarrow A(n+1)":

$$(a+b)^{n+1} = (a+b) \cdot (a+b)^n = (a+b) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{k+1} b^{n-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n+1-k}$$

reindex the first sum \rightarrow

$$\stackrel{\text{reindex the first sum}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{k-1} a^k b^{n+1-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n+1-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left[\binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k} \right] a^k b^{n+1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} a^k b^{n+1-k} \quad \square$$

P.S.: Have a look at the triangle of Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities on pp. 42-43. (We won't use them right now, though.)