

Lecture 52: Isomorphisms & Inverses

Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation.

[Note: Most of this will be re-done next semester in terms of matrices.]

Definition: (i) T is onto or surjective if $\text{im}(T) = W$,
in which case we write $T: V \twoheadrightarrow W$

(ii) T is 1-to-1 or injective if $\vec{v} \neq \vec{v}' \Rightarrow T\vec{v} \neq T\vec{v}'$.
(i.e. $T\vec{v} = T\vec{v}' \Rightarrow \vec{v} = \vec{v}'$)

In this case we write $T: V \hookrightarrow W$.

(iii) If T is 1-to-1 & onto, it is an isomorphism,
which is written $T: V \xrightarrow{\cong} W$. Two vector
spaces are called isomorphic ($V \cong W$) if there
exists an isomorphism between them, in either
direction (though we'll soon see the two are equivalent).

*idea: they are essentially
the same vector space,
differing only in
presentation.*

Proposition 1: T is 1-to-1 $\iff \ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$.

Proof: (\implies) is clear: only $\vec{0}$ can go to $\vec{0}$ (see prop. 3 below.)

(\impliedby) Suppose $\ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$, and let $T\vec{v} = T\vec{v}'$.

By linearity, $\vec{0} = T\vec{v} - T\vec{v}' = T(\vec{v} - \vec{v}')$, so

$\vec{v} - \vec{v}' \in \ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\} \Rightarrow \vec{v} - \vec{v}' = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{v} = \vec{v}'$. \square

Ex / $W \subseteq V$ subspace of inner-product space \Rightarrow

Inclusion $W \hookrightarrow V$, projection $V \twoheadrightarrow W$. //

Proposition 2: Assume V is finite-dimensional. Then:
 A linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow W$ is determined by where it sends a basis. That is, if $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} \in V$ is a basis, and $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n \in W$ (not necessarily distinct!), then there is exactly one T with $T\vec{v}_k = \vec{w}_k$ for $k=1, \dots, n$.

If $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ are independent, then T is 1-to-1.

If they span W , then T is onto. If they're a basis, T is an isomorphism.

Proof: Any element $v = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \vec{v}_k \in V$ would have to be sent to $(T\vec{v}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k T\vec{v}_k$ by linearity; and since the α_k are unique, this also gives a definition of T .

If $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ is L.I., then $\vec{v} \in \ker(T) \Rightarrow$

$$0 = T\vec{v} = T\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \vec{v}_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k T\vec{v}_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \vec{w}_k \Rightarrow \alpha_k = 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, n)$$

$\Rightarrow \vec{v} = 0$. So T is injective.

If any vector $\vec{w} \in W$ is in the linear span of $\vec{w}_1 = T\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n = T\vec{v}_n$, then $\vec{w} = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k T\vec{v}_k = T\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \vec{v}_k\right) \in \text{im}(T)$. \square

Ex / Let $T: V_3 \rightarrow P_2$ be the L.T. determined by

$$T(\hat{i}) = 1, \quad T(\hat{j}) = t, \quad T(\hat{k}) = t^2. \quad \text{By the Prop.,}$$

this is an isomorphism. \parallel

Proposition 3: Assume V, W are finite-dimensional. Then
 $\dim V = \dim W \iff V \cong W$.

Proof: (\Leftarrow) Suppose $T: V \rightarrow W$ (or vice-versa) is an " \cong ".

$$\text{Then } \ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\} \Rightarrow \text{nullity}(T) = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{R+N} \\ \text{Thm} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \dim(V) = \text{rank}(T) \\ = \dim(\text{im}(T)) \\ \text{im}(T) = W \implies = \dim(W). \end{array}$$

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $\dim V = n = \dim W$. Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ and $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ be bases. The L.T. defined (via Prop 2) to send $\vec{v}_j \mapsto \vec{w}_j$ ($\forall j$) is an isomorphism. \square

We may compose linear transformations (just as we would compose any functions): e.g.,

$$U \xrightarrow{R} V \xrightarrow{T} W$$

is written $T \circ R$ (or TR). This is linear b/c

$$TR(\alpha\vec{x} + \beta\vec{y}) = T(R(\alpha\vec{x} + \beta\vec{y})) = T(\alpha R\vec{x} + \beta R\vec{y}) = \alpha TR\vec{x} + \beta TR\vec{y}.$$

Definition: Given $T: V \rightarrow W$, $S: W \rightarrow V$ is

- (i) a right inverse for T if $T \circ S = I_W$
- (ii) a left inverse for T if $S \circ T = I_V$
- (iii) an inverse of T if it is both: write $S = T^{-1}$.

(In this case, we say T is invertible.)

Theorem: $T: V \rightarrow W$ has ...

- (i) a right inverse $\Leftrightarrow T$ is onto
- (ii) a left inverse $\Leftrightarrow T$ is 1-to-1
- (iii) an inverse $\Leftrightarrow T$ is an isomorphism.

Proof: (i) (\Rightarrow): if $TS = I_W$, then any $\vec{w} = I_W(\vec{w}) = T(S\vec{w}) \in \text{im}(T)$.

(\Leftarrow): I prove this only in the finite dimensional case (otherwise it requires ∞ -dimensional bases & the Axiom of Choice)

Let $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ = basis of W and choose $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ with $T\vec{v}_j = \vec{w}_j$ (since T is onto). Define S to send $\vec{w}_j \mapsto \vec{v}_j$.

(ii) (\Rightarrow): if $ST = I_V$, then $T\vec{v} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{v} = I_V\vec{v} = S(T\vec{v}) = S(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$.
 $\Rightarrow \ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$.

(\Leftarrow): Some comment as above

Let $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ = basis of $\text{Im}(T)$. (So $\vec{w}_j = T\vec{v}_j$ for some $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} \subset V$.) Extend this to a basis $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n; \vec{w}_{n+1}, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ of W . Define S to send $\vec{w}_1 \mapsto \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n \mapsto \vec{v}_n; \vec{w}_{n+1}$ thru $\vec{w}_m \mapsto \vec{0}$.

(iii) (\Leftarrow): Any $\vec{w} \in W$ is T of some $\vec{v}_{\vec{w}} \in V$, since T is onto. Since T is 1-to-1, this $\vec{v}_{\vec{w}}$ is unique. So define $S\vec{w} := \vec{v}_{\vec{w}}$ for each \vec{w} .
 Clearly $T(S\vec{w}) = T(\vec{v}_{\vec{w}}) = \vec{w}$ by definition, and clearly $S(T\vec{v}) = \vec{v}$ as well. So $S = T^{-1}$. \square

works in general

Ex / Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be distinct, and consider the evaluation map $T: P_n \rightarrow V_{n+1}$
 $f(t) \mapsto (f(a_0), \dots, f(a_n))$.

Is this invertible / an isomorphism?

Set $f_i(t) := \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i}}^n \frac{t - a_k}{a_i - a_k}$ (product of these as k runs from 0 to n skipping i)

Then $f_i(a_j) = \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{a_j - a_k}{a_i - a_k} = \begin{cases} 0 & j \neq i \\ 1 & j = i \end{cases}$.

Defining $S(b_0, \dots, b_n) := \sum_{i=0}^n b_i f_i(t)$, we have

$TS(b_0, \dots, b_n) = T\left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i f_i(t)\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i T f_i(t)$
 $= (\sum b_i f_i(a_0), \sum b_i f_i(a_1), \dots, \sum b_i f_i(a_n)) = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

So $T \circ S = \text{Id}_{V_{n+1}} \Rightarrow S$ is a right inverse

$\Rightarrow T$ is onto. By Rank + Nullity,

$$n+1 = \dim V_n = \dim(\text{im}(T)) + \dim(\text{ker}(T))$$

$$= \dim(V_{n+1}) + \dim(\text{ker}(T))$$

$$= n+1 + \dim(\text{ker}(T))$$

$\Rightarrow \text{ker}(T) = \{0\} \Rightarrow T$ is 1-to-1 $\Rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism.

Notice that what we've really done here is shown

that the function $\sum_{i=0}^n b_i f_i(x)$ takes prescribed values b_0, \dots, b_n at a_0, \dots, a_n . This is called Lagrange interpolation. //

We conclude with the following observation, which came up in the example just done:

Proposition 4: If V & W are of the same finite dimension n , then the following are equivalent for $T: V \rightarrow W$:

(a) T is onto

(b) T is 1-to-1

(c) T is an isomorphism

Proof: Use Rank + Nullity:

$$\dim(\text{im}(T)) + \dim(\text{ker}(T)) = n.$$

If (a) holds, $\dim(\text{im}(T)) = \dim(W) = n \Rightarrow \text{ker} = \{0\} \Rightarrow$ (b).

If (b) holds, $\dim(\text{ker}(T)) = 0 \Rightarrow \dim(\text{im}(T)) = n \Rightarrow \text{im}(T) = W$.

Since (a)+(b) is equivalent to (c), done. \square