

Lecture 3 : Matrix Equations

Multiplying a matrix by a (column) vector : 2 approaches

① Row-by-column (more computationally efficient)

The diagram shows the multiplication of a 2x3 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$ by a column vector $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$. The result is a column vector $\begin{pmatrix} a_1x + b_1y + c_1z \\ a_2x + b_2y + c_2z \end{pmatrix}$. A blue bracket labeled "row vector" covers the first row of the matrix. Blue ovals highlight the first row of the matrix and the first column of the vector. Arrows point from the matrix and vector to the resulting vector, with one arrow labeled "definition". Another arrow points to the result with the label "take 'dot products'".

Notice that this

$$\begin{aligned} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1x \\ a_2x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_1y \\ b_2y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_1z \\ c_2z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= x \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} + y \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to ...

② Linear combinations (more conceptual)

Writing $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, $\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$,

↑ ↑ ↑
↓ ↓ ↓
column vectors

(*) $A \vec{x} = x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n$

As an example of the second approach's utility,
we can use it to check the

Linearity property: $A(c\vec{u} + d\vec{w}) = cA\vec{u} + dA\vec{w}$

By (*),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= (cu_1 + dw_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (cu_n + dw_n)\vec{v}_n \\ &= c(u_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + u_n\vec{v}_n) + d(w_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + w_n\vec{v}_n) \\ &= \text{RHS}. \end{aligned}$$

More importantly, we see that

Statement 1: $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution in \vec{x}
(i.e. is consistent)

↑ is equivalent
↓ to

Statement 2: $\vec{b} \in \text{Span}\{\text{columns of } A\}$

The link is (*): $\vec{b} = A\vec{x} = \overset{(*)}{x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n\vec{v}_n}$

says "you can choose x_1, \dots, x_n so that \vec{b} is a linear combination of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ ".

So to check Statement 2, you just row-reduce $[A | \vec{b}]$.

there is another set of equivalent statements:

Assertion (A): $\text{Span}\{\text{columns of } A\} = (\text{all of }) \mathbb{R}^m$

\Updownarrow (clear from above)

Assertion (B): $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is consistent for any \vec{b}

\Updownarrow why?

Assertion (C): $\text{rref}(A)$ has no rows of all zeroes.

First,

- $[A | \vec{b}] \xrightarrow[\text{row-equiv.}]{\sim} [\text{rref}(A) | \vec{c}]$ for some vector \vec{c}

(apply the sequence of row operations that puts A in RREF, to the augmented matrix)

- we can choose \vec{b} so that \vec{c} is any vector
(because row operations are reversible)

$(C) \Rightarrow (B)$: If (C) holds, then $[\text{rref}(A) | \vec{c}]$

has a leading '1' in every row in the "rref(A)" part,
hence is in RREF itself and $= \text{rref}[A | \vec{b}]$. Since the
leading '1's occur to the left of \vec{c} , \vec{c} is not a
pivot column and the system is consistent (regardless of \vec{b}).
So (B) holds.

(B) \Rightarrow (C): If (C) fails, choose \vec{b} so that \vec{c} has a nonzero last entry. Since the last row of $\text{ref}(A)$ is all '0's, \vec{c} is a pivot column (for this choice of \vec{b}), and so (B) fails.

Ex 1 / For which \vec{b} is $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -9 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} = \vec{b}$ solvable?

(Equivalent question: determine $\text{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -9 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$.)

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 3 & -1 & b_1 \\ -9 & 3 & b_2 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & b_1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & b_2 + 3b_1 \end{array} \right]$$

so we must have $b_2 = -3b_1$, $\therefore \vec{b}$ must be a scalar multiple of the vector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$

failure
of (C)

failure
of (A)

Ex 2 / Do the columns of $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$ span \mathbb{R}^3 ?

(Equivalent question: does $\text{ref}(A)$ have no rows of "all 0"?)

Row-reduce:

$$A \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & -8 & -12 \\ 0 & -8 & -16 & -24 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -8 & -16 & -24 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \text{ref}(A)$$

Answer: NO.

Ex 3/ Suppose $A = 4 \times 4$ matrix, and $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ are such that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution. Must columns of A span \mathbb{R}^4 ?

Consider the augmented matrix $[A | \vec{b}]$ and its rref, which must have $\begin{cases} \vec{b} \text{ non-pivot column (for existence of a solution)} \\ \text{no non-pivot columns} \\ \quad = \text{free variables} \end{cases}$ in the "[...]" part of the augmented matrix (for uniqueness)

So all columns of A are pivot } \Rightarrow all rows of rref have a leading '1'
AND $A \underset{4 \times 4}{}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \text{no rows of zeroes} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{columns span } \mathbb{R}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if A was instead 5×4 , this argument breaks down and columns need not span \mathbb{R}^5 (in fact, they can't).



In lecture 2, I claimed the
Theorem: Every matrix A is row-equivalent to a unique RREF matrix.

To show this, we need the following:

(*) If A is row-equivalent to B , then

the rows of A are linear combinations of rows of B
(and vice versa).

This is because

- the row operations — replace, swap, scale — simply replace a given row by a linear combination of rows.
- they are reversible.

To prove the Theorem, proceed in 3 steps:

① It is enough to show that

(**) 2 row-equivalent RREF matrices U and V
must be the same.

Why? By the algorithm, $A \underset{\text{row-eq.}}{\sim} \text{rref}(A) =: U$.

If $A \underset{\text{row-eq.}}{\sim} V$ (also RREF), then $U \underset{\text{row-eq.}}{\sim} V$.

(So if (**), we get $U = V$ as desired.)

For the remaining 2 steps (which prove (**)), let U and V be 2 row-equivalent RREF matrices:

(2) The pivot columns of U & V are the same.

Picture of U

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \cdots 0 & | & * \cdots * & 0 & * \cdots * & 0 \cdots \\ 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots & 0 & | & * \cdots * & 0 \cdots \\ 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots & & & 0 & | \cdots \\ & \vdots & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

column: $i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_3 \dots$

Picture of V

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \cdots 0 & | & * \cdots * & 0 & * \cdots * & 0 \cdots \\ 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots & 0 & | & * \cdots * & 0 \cdots \\ 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots & & & 0 & | \cdots \\ & \vdots & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

column: $j_1 \quad j_2 \quad j_3 \dots$

Write $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots$ for rows of U (viewed as vectors), same for V .

(A) By (x), $\vec{u}_1 \in \text{span}\{\text{rows of } V\}$. So:

$$\vec{u}_1 = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots \Rightarrow i_1 \geq j_1.$$

Reversing U & V gives $j_1 \geq i_1$. So $i_1 = j_1$ (and $a_1 = 1$).

(B) Next, $\vec{u}_2 = b_1 \vec{v}_1 + b_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots \Rightarrow \vec{u}_2$ has b_1 in $(j_1 =)_{i_1}^{\text{st}}$ coord.

$$\Rightarrow b_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{u}_2 = b_2 \vec{v}_2 + b_3 \vec{v}_3 + \dots$$

(and vice versa).

(C) Striking out the first row of U and V , the remaining (still RREF!) matrices are row equivalent. Go back to (A), find $i_2 = j_2$, and repeat until there's nothing left.

(3) The non-pivot columns of U & V are equal.

(D) We have $\vec{u}_1 = \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_m \vec{v}_m$ (recall $a_1 = 1$ above)

$\Rightarrow \vec{u}_1$ has a_2 in the $(j_2 =)_{i_2}^{\text{st}}$ coordinate

a_3 in the $(j_3 =)_{i_3}^{\text{st}}$ coordinate, etc

$$\Rightarrow 0 = a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_m$$

$$\text{So } \vec{u}_1 = \vec{v}_1 .$$

(E) Strike out the first row of $U \& V$, go back to (D),
get $\vec{u}_2 = \vec{v}_2$, and so on. □