Global holomorphic functions in several non-commuting variables II

Jim Agler *
U.C. San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

John E. McCarthy †
Washington University
St. Louis, MO 63130

June 29, 2017

Abstract: We give a new proof that bounded non-commutative functions on polynomial polyhedra can be represented by a realization formula, a generalization of the transfer function realization formula for bounded analytic functions on the unit disk.

Math Subject Classification: 15A54

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{M}_n$ denote the n-by-n matrices with complex entries, and let $\mathbb{M}^d = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n^d$ be the set of all d-tuples of matrices of the same size. An nc-function[1] on a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{M}^d$ is a function $\phi : E \to \mathbb{M}^1$ that satisfies

(i) ϕ is graded, which means that if $x \in E \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$, then $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{M}_n$.

(ii) ϕ is intertwining preserving, which means if $x, y \in E$ and S is a linear operator satisfying $Sx = yS$, then $S\phi(x) = \phi(y)S$.

The points x and y are d-tuples, so we write $x = (x^1, \ldots, x^d)$ and $y = (y^1, \ldots, y^d)$. By $Sx = yS$ we mean that $Sx^r = y^r S$ for each $1 \leq r \leq d$. See [9] for a general reference to nc-functions.

*Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grants DMS 1361720 and DMS 1665260

†Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 1565243

[1]nc is short for non-commutative

The principal result of [2] was a realization formula for nc-functions that are bounded on polynomial polyhedra; the object of this note is to give a simpler proof of this formula, Theorem 1.5 below.

Let δ be an I-by-J matrix whose entries are non-commutative polynomials in d-variables. If $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$, then $\delta(x)$ can be naturally thought of as an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^J \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^I \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the bounded linear operators, and all norms we use are operator norms on the appropriate spaces. We define

$$B_\delta \ := \ \{x \in \mathbb{M}^d : \|\delta(x)\| < 1\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Any set of the form (1.1) is called a *polynomial polyhedron*. Let $H^\infty(B_\delta)$ denote the nc-functions on B_δ that are bounded, and $H_1^\infty(B_\delta)$ denote the closed unit ball, those nc-functions that are bounded by 1 for every $x \in B_\delta$.

Definition 1.2. A free realization for ϕ consists of an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and an isometry

$$\begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{C} & \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}^I \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}^J \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \tag{1.3}$$

such that, for all $x \in B_\delta$, we have

$$\phi(x) \ = \ \begin{smallmatrix} A \\ \otimes \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \ + \ \begin{smallmatrix} B \\ \otimes \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \otimes \\ \delta(x) \end{smallmatrix} \left[1 - \begin{smallmatrix} D \\ \otimes \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \otimes \\ \delta(x) \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{-1} \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ \otimes \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \ . \tag{1.4}$$

The 1's need to be interpreted appropriately. If $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$, then (1.4) means

$$\phi(x) \ = \ \begin{smallmatrix} A \\ \otimes \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{smallmatrix} \ + \ \begin{smallmatrix} B \\ \otimes \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{smallmatrix} \ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \otimes \\ \delta(x) \end{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \otimes \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^I} \\ \otimes \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{smallmatrix} - \begin{smallmatrix} D \\ \otimes \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{smallmatrix} \ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \otimes \\ \delta(x) \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{-1} \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ \otimes \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{smallmatrix} \ .$$

We adopt the convention of [11] and write tensors vertically to enhance legibility. The bottom-most entry corresponds to the space on which x originally acts; the top corresponds to the intrinsic part of the model on $\mathcal{M}$.

The following theorem was proved in [2]; another proof appears in [6].

Theorem 1.5. The function ϕ is in $H_1^\infty(B_\delta)$ if and only if it has a free realization.

It is a straightforward calculation that any function of the form (1.4) is in $H_1^\infty(B_\delta)$. We wish to prove the converse. We shall use two other results, Theorems 1.8 and 1.9 below.

If $E \subset \mathbb{M}^d$, we let E_n denote $E \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$. If $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are Hilbert spaces, a $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$-valued nc function on a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{M}^d$ is a function ϕ such that

(i) ϕ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{L})$ graded, which means if $x \in E_n$, then $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{L}\otimes\mathbb{C}^n)$.

(ii) ϕ is intertwining preserving, which means if $x, y \in E$ and S is a linear operator satisfying $Sx = yS$, then

$$\overset{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}}}{\underset{S}{\otimes}} \phi(x) = \phi(y) \overset{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\underset{S}{\otimes}} .$$

Definition 1.6. An nc-model for $\phi \in H_1^\infty(B_\delta)$ consists of an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and a $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{C}^J)$-valued nc-function u on B_δ such that, for all pairs $x, y \in B_\delta$ that are on the same level (*i.e.* both in $B_\delta \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ for some n)

$$1 - \phi(y)^*\phi(x) \;=\; u(y)^* \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \otimes \\ 1-\delta(y)^*\delta(x) \end{array} \right] u(x). \tag{1.7}$$

Again, the 1's have to be interpreted appropriately. If $x, y \in B_\delta \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$, then (1.7) means

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} - \phi(y)^*\phi(x) \;=\; u(y)^* \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \otimes \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^J\otimes\mathbb{C}^n} - \delta(y)^*\delta(x) \end{array} \right] u(x).$$

Theorem 1.8. A graded function on B_δ has an nc-model if and only if it has a free realization.

Theorem 1.8 was proved in [2], but a simpler proof is given by S. Balasubramanian in [5]. Let us note for future reference that the functions u in (1.7) are locally bounded, and therefore holomorphic [2, Thm. 4.6].

The finite topology on $\mathbb{M}^d$ (also called the disjoint union topology) is the topology in which a set Ω is open if and only if for every n, Ω_n is open in the Euclidean topology on $\mathbb{M}_n^d$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, and Ω is finitely open, we shall let $\mathrm{Hol}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\Omega)$ denote the $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{H})$ graded nc-functions on Ω that are holomorphic on each Ω_n[2] A sequence of functions u^k on Ω is finitely locally uniformly bounded if for each point $\lambda \in \Omega$, there is a finitely open neighborhood of λ inside Ω on which the sequence is uniformly bounded.

The following wandering Montel theorem is proved in [1]. If u is in $\mathrm{Hol}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\Omega)$ and V is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$, define $V * u$ by

$$\forall_n \quad (V * u)|_{\Omega_n} = \overset{V}{\underset{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{\otimes}} u|_{\Omega_n}.$$

[2] A function u is holomorphic in this context if for each n, for each $x \in \Omega_n$, for each $h \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$, the limit $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}(u(x+th) - u(x))$ exists.

Theorem 1.9. Let Ω be finitely open, $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space, and $\{u^k\}$ a finitely locally uniformly bounded sequence in $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{nc}}_{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{U^k\}$ of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\{U^k * u^k\}$ has a subsequence that converges finitely locally uniformly to a function in $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{nc}}_{\mathcal{H}}(B_\delta)$.

Let $\phi \in H^\infty_1(B_\delta)$. We shall prove Theorem 1.5 in the following steps.

I For every $z \in B_\delta$, show that $\phi(z)$ is in $\mathrm{Alg}(z)$, the unital algebra generated by the elements of z.

II Prove that for every finite set $F \subseteq B_\delta$, there is an nc-model for a function ψ that agrees with ϕ on F.

III Show that these nc-models have a cluster point that gives an nc-model for ϕ.

IV Use Theorem 1.8 to get a free realization for ϕ.

Remarks:

1. Step I is noted in [2] as a corollary of Theorem 1.5; proving it independently allows us to streamline the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2. To prove Step II, we use one direction of [3, Thm 1.3] that gives necessary and sufficient conditions to solve a finite interpolation problem on B_δ. The proof of necessity of this theorem used Theorem 1.5 above, but for Step II we only need the sufficiency of the condition, and the proof of this in [3] did not use Theorem 1.5.

3. All three known proofs of Theorem 1.5 start by proving a realization on finite sets, and then somehow taking a limit. In [2], this is done by considering partial nc-functions; in [6], it is done by using non-commutative kernels to get a compact set in which limit points must exist; in the current paper, we use the wandering Montel theorem.

2 Step I

Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^n$. For x in $\mathbb{M}_n$ or $\mathbb{M}_n^d$, let $x^{(k)}$ denote the direct sum of k copies of x. If $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$ and s is invertible in $\mathbb{M}_n$, then $s^{-1}xs$ denotes the d-tuple $(s^{-1}x^1s, \ldots, s^{-1}x^ds)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $z \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$, with $\|z\| < 1$. Assume $w \notin \mathrm{Alg}(z)$. Then there is an invertible $s \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$ such that $\|s^{-1}z^{(n)}s\| < 1$ and $\|s^{-1}w^{(n)}s\| > 1$.

PROOF: Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(z)$. Since $w \notin \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is finite dimensional and therefore closed, the Hahn-Banach theorem says that there is a matrix $K \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(aK) = 0 \ \forall\ a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{tr}(wK) \neq 0$. Let $u \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ be the direct sum of the columns of K, and $v = e_1 \oplus e_2 \oplus \ldots e_n$. Then for any $b \in \mathbb{M}_n$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(bK) \ = \ \langle b^{(n)}u, v\rangle.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ denote $\{a^{(n)} : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We have $\langle a^{(n)}u, v\rangle = 0 \ \forall\ a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle w^{(n)}u, v\rangle \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathrm{id})u$. This is an $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathrm{id}$-invariant subspace, but it is not $w^{(n)}$ invariant (since $v \perp \mathcal{N}$, but v is not perpendicular to $w^{(n)}u$). So decomposing $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ as $\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^\perp$, every matrix in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ has 0 in the $(2,1)$ entry, and $w^{(n)}$ does not.

Let $s = \alpha I_{\mathcal{N}} + \beta I_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}$, with $\alpha >> \beta > 0$. Then

$$s^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} s \ = \ \begin{bmatrix} A & \frac{\beta}{\alpha}B \\ \frac{\alpha}{\beta}C & D \end{bmatrix}.$$

If the ratio α/β is large enough, then for each of the d matrices z^r, the corresponding $s^{-1}(z^r\otimes\mathrm{id})s$ will have strict contractions in the (1,1) and (2,2) slots, and each $(1,2)$ entry will be small enough so that the whole thing is a contraction.

For w, however, as the $(2,1)$ entry is non-zero, the norm of $s^{-1}w^{(n)}s$ can be made arbitrarily large. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. Let $z \in B_\delta \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$, and $w \in \mathbb{M}_n$ not be in $\mathcal{A} := \mathrm{Alg}(z)$. Then there is an invertible $s \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$ such that $s^{-1}z^{(n)}s \in B_\delta$ and $\|s^{-1}w^{(n)}s\| > 1$.

PROOF: As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can find an invariant subspace $\mathcal{N}$ for $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ that is not w-invariant. Decompose $\delta(z^{(n)})$ as a map from $(\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathbb{C}^J) \oplus (\mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}^J)$ into $(\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathbb{C}^I) \oplus (\mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}^I)$. With s as in Lemma 2.1, and $\alpha >> \beta > 0$, and P the projection from $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ onto $\mathcal{N}$, we get

$$\delta(s^{-1}z^{(n)}s) \ = \ \begin{bmatrix} \overset{P}{\underset{\mathrm{id}}{\otimes}}\ \delta(z^{(n)})\ \overset{P}{\underset{\mathrm{id}}{\otimes}} & \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\ \overset{P}{\underset{\mathrm{id}}{\otimes}}\ \delta(z^{(n)})\ \overset{P^\perp}{\underset{\mathrm{id}}{\otimes}} \\ 0 & \overset{P^\perp}{\underset{\mathrm{id}}{\otimes}}\ \delta(z^{(n)})\ \overset{P^\perp}{\underset{\mathrm{id}}{\otimes}} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

The matrix is upper triangular because every entry of δ is a polynomial, and $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-invariant. For α/β large enough, every matrix of the form (2.3) with $z \in B_\delta$ is a contraction, so $s^{-1}z^{(n)}s \in B_\delta$. But $s^{-1}w^{(n)}s$ will contain a non-zero entry multiplied by $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, so we achieve the claim. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. If ϕ is in $H^\infty(B_\delta)$, then $\forall z \in B_\delta$, we have $\phi(z) \in \mathrm{Alg}(z)$.

Proof: We can assume that $z \in B_\delta$ and that $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ on B_δ. Let $w = \phi(z)$. If $w \notin \mathrm{Alg}(z)$, then by Lemma 2.2, there is an s such that $s^{-1}z^{(n)}s \in B_\delta$ and $\|\phi(s^{-1}z^{(n)}s)\| = \|s^{-1}w^{(n)}s\| > 1$, a contradiction. $\square$

Note that Theorem 2.4 does not hold for all nc-functions. In [4] it is shown that there is a class of nc-functions, called fat functions, for which the implicit function theorem holds, but Theorem 2.4 fails.

3 Step II

Let $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$. Define $\lambda = x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_N$, and define $w = \phi(x_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi(x_N)$. As nc functions preserve direct sums (a consequence of being intertwining preserving) we need to find a function ψ in $H_1^\infty(B_\delta)$ that has an nc-model, and satisfies $\psi(\lambda) = w$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_d$ denote the nc polynomials in d variables, and define

$$I_\lambda \;=\; \{q \in \mathcal{P}_d \,:\, q(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

Let

$$V_\lambda \;=\; \{x \in \mathbb{M}^d \,:\, q(x) = 0 \text{ whenever } q \in I_\lambda\}.$$

We need the following theorem from [3]:

Theorem 3.1. Let $\lambda \in B_\delta \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ and $w \in \mathbb{M}_n$. There exists a function ψ in the closed unit ball of $H^\infty(B_\delta)$ such that $\psi(\lambda) = w$ if (i) $w \in \mathrm{Alg}(\lambda)$, so there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}_d$ such that $p(\lambda) = w$.

(ii) $\sup\{\|p(x)\| : x \in V_\lambda \cap B_\delta\} \;\leq\; 1$.

Moreover, if the conditions are satisfied, ψ can be chosen to have a free realization.

Since $\phi(\lambda) = w$, by Theorem 2.4, there is a free polynomial p such that $p(\lambda) = w$, so condition (i) is satisfied. To see condition *(ii)*, note that for all $x \in V_\lambda \cap B_\delta$. we have $p(x) = \phi(x)$. Indeed, by Theorem 2.4, there is a

polynomial q so that $q(\lambda \oplus x) = \phi(\lambda \oplus x)$. Therefore $q(\lambda) = p(\lambda)$, so, since $x \in V_\lambda$, we also have $q(x) = p(x)$, and hence $p(x) = \phi(x)$. But ϕ is in the unit ball of $H_1^\infty(B_\delta)$, so $\|\phi(x)\| \leq 1$ for every x in B_δ.

So we can apply Theorem 3.1 to conclude that there is a function ψ in $H^\infty(B_\delta)$ that has a free realization, and that agrees with ϕ on the finite set F.

Remark: The converse of Theorem 3.1 is also true. Given Theorem 2.4, the converse is almost immediate.

4 Steps III and IV

Let $\Lambda = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a countable dense set in B_δ. For each k, let $F_k = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$. By Step II, there is a function $\psi^k \in H_1^\infty(B_\delta)$ that has a free realization and agrees with ϕ on F_k. By Theorem 1.8, there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}^k$ and a $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{M}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^J)$ valued nc-function u^k on B_δ so that, for all n, for all $x, y \in B_\delta \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$, we have

$$1 - \psi^k(y)^* \psi^k(x) \;=\; u^k(y)^* \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \otimes \\ 1-\delta(y)^*\delta(x) \end{bmatrix} u^k(x). \tag{4.1}$$

Embed each $\mathcal{M}^k$ in a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Since the left-hand side of (4.1) is bounded, it follows that u^k are locally bounded, so we can apply Theorem 1.9 to find a sequence of unitaries U^k so that, after passing to a subsequence, $U^k * u^k$ converges to a function v in $\mathrm{Hol}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\Omega)$. We have therefore that

$$1 - \phi(y)^* \phi(x) \;=\; v(y)^* \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \otimes \\ 1-\delta(y)^*\delta(x) \end{bmatrix} v(x) \tag{4.2}$$

holds for all pairs (x, y) that are both in $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ for any n, so by continuity, we get that (4.2) is an nc-model for ϕ on all B_δ, completing Step III.

Finally, Step IV follows by applying Theorem 1.8.

5 Closing remarks

One can modify the argument to get a realization formula for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$-valued bounded nc-functions on B_δ, or to prove Leech theorems (also called Toeplitz-corona theorems—see [10] and [8]). For finite-dimensional $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$, this was done in [2]; for infinite-dimensional $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ the formula was proved in [6], using results from [7].

References

[1] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy. Wandering Montel theorems for Hilbert space valued holomorphic functions. To appear. arXiv:1706:05376.

[2] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy. Global holomorphic functions in several non-commuting variables. *Canad. J. Math.*, 67(2):241–285, 2015.

[3] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy. Pick interpolation for free holomorphic functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 137(6):1685–1701, 2015.

[4] Jim Agler and John E. McCarthy. The implicit function theorem and free algebraic sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(5):3157–3175, 2016.

[5] Sriram Balasubramanian. Toeplitz corona and the Douglas property for free functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 428(1):1–11, 2015.

[6] J.A. Ball, G. Marx, and V. Vinnikov. Interpolation and transfer function realization for the non-commutative Schur-Agler class. To appear. arXiv:1602.00762.

[7] Joseph A. Ball, Gregory Marx, and Victor Vinnikov. Noncommutative reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 271(7):1844–1920, 2016.

[8] M. A. Kaashoek and J. Rovnyak. On the preceding paper by R. B. Leech. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 78(1):75–77, 2014.

[9] Dmitry S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and Victor Vinnikov. *Foundations of free non-commutative function theory.* AMS, Providence, 2014.

[10] Robert B. Leech. Factorization of analytic functions and operator inequalities. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 78(1):71–73, 2014.

[11] J.E. Pascoe and R. Tully-Doyle. Free Pick functions: representations, asymptotic behavior and matrix monotonicity in several noncommuting variables. arXiv:1309.1791.