## Ma 5051 — Real Variables and Functional Analysis

## Problem Set #3 — Due September 24, 2009

Prof. Sawyer — Washington University

**1.** (Problem 30, page 40) If  $E \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $0 < m(E) < \infty$ , then for any  $\alpha < 1$  there exists a nonempty open interval I = (a, b) such that  $m(E \cap I) > \alpha m(I)$ .

(*Note*:  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}(\lambda^*)$  is the Lebesgue-measurable subsets of  $R^1$  and  $m = \lambda^*$ ; see page 37.)

**2.** (Like problem 26, page 40) Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  for a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^1$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$  (writing  $\mu = \mu^*$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ ). Prove that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite union of cells  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i]$  such that  $\mu(E \triangle A) < \epsilon$ .

**3.** Let  $a_i, b_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  be real numbers with  $a_i \le b_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . Assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i$ . Prove that  $a_i = b_i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

4. Let F(x) = [x] be the greatest-integer function on R. Note that F(x) is increasing and right continuous.

(i) Are there any points  $a \in R$  for which  $\mu_F(\{a\}) > 0$ ? If so, which points a? What are the corresponding values of  $\mu_F(\{a\})$ ?

(ii) Let  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} (\frac{i}{2} - \frac{1}{5}, \frac{i}{2} + \frac{1}{10})$ . Find  $\mu_F(A)$  and justify your answer. (*Hint*: Be careful!)

**5.** Let Q be the rationals. Set  $(a,b]_Q = \{q \in Q : a < q \le b\}$  and let  $\Gamma_Q = \{(a,b]_Q : a, b \in Q\}$ . Define  $\nu(A)$  on  $\Gamma_Q$  by  $\nu((a,b]_Q) = b - a$ .

(i) Show that  $\Gamma_Q$  is a semi-ring of subsets of Q and that  $\nu$  is finitely additive on  $\Gamma_Q$ .

Use  $\nu$  on  $\Gamma_Q$  to define the outer measure  $\nu^*(E)$  for  $E \subseteq Q$ .

(ii) Show that  $\nu^*(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in Q$ 

(iii) Show that  $\nu^*(E) = 0$  for all subsets  $E \subseteq Q$ .

In particular, it is not true that  $\nu^*(A) = \nu(A)$  for all  $A \in \Gamma_Q$ . While it is true that  $\nu^*(A)$  is a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of Q, this is not a particularly interesting statement since  $\nu^*(A)$  is identically zero.

(iv) At what step or steps do the proofs of Propositions 1.15 or 1.13 break down?