

The Gaussian Correlation Inequality

Luis Garcia German

Washington University in St. Louis

April 13, 2017

The Problem

A Gaussian measure μ on \mathbb{R}^d with mean u and covariance matrix Σ is defined by

$$\mu(A) = (2\pi)^{n/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \int_A \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} (x - u)^T \Sigma (x - u) \right\} dx.$$

The Problem

A Gaussian measure μ on \mathbb{R}^d with mean u and covariance matrix Σ is defined by

$$\mu(A) = (2\pi)^{n/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \int_A \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} (x - u)^T \Sigma (x - u) \right\} dx.$$

Theorem (Gaussian Correlation Inequality)

For a centered Gaussian measure μ ,

$$\mu(K \cap L) \geq \mu(K)\mu(L),$$

for any symmetric convex sets K and L in \mathbb{R}^d .

- ① First appears in 1959 in a paper by Olive Dunn.

- ① First appears in 1959 in a paper by Olive Dunn.
- ② 1967: Khatri and Šidák (independently) prove the GCI when K is a symmetric strip.

- 1 First appears in 1959 in a paper by Olive Dunn.
- 2 1967: Khatri and Šidák (independently) prove the GCI when K is a symmetric strip.
- 3 1977: L. D. Pitt provides proof for sets in \mathbb{R}^2 .

- 1 First appears in 1959 in a paper by Olive Dunn.
- 2 1967: Khatri and Šidák (independently) prove the GCI when K is a symmetric strip.
- 3 1977: L. D. Pitt provides proof for sets in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- 4 1999: Gilles Hagré proves the GCI when K is a symmetric ellipsoid.

- 1 First appears in 1959 in a paper by Olive Dunn.
- 2 1967: Khatri and Šidák (independently) prove the GCI when K is a symmetric strip.
- 3 1977: L. D. Pitt provides proof for sets in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- 4 1999: Gilles Hagré proves the GCI when K is a symmetric ellipsoid.
- 5 2014: Royen provides a proof of the GCI by extending it to multivariate gamma distributions.

Theorem ([Wen99])

Let μ be a centered Gaussian measure on a separable Banach space E , then for any $0 < \lambda < 1$ and convex, symmetric sets K and L in E ,

$$\mu(K \cap L)\mu(\lambda^2 K + (1 - \lambda^2)L) \geq \mu(\lambda K)\mu((1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}L).$$

Theorem ([Wen99])

Let μ be a centered Gaussian measure on a separable Banach space E , then for any $0 < \lambda < 1$ and convex, symmetric sets K and L in E ,

$$\mu(K \cap L)\mu(\lambda^2 K + (1 - \lambda^2)L) \geq \mu(\lambda K)\mu((1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}L).$$

In particular,

$$\mu(K \cap L) \geq \mu(\lambda K)\mu((1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}L).$$

Small Ball Probabilities

Small Ball Probabilities

The small ball probability (or small deviation) for the Gaussian measure studies the behavior of

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \log \mu(x : \|x\| \leq \epsilon).$$

Small Ball Probabilities

The small ball probability (or small deviation) for the Gaussian measure studies the behavior of

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \log \mu(\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \epsilon).$$

Equivalently, this is

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \log \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \epsilon),$$

for a Gaussian vector X .

Theorem ([KL93])

If X and Y are independent and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_X, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_Y,$$

for some $0 < \gamma < \infty$ and $0 \leq C_X, C_Y \leq \infty$,

Theorem ([KL93])

If X and Y are independent and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_X, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_Y,$$

for some $0 < \gamma < \infty$ and $0 \leq C_X, C_Y \leq \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) \leq -\max\{C_X, C_Y\}$$

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) \geq -\left(C_X^{1/1+\gamma} + C_Y^{1/1+\gamma}\right)^{1+\gamma}$$

Theorem ([Wen99])

For any joint Gaussian random vectors X and Y such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_X, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq \epsilon) = 0,$$

for some $0 < \gamma < \infty$ and $0 < C_X < \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_X.$$

Theorem ([Wen99])

For any joint Gaussian random vectors X and Y such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_X, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq \epsilon) = 0,$$

for some $0 < \gamma < \infty$ and $0 < C_X < \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) = -C_X.$$

Proof.

For a lower bound, choose any $0 < \delta, \lambda < 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq (1 - \delta)\epsilon, \|Y\| \leq \delta\epsilon) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \lambda(1 - \delta)\epsilon)\mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq (1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}\delta\epsilon)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Proof.

For a lower bound, choose any $0 < \delta, \lambda < 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq (1 - \delta)\epsilon, \|Y\| \leq \delta\epsilon) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \lambda(1 - \delta)\epsilon)\mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq (1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}\delta\epsilon)\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \epsilon/(1 - \delta)\lambda) &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon/\lambda, \|Y\| \leq \delta\epsilon/(1 - \delta)\lambda) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon)\mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq \frac{\epsilon\delta(1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}}{(1 - \delta)\lambda})\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Proof.

For a lower bound, choose any $0 < \delta, \lambda < 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq (1 - \delta)\epsilon, \|Y\| \leq \delta\epsilon) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \lambda(1 - \delta)\epsilon)\mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq (1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}\delta\epsilon)\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq \epsilon/(1 - \delta)\lambda) &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon/\lambda, \|Y\| \leq \delta\epsilon/(1 - \delta)\lambda) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon)\mathbb{P}(\|Y\| \leq \frac{\epsilon\delta(1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}}{(1 - \delta)\lambda})\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) then (resp.) give us the bounds

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) \geq -(\lambda(1 - \delta))^{-\gamma} C_X.$$

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^\gamma \log \mathbb{P}(\|X + Y\| \leq \epsilon) \leq -(\lambda(1 - \delta))^{-\gamma} C_X.$$

The result follows by letting $\delta \rightarrow 0, \lambda \rightarrow 1$. □

Theorem ([LM15])

For a centered Gaussian measure μ and any symmetric convex sets K and L in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\mu(K \cap L) \geq \mu(K)\mu(L).$$

Theorem ([LM15])

For a centered Gaussian measure μ and any symmetric convex sets K and L in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\mu(K \cap L) \geq \mu(K)\mu(L).$$

As any symmetric closed set is a countable intersection of symmetric strips, it is enough to show the GCI in the case when K and L are symmetric strips,

Theorem ([LM15])

For a centered Gaussian measure μ and any symmetric convex sets K and L in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\mu(K \cap L) \geq \mu(K)\mu(L).$$

As any symmetric closed set is a countable intersection of symmetric strips, it is enough to show the GCI in the case when K and L are symmetric strips, i.e. when for some vectors v_i and $t_i \geq 0$,

$$K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\langle x, v_i \rangle| \leq t_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n_1\}$$

$$L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\langle x, v_i \rangle| \leq t_i, \forall n_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n_1 + n_2\}$$

Theorem ([LM15])

For a centered Gaussian measure μ and any symmetric convex sets K and L in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\mu(K \cap L) \geq \mu(K)\mu(L).$$

As any symmetric closed set is a countable intersection of symmetric strips, it is enough to show the GCI in the case when K and L are symmetric strips, i.e. when for some vectors v_i and $t_i \geq 0$,

$$K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\langle x, v_i \rangle| \leq t_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n_1\}$$

$$L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\langle x, v_i \rangle| \leq t_i, \forall n_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n_1 + n_2\}$$

Set $n := n_1 + n_2$ and $X_i := \langle G, v_i \rangle$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where G is the Gaussian r.v. distributed according to μ .

Theorem ([LM15])

For a centered Gaussian measure μ and any symmetric convex sets K and L in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\mu(K \cap L) \geq \mu(K)\mu(L).$$

As any symmetric closed set is a countable intersection of symmetric strips, it is enough to show the GCI in the case when K and L are symmetric strips, i.e. when for some vectors v_i and $t_i \geq 0$,

$$K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\langle x, v_i \rangle| \leq t_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n_1\}$$

$$L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\langle x, v_i \rangle| \leq t_i, \forall n_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n_1 + n_2\}$$

Set $n := n_1 + n_2$ and $X_i := \langle G, v_i \rangle$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where G is the Gaussian r.v. distributed according to μ . Note that each X_i is Gaussian.

Theorem ([Roy14])

Let $n = n_1 + n_2$ and X be an n -dimensional centered Gaussian vector. Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \\ \geq \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_{n_1}| \leq t_{n_1}) \mathbb{P}(|X_{n_1+1}| \leq t_{n_1+1}, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem ([Roy14])

Let $n = n_1 + n_2$ and X be an n -dimensional centered Gaussian vector. Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \\ \geq \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_{n_1}| \leq t_{n_1}) \mathbb{P}(|X_{n_1+1}| \leq t_{n_1+1}, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let Σ denote the covariance matrix of X , and define $\Sigma(\tau)$ as

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

Theorem ([Roy14])

Let $n = n_1 + n_2$ and X be an n -dimensional centered Gaussian vector. Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \\ \geq \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_{n_1}| \leq t_{n_1}) \mathbb{P}(|X_{n_1+1}| \leq t_{n_1+1}, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let Σ denote the covariance matrix of X , and define $\Sigma(\tau)$ as

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \tau \Sigma_{12} \\ \tau \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall 0 \leq \tau \leq 1$$

where Σ_{ij} is the smaller $n_i \times n_j$ covariate matrix.

Theorem ([Roy14])

Let $n = n_1 + n_2$ and X be an n -dimensional centered Gaussian vector. Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \\ \geq \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_{n_1}| \leq t_{n_1}) \mathbb{P}(|X_{n_1+1}| \leq t_{n_1+1}, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let Σ denote the covariance matrix of X , and define $\Sigma(\tau)$ as

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \tau \Sigma_{12} \\ \tau \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall 0 \leq \tau \leq 1$$

where Σ_{ij} is the smaller $n_i \times n_j$ covariate matrix. Set $Z_i(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} X_i(\tau)^2$, where $X(\tau) \sim N(0, \Sigma(\tau))$.

Theorem ([Roy14])

Let $n = n_1 + n_2$ and X be an n -dimensional centered Gaussian vector. Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \\ \geq \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_{n_1}| \leq t_{n_1}) \mathbb{P}(|X_{n_1+1}| \leq t_{n_1+1}, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let Σ denote the covariance matrix of X , and define $\Sigma(\tau)$ as

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \tau \Sigma_{12} \\ \tau \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall 0 \leq \tau \leq 1$$

where Σ_{ij} is the smaller $n_i \times n_j$ covariate matrix. Set $Z_i(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} X_i(\tau)^2$, where $X(\tau) \sim N(0, \Sigma(\tau))$. Setting $s_i := t_i^2/2$, the Theorem above is then equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_1(1) \leq s_1, \dots, Z_n(1) \leq s_n) \geq \mathbb{P}(Z_1(0) \leq s_1, \dots, Z_n(0) \leq s_n).$$

Theorem ([Roy14])

Let $n = n_1 + n_2$ and X be an n -dimensional centered Gaussian vector. Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \\ \geq \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq t_1, \dots, |X_{n_1}| \leq t_{n_1}) \mathbb{P}(|X_{n_1+1}| \leq t_{n_1+1}, \dots, |X_n| \leq t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let Σ denote the covariance matrix of X , and define $\Sigma(\tau)$ as





$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \tau \Sigma_{12} \\ \tau \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall 0 \leq \tau \leq 1$$

where Σ_{ij} is the smaller $n_i \times n_j$ covariate matrix. Set $Z_i(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} X_i(\tau)^2$, where $X(\tau) \sim N(0, \Sigma(\tau))$. Setting $s_i := t_i^2/2$, the Theorem above is then equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_1(1) \leq s_1, \dots, Z_n(1) \leq s_n) \geq \mathbb{P}(Z_1(0) \leq s_1, \dots, Z_n(0) \leq s_n).$$

For this, it is enough to show that the function

$\tau \mapsto \mathbb{P}(Z_1(\tau) \leq s_1, \dots, Z_n(\tau) \leq s_n)$ is nondecreasing on $[0, 1]$.

-  James Kuelbs and Wenbo V Li, *Metric entropy and the small ball problem for gaussian measures*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **116** (1993), no. 1, 133–157.
-  Rafał Łatała and Dariusz Matlak, *Royen's proof of the gaussian correlation inequality*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.08776 (2015).
-  Thomas Royen, *A simple proof of the gaussian correlation conjecture extended to multivariate gamma distributions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.1028 (2014).
-  V Wenbo, *A gaussian correlation inequality and its applications to small ball probabilities*.