

Lecture 28: Fibonacci's rabbits and the Golden Ratio

Recall: a sequence is an infinite set of real numbers listed in order as a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots (or $\{a_n\}$ for short).

You can give a sequence by a straight-up formula $a_n = f(n)$, or by a recurrence relation expressing a_n in terms of preceding terms (a_{n-1}, a_{n-2} , etc.), like $a_n = F(a_{n-1})$ or as in this next

Ex 1 / A particular breed of rabbit is immortal, and every month each pair produces a new pair which becomes productive at 2 months. Fibonacci just picked up a newborn pair at the pet store. After quite a few months, at what rate will they be multiplying?

Let $f_n = \#$ of pairs at beginning of month n .

Then the $\#$ of new rabbit pairs one month later is the number of rabbit pairs not newborn now: f_{n-1} . So

$$(*) \quad f_{n+1} = f_n + f_{n-1}$$

yields the Fibonacci sequence: $f_1 = 1, f_2 = 1, f_3 = 2, f_4 = 3, f_5 = 5, f_6 = 8, f_7 = 13$, etc. The growth rate is f_{n+1}/f_n . Let's suppose it converges to some limit L . Then dividing $(*)$ by f_n ,

$$\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} = 1 + \frac{f_{n-1}}{f_n} = 1 + \left(\frac{f_n}{f_{n-1}}\right)^{-1}$$

and taking limits gives

$$L = 1 + L^{-1} \Rightarrow L^2 - L - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow L = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \text{ the golden ratio!} //$$

I'll come back to recursion problems later.

Limits: The precise definitions are

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \iff \begin{cases} \text{for any } \epsilon > 0, \text{ there exists an integer } N \\ \text{such that} \\ n \geq N \implies |a_n - L| < \epsilon. \end{cases}$
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty \iff \begin{cases} \text{for any } M > 0, \text{ there exists an integer } N \\ \text{such that} \\ n \geq N \implies a_n > M. \end{cases}$

Ex 2 / Here's how you show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n = 0$ if $|r| < 1$. For small ϵ , we must produce N large enough that for $n \geq N$, $|r^n| < \epsilon$. But taking \ln of both sides gives $n \ln|r| < \ln \epsilon$. Since $\ln|r|$ and $\ln \epsilon$ are both negative, this is the same as $n > \frac{\ln \epsilon}{\ln|r|}$ (> 0). So if we take N to be any integer larger than $\frac{\ln \epsilon}{\ln|r|}$, then $n \geq N \implies n > \frac{\ln \epsilon}{\ln|r|} \implies n \ln|r| < \ln \epsilon$
 $\implies |r|^n < \epsilon$ as desired.
exp

TRY: Which of the following converge? $a_n =$
 $(-1)^n, (-\frac{1}{2})^n, 2^n, \frac{n}{\ln n}, \frac{n!}{n^n}, ?$

Ans: $(-\frac{1}{2})^n$ and $\frac{n!}{n^n}$.

recall that $n! = n(n-1)(n-2)\dots 2 \cdot 1$.

The first three were all r^n 's, $\frac{n}{\ln n}$ you show diverges by L'Hôpital, but what about $\frac{n!}{n^n}$? This motivates the introduction of one more tool.

Definition:

- $\{a_n\}$ is increasing if $a_{n+1} > a_n$, decreasing if $a_{n+1} < a_n$, and monotonic if one of those is true.
- $\{a_n\}$ is bounded below if $a_n \geq B$, bounded above if $a_n \leq C$, and bounded if both are true.

THEOREM: A bounded monotonic sequence is convergent.

(More precisely: bounded above + increasing, or bounded below + decreasing, will do.)

(Why is it true? Say $\{a_n\}$ is bounded below + decreasing.

Let B be the greatest lower bound, so that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is some a_N with $a_N < B + \epsilon$. Since a_n is decreasing,
 $n \geq N \Rightarrow a_n < a_N < B + \epsilon \Rightarrow 0 \leq a_n - B < \epsilon \Rightarrow |a_n - B| < \epsilon$.

So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = B$. (NOTE: That greatest lower bounds exist is a deep property of the real numbers, called completeness.)]

TESTS FOR MONOTONICITY:

- DIFFERENCE TEST: $a_{n+1} - a_n > 0$ or < 0 for all n
↑ increasing ↑ decreasing
- RATIO TEST: $a_n > 0$ and $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} > 1$ or < 1 for all n
↓ ↓

Ex 3 / Coming back to $a_n = \frac{n!}{n^n}$, we consider ratios

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{(n+1)! / (n+1)^{n+1}}{n! / n^n} = \frac{\cancel{(n+1)} \cdot n \cdot \cancel{(n-1)} \cdot \dots \cdot 1}{n \cdot \cancel{(n-1)} \cdot \dots \cdot 1} \cdot \frac{n^n}{(n+1)^{n+1}} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^n < 1.$$

So a_n is decreasing, and also bounded below (by 0), hence converges (by the Theorem).

But we're overcomplicating this: notice that

$$0 \leq a_n = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{n \cdot n \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot n} < \frac{1}{n}$$

Recurrence relations: $a_{n+1} = f(a_n)$

• Simplest type: $a_{n+1} = r \cdot a_n$. Immediately yields formula $a_n = a_0 \cdot r^n$.

• Small populations: $a_{n+1} = f(a_n)$ where $f(x) = b \cdot x \left(1 - \frac{x}{C}\right)$

• Equilibrium is when $a_{n+1} = f(a_n)$.

Find equilibria by solving $x^* = f(x^*)$. If a problem asks you to "find the limit assuming one exists", they are asking for this solution x^* .

• An equilibrium x^* is stable if starting close to x^* makes $a_n \rightarrow x^*$. It turns out that x^* is stable if $|f'(x^*)| < 1$, though I won't go into this.

Ex 4 / Define a sequence recursively by $a_1 = 2$,
 $a_{n+1} = 1 / (3 - a_n)$.

We have $a_2 = \frac{1}{3-2} = 1$, $a_3 = \frac{1}{3-1} = \frac{1}{2}$, $a_4 = \frac{1}{3-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{5}$, ...
 So it appears to be decreasing. To show this inductively, assume $a_n < a_{n-1}$; then $3 - a_n > 3 - a_{n-1}$, hence $a_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3-a_n} < \frac{1}{3-a_{n-1}} = a_n$. (Done.) Next, since it's decreasing, the sequence remains less than 3; so $a_{n-1} < 3 \Rightarrow 3 - a_{n-1} > 0 \Rightarrow a_n = \frac{1}{3-a_{n-1}} > 0$. By the Theorem, $\{a_n\}$ converges.

TRY: TO what??

Ans: Since $a_{n+1} = f(a_n)$ with $f(x) = \frac{1}{3-x}$, write
 $L = \frac{1}{3-L} \Rightarrow 3L - L^2 = 1 \Rightarrow L^2 - 3L + 1 = 0$
 $\Rightarrow L = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{9-4}}{2} = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} \Rightarrow L = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$.
 $0 < a_n < 3$

Let's finish off with one more example, which arises when you continuously compound interest (like banks do):

Ex 5/ $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^n$

$$\ln L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \ln\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{x}\right)}{1/x}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{L'H}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\alpha}{x}} \cdot \frac{-\frac{\alpha}{x^2}}{-1/x^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha}{1 + \frac{\alpha}{x}} = \alpha$$

$$L = e^{\ln L} = e^{\alpha}. \quad (\text{If } \alpha \text{ is very small, this is } \approx 1 + \alpha.)$$