

Lecture 18: Inhomogeneous linear DEs

Let $L = D^n + P_1(x)D^{n-1} + P_2(x)D^{n-2} + \dots + P_n(x)$ ($P_j \in C^0(I)$) be a linear differential operator of order n . Recall that, viewing L as a linear transformation from $C^n(I) \rightarrow C^0(I)$ ($I = (a, b)$ an open interval), the solution space

$$\ker(L) = \{ f \in C^n(I) \mid Lf = 0 \}$$

← i.e. f satisfying $f^{(n)} + P_1 f^{(n-1)} + \dots + P_n f = 0$

has dimension n , with basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$.

Given $R(x) \in C^0(I)$, we are interested in the set \mathcal{S} of solutions to the inhomogeneous equation

(*)

$$LF = R.$$

Since any two solutions to this differ by a solution of the homogeneous equation, if F is one solution then

$$\mathcal{S} = F + \ker(L) = \left\{ F + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j u_j \mid c_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

(This is a translate of the vector space $\ker(L)$, but is not a vector space itself.)

KEY QUESTION: How do we find an F ?

Apostol calls this " $y_p(x)$ "

I will now suggest three approaches.

Approach #1: ANNIHILATOR METHOD

This works only if L has constant coefficients AND there is an operator M with constant coefficients which annihilates $R(x)$: $MR = 0$.

Applying M to both sides of $(*)$ gives

$$(ML)F = MR = 0$$

$\Rightarrow F \in \ker(ML)$. For simplicity assume the characteristic polynomials P_M & P_R have distinct roots, so that $\ker(ML) = \ker(M) + \ker(L)$. Obviously we can subtract out the $\ker(L)$ part, so that $F \in \ker(M)$.

Will any solution of $MF = 0$ do? No, but some solution must: so if $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m$ is a basis of $\ker(M)$ we write $L(\sum a_j \omega_j) = R$ and solve for \vec{a} .

Ex 1 / Solve $F'' - 5F' + 6F = xe^x$, i.e.

$$LF = R \text{ where } L = D^2 - 5D + 6 = (D-3)(D-2) \text{ \& } R = xe^x.$$

Take $M = (D-1)^2$, $\{\omega_1 = e^x, \omega_2 = xe^x\}$, and solve

$$xe^x = L(a_1 e^x + a_2 x e^x) = (2a_1 - 3a_2)e^x + (2a_2)xe^x \Rightarrow a_2 = \frac{1}{2}, a_1 = \frac{3}{4}$$

$\Rightarrow F = \frac{3}{4}e^x + \frac{1}{2}xe^x$, and general solution to $Lf = R$ is

$$f = F + c_1 e^{2x} + c_2 e^{3x}.$$

Approach #2: REDUCTION TO FIRST-ORDER

it can handle some R's that Approach #1 can't (see e.g. Apostol p. 163)

Again, this works when L has constant coefficients.

To solve $LF = R$, write $L = (D - \alpha) L_0$ and $G = L_0 F$. The equation becomes

$$(D - \alpha)G = R \rightsquigarrow G' - \alpha G = R.$$

Last semester, we proved that $y' + P(x)y = R(x)$ has the "particular solution" $y(x) = e^{-A(x)} \int_{x_0}^x R(t) e^{A(t)} dt$ where $A(x) = \int_{x_0}^x P(t) dt$ and $x_0 \in I$. Here we can apply this with $P = -\alpha \Rightarrow A = \alpha(x_0 - x)$ to get

$$G = e^{\alpha(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x R(t) e^{\alpha(x_0-t)} dt.$$

Now we have reduced the problem to solving

$$L_0 F = G,$$

with G now playing the role of R . Keep going in this fashion.

Ex 2/ Same eqn. as Ex. 1: solve $(D-3)(D-2)F = xe^x$.

$$(D-3)G = xe^x \text{ has sol'n. } G = e^{3x} \int_0^x te^t e^{-3t} dt = e^{3x} \int_0^x te^{-2t} dt$$

$$\stackrel{\int \text{ by parts}}{=} e^{3x} \left(-\frac{t}{2} e^{-2t} \Big|_0^x + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x e^{-2t} dt \right) = -\frac{x}{2} e^x - \frac{1}{4} e^x + \frac{1}{4} e^{3x}. \text{ Now solve}$$

$$(D-2)F = G: \quad F = e^{2x} \int_0^x G(t) e^{-2t} dt = \frac{3}{4} e^x + \frac{1}{2} x e^x + \frac{1}{4} e^{3x} - e^{2x},$$

where the last two terms are in $\ker(L)$ so can be ignored. //

Approach #3: VARIATION OF PARAMETERS — no constant-coeffs requirement

Let $\vec{u}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vector-valued function $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ u_n(x) \end{pmatrix}$, so $L\vec{u} = \vec{0}$. We want to pick $\vec{v}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

so that $F = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$ solves $LF = R$. (Here the "varying parameters" are the components $\{v_i\}$ of \vec{v} .) In fact, if

$\vec{v}' \cdot \vec{u}^{(k)} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ and $\vec{v}' \cdot \vec{u}^{(n-1)} = R$,

then $F' = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}'$, $F'' = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}''$, ..., $F^{(n-1)} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}^{(n-1)}$, and $F^{(n)} = R + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}^{(n)} \implies$

$$LF = F^{(n)} + P_1(x)F^{(n-1)} + \dots + P_n(x)F = R + \vec{v} \cdot (\underbrace{\vec{u}^{(n)} + P_1 \vec{u}^{(n-1)} + \dots + P_n \vec{u}}_{=0}) = R.$$

The conditions on \vec{v}' read $\begin{pmatrix} \leftarrow \vec{u}^{(0)} \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \vec{u}^{(1)} \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow \vec{u}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1' \\ \vdots \\ v_n' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ R \end{pmatrix}$,

\swarrow Wronskian $W(x)$

i.e. $W \vec{v}' = R \vec{e}_n$.

Claim: $W(x)$ is invertible for all $x \in I$.

Proof: If $w(x)$ is zero at some $a \in I$,

then $W(a)$ is not invertible, hence $\exists \vec{c} \neq \vec{0}$ s.t.

$W(a) \vec{c} = \vec{0}$. a.k.a. "singular" Writing $f := \vec{c} \cdot \vec{u}$, this says that

$f(a) = f'(a) = \dots = f^{(n-1)}(a) = 0$ (†).

But $Lf = 0 \Rightarrow f$ is the unique solution satisfying (†)

$$\Rightarrow f = 0 \xrightarrow{\{u_i\} \text{ indep.}} \vec{c} = \vec{0}. \quad \text{X}$$

So $W(x)$ is nowhere zero, and $\therefore W(x)$ is invertible for all $x \in I$. □

Thus we may write $\vec{v}' = RW^{-1}\vec{e}_n \implies$

$$\vec{v} = \int_{x_0}^x R(t) W(t)^{-1} \vec{e}_n dt. \quad (\text{As stated above,})$$

our solution is then $F = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$.

Ex 3 / Same eqn. as Ex's 1-2. $W = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2x} & e^{3x} \\ 2e^{2x} & 3e^{3x} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Rightarrow W^{-1} = e^{-5x} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{3x} & -e^{3x} \\ -2e^{2x} & e^{2x} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow W(t)^{-1} \vec{e}_n = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-2x} \\ e^{-3x} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v} = \int_0^x \begin{pmatrix} -te^{-t} \\ te^{-2t} \end{pmatrix} dt = \begin{pmatrix} xe^{-x} + e^{-x} - 1 \\ -\frac{x}{2}e^{-2x} - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2x} + \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{We can drop}$$

the constants since $\text{const} \cdot \vec{u} \in \ker(L)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u} = (xe^{-x} + e^{-x})e^{2x} + \left(-\frac{x}{2}e^{-2x} - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2x}\right)e^{3x} \\ &= \frac{3}{4}e^x + \frac{1}{2}xe^x. \end{aligned}$$

Appendix: A formula for the Wronskian

Consider $w(x) := \det(W(x)) = \begin{vmatrix} \leftarrow \tilde{u} \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \tilde{u}' \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow \tilde{u}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \end{vmatrix}$, and

recall from HW that $w'(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \leftarrow \tilde{u}' \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \tilde{u}'' \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow \tilde{u}^{(n)} \rightarrow \end{vmatrix}$ (using "Leibniz's rule" on the rows and the fact that 2 rows $\Rightarrow \det = 0$).

By linearity of det in the last row,

$$w' + P_1 w = \begin{vmatrix} \leftarrow \tilde{u}' \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \tilde{u}'' \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow \tilde{u}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \tilde{u}^{(n)} + P_1 \tilde{u}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$\underbrace{\tilde{u}^{(n)} + P_1 \tilde{u}^{(n-1)}}_{= -\sum_{j=2}^n P_j \tilde{u}^{(n-j)}} = \text{linear comb. of first } n-1 \text{ rows}$

$$\Rightarrow w' = -P_1 w \Rightarrow w(x) = w(x_0) e^{-\int_{x_0}^x P_1(t) dt}$$