

Lecture 23: Cayley - Hamilton

Suppose an $n \times n$ matrix A has $\lambda_0 = 3$ as an eigenvalue: then $(3\mathbf{I}_n - A)\vec{v}_0 = \vec{0}$ for the corresponding eigenvector \vec{v}_0 .

So: plugging A into the polynomial $3 - x$ yields a matrix annihilating \vec{v}_0 . Is there a polynomial into which plugging A gives a matrix annihilating all vectors? — i.e., the zero matrix?

Consider $M_{n,n}$ as a vector space over \mathbb{R} of dimension n^2 .

Clearly $\mathbf{I}, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n^2}$ are dependent (there are $n^2 + 1$ of them)

$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n^2} \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\sum_{k=0}^{n^2} \alpha_k A^k = 0$. So indeed, writing

$q(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n^2} \alpha_k x^k$, $q(A) = 0$. But maybe we can do better,

i.e. succeed with a polynomial of smaller degree?

If A is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$,

then $(\lambda_1 \mathbf{I} - A)(\lambda_2 \mathbf{I} - A) \dots (\lambda_n \mathbf{I} - A) \vec{v} = 0$ for every \vec{v} ,

by writing $\vec{v} = \sum_{m=1}^n \alpha_m \vec{v}_m$ as a linear combination of eigenvectors.

(Use the fact that the $(\lambda_m \mathbf{I} - A)$ all commute.) So then

writing $p(x) = (\lambda_1 - x) \dots (\lambda_n - x)$, we get $p(A) = [0]$.

But this p is the characteristic polynomial!

* Small point: constants c become $c \cdot \mathbf{I}_n (= c \cdot A^0)$

Perhaps plugging A into its characteristic polynomial always gives zero? We should be careful - diagonalizable matrices are not representative of the 'general' case. Also, the first idea that comes to mind for proving such a thing - $\det(A\mathbb{I} - A) = \det(O) = 0$ - is wrong:

Substituting in A before you take the determinant is not the same as doing so afterwards! On the other hand, here's a

nondiagonalizable $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with characteristic poly.

$$P_A(\lambda) := \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^3, \text{ and indeed } A^3 = O. \text{ So}$$

perhaps the following isn't surprising after all:

Theorem (Cayley-Hamilton): Writing $P_A(\lambda) := \det(\lambda\mathbb{I}_n - A)$, we

$$\text{have } P_A(A) = [O].$$

Proof: We work with matrices whose entries are polynomials in λ .

So we can't divide by λ , or polynomials in λ , like the determinant of such a matrix. But (i,j) th entry of

$$M \cdot \text{adj}(M) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^n m_{ik} \cdot (-1)^{k+j} \det M_{\widehat{j}\widehat{k}} \right]$$

$$= \left[\det(M) \delta_{ij} \right]$$

$$= \det(M) \mathbb{I}_n$$

the matrix product.

By Laplace expansion, this is the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the j th row of M by the i th row. So get $\det M$ if $i=j$, 0 if $i \neq j$.

is true for such matrices. Applying this to $M = \lambda \mathbb{I}_n - A$,

$$(\lambda \mathbb{I}_n - A) \cdot \text{adj}(\lambda \mathbb{I}_n - A) = \det(\lambda \mathbb{I}_n - A) \cdot \mathbb{I}_n = P_A(\lambda) \mathbb{I}_n.$$

Now decompose $\text{adj}(\lambda \mathbb{I}_n - A) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k S_k$ and write

$$P_A(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \lambda^j. \quad \text{We have}$$

$$(\lambda \mathbb{I} - A) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k S_k = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \lambda^j \mathbb{I}_n \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -AS_0 + \lambda(S_0 - AS_1) + \lambda^2(S_1 - AS_2) + \dots + \lambda^{n-1}(S_{n-2} - AS_{n-1}) + \lambda^n S_{n-1} \\ & = a_0 \mathbb{I} + \lambda(a_1 \mathbb{I}) + \lambda^2(a_2 \mathbb{I}) + \dots + \lambda^{n-1}(a_{n-1} \mathbb{I}) + \lambda^n(a_n \mathbb{I}) \end{aligned}$$

equating coeffs.
of like powers
of λ

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 \mathbb{I} &= -AS_0, & a_1 \mathbb{I} &= S_0 - AS_1, & a_2 \mathbb{I} &= S_1 - AS_2, \\ & \dots, & a_{n-1} \mathbb{I} &= S_{n-2} - AS_{n-1}, & a_n \mathbb{I} &= S_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_A(A) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j A^j = a_0 \mathbb{I} + A(a_1 \mathbb{I}) + A^2(a_2 \mathbb{I}) + \dots + A(a_{n-1} \mathbb{I}) + A^n(a_n \mathbb{I})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = -AS_0 + A(S_0 - AS_1) + A^2(S_1 - AS_2) + \dots \\ & \quad + A^{n-1}(S_{n-2} - AS_{n-1}) + A^n S_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = -AS_0 + AS_0 - A^2 S_1 + A^2 S_1 - A^3 S_2 + \dots \\ & \quad \dots + A^{n-1} S_{n-2} - A^n S_{n-1} + A^n S_{n-1} \\ & = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

□

* We can decompose any matrix with entries polynomial in λ into

powers of λ : for instance, $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + \lambda & \lambda^2 \\ 1 & 1 + \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2.$

So, you may be wondering: exactly what does this have to do with computing e^{tA} , our topic from yesterday?

Suppose A is $n \times n$, and has one eigenvalue η (with multiplicity n). The characteristic polynomial is

$P_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - \eta)^n$, so by Cayley-Hamilton

$$0 = P_A(A) = (A - \eta I)^n \Rightarrow (A - \eta I)^k = 0 \text{ for } k \geq n$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{tA} = e^{\eta t I + t(A - \eta I)} = e^{\eta t I} e^{t(A - \eta I)}$$

commute

$$= e^{\eta t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} (A - \eta I)^k = e^{\eta t} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} (A - \eta I)^k.$$

Ex / Suppose we want to solve

$$(†) \begin{cases} f'''(t) - 6f''(t) + 12f'(t) - 8f(t) = 0 \\ f(0) = 1, f'(0) = 0, f''(0) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Writing $\begin{cases} x_1(t) = f(t) \\ x_2(t) = f'(t) \\ x_3(t) = f''(t) \end{cases}$, (†) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} x_1'(t) = x_2(t) \\ x_2'(t) = x_3(t) \\ x_3'(t) = 8x_1(t) - 12x_2(t) + 6x_3(t) \end{cases} + \vec{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the same as
$$\begin{cases} \vec{x}'(t) = A \vec{x}(t) \\ \vec{x}(0) = \vec{b} \end{cases}$$

where $\vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 8 & -12 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$. The characteristic

polynomial is $P_A(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ -8 & 12 & \lambda-6 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^3 - 6\lambda^2 + 12\lambda - 8 = (\lambda-2)^3$

— Same as that of the original DE (1), as it must be.

(Can you prove that?) So the above calculation gives

$$e^{tA} = e^{2t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} (A-2I)^k$$

$$= e^{2t} I + te^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 8 & -12 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{t^2}{2} e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -4 & 1 \\ 8 & -8 & 2 \\ 16 & -16 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A-2I = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 8 & -12 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(A-2I)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -4 & 1 \\ 8 & -8 & 2 \\ 16 & -16 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The solution is $\vec{x}(t) = e^{tA} \vec{b} = e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + te^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{t^2}{2} e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$,

which is to say $f(t) (= x_1(t)) = e^{2t} - 2te^{2t} + \frac{3}{2}t^2e^{2t}$.

(Check that this solves (1).) //

Here is how to do the other "non-diagonalizable" possibility for

3×3 matrices: $\lambda_1 = \mu$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \eta$. We have

$$P_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - \mu)(\lambda - \eta)^2 \stackrel{C-H}{\Rightarrow} 0 = (A - \mu I)(A - \eta I)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (A - \eta I)^k = [(A - \mu I) + (\mu - \eta)I]^{k-2} (A - \eta I)^2$$

$$(k \geq 2) = (\mu - \eta)^{k-2} (A - \eta I)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow e^{tA} &= e^{\lambda t I + t(A - \lambda I)} \\
&= e^{\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} (A - \lambda I)^k \\
&= e^{\lambda t} I + t e^{\lambda t} (A - \lambda I) + e^{\lambda t} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} (\mu - \lambda)^{k-2} (A - \lambda I)^2 \\
&= e^{\lambda t} I + t e^{\lambda t} (A - \lambda I) + \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{(\mu - \lambda)^2} \left\{ e^{(\mu - \lambda)t} - 1 - (\mu - \lambda)t \right\} (A - \lambda I)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

a formula which looks more complicated than it is.

Notice that the functions of t that appear in e^{tA} , here in any solution $e^{tA} \vec{b}$ to $\vec{x}'(t) = A \vec{x}(t)$, are simply $e^{\lambda t}$, $t e^{\lambda t}$, and $e^{\mu t}$.