

Lecture 13 : More on Determinants

In lecture 12, we defined $\det: \{ \text{n} \times n \text{ real matrices} \} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 to be the unique function satisfying $A \longmapsto \det(A) = |A|$

- (i) linearity in each row (with the other rows held fixed)
- (ii) antisymmetry in the rows
- (iii) $\det I_n = 1$.

From these properties, we quickly deduced that

- if 2 rows are equal, then $\det A = 0$
- if A is upper/lower triangular, $\det A = \text{product of diagonal entries}$
 (in particular, if A is diagonal, i.e. $A = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & d_n \end{pmatrix}$ then $\det A = d_1 \cdots d_n$)
- if $A_{ij}^{\hat{}} = (n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by deleting i^{th} row + j^{th} column of A ,
 $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij}^{\hat{}} = (i,j)^{\text{th}}$ cofactor, then (for any i)
 $\det A = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij} \leftarrow \text{Laplace expansion along the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ row}.$

Consequently,

- if A has a row of 0's, then $\det A = 0$.

Ex 1 /

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \cdot \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}}_{A_{11}^{\hat{}}} - 1 \cdot \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{vmatrix}}_{A_{14}^{\hat{}}}$$

$$= 3 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} - 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 3(-3) - 1 \cdot 1 + 1(9+1) + 2(-1-3) = -8. //$$

Determinants and EROs (Elementary Row Operations)

Let E be an elementary matrix, so that $\tilde{A} = E \cdot A$ is one row operation applied to A .

Theorem 1: If E is a $\begin{cases} \text{replace } r_i \\ \text{swap } r_i, r_j \\ \text{scale by } \mu \end{cases}$ operation, $\det \tilde{A} = \begin{cases} \det A \\ -\det A \\ \mu \det A \end{cases}$.

Proof: Write $A = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vec{r}_j + \alpha \vec{r}_i \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix} = \det \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vec{r}_j \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix}}_A + \alpha \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vec{r}_j \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{eqn} \\ \text{by linearity} \end{matrix} = \det A$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix} = -\det \begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix} = -\det A \quad \text{by antisymmetry}$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu \vec{r}_i \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix} = \mu \det \begin{pmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_i \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n \end{pmatrix} = \mu \det A. \quad \text{by linearity}$$

□

Ex 2/ Find $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 14 & 10 \\ -2 & 6 & 10 & 33 \end{pmatrix}$. Idea: row-reduce to an upper triangular matrix only replace & swap.

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 & 14 \\ 0 & 4 & 14 & 29 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ = \end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 13 \end{array} & = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 \end{array} = 1 \cdot 9 = 9. \end{array} \quad //$$

Determinants and Invertibility

Theorem 2: $\det A \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ invertible.

Proof: (\Leftarrow): A invertible $\Rightarrow A$ is obtained from I_n by EROs
 $\Rightarrow \det A = \det I_n \cdot (-1)^{\text{# swaps}}$
 Then 1 $\cancel{(\text{product of scaling factors})}$
 $\neq 0$.

(\Rightarrow): $\det A \neq 0 \Rightarrow \det(\text{rref } A) = \det A = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \cancel{(\text{product of scaling factors})} \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \text{rref } A$ has no row of 0s

$\xrightarrow[\text{A square}]{} \text{rref } A = I_n$
 $\rightarrow A$ invertible.

□

Ex 3/ we know $\text{ref} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \neq \mathbb{I}_3$, so

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

//

Ex 4/ Find all values of α they make

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha & 1 \\ 4 & \alpha & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{non-invertible ("singular")}$$

want $0 \Rightarrow$

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha & 1 \\ 4 & \alpha & \alpha \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha-1 & 0 \\ 4 & \alpha & \alpha \end{array} \right| = (\alpha-1) \left| \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & 1 \\ 4 & \alpha \end{array} \right| = (\alpha-1)(\alpha^2-4) = (\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)(\alpha+2)$$

↑ expand in middle row

\Rightarrow values are $\alpha = 1, 2, -2.$

//

Determinants and Products

Consider the elementary matrices once more. What are their determinants?

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \alpha & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \end{array} \right| = 1, \quad \left| \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \end{array} \right| = -1, \quad \left| \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & \mu & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \end{array} \right| = \mu$$

Replace Swap Scale

(upper or lower triangular with 1's on diagonal) (get \mathbb{I}_n by swapping its i -th & j -th rows)

Looking at the statement of Theorem 1, we see it can

be rephrased as follows:

(*) If E is an elementary matrix, then

$$\det(EA) = \det(E) \cdot \det(A).$$

↑
[result of applying ERQ
to A]

Theorem 3: Given $n \times n$ matrices $A \neq B$, $\det AB = (\det A)(\det B)$.

Proof: Case 1: $\det A = 0$. Then A isn't invertible.

If AB had an inverse C , then $\mathbb{I}_n = (AB)C = A(BC)$

$\Rightarrow BC$ is inverse to A , a contradiction. So
 AB isn't invertible, and $\therefore \det AB = 0$.

Case 2: $\det A \neq 0$. Then A is invertible, and so
may be written as a product of elementary matrices:

$$A = E_N \cdots E_1 (\mathbb{I}_n)$$

$$\rightarrow AB = E_N \cdots E_1 B.$$

By repeated application of (*),

$$\det A = \det E_N \cdots \det E_1 \cancel{\det \mathbb{I}_1}$$

$$\& \det AB = \det E_N \cdots \det E_1 \det B, \text{ so } \det AB = \det A \cdot \det B. \quad \square$$

Corollary: If A is invertible, $\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det A}$.

Ex 5 / ~~$\det B^{-1}A^9B = (\det B)^{-1}(\det A)^9 \det B = (\det A)^9$~~ //

(What about $A+B$? In fact, we can't say anything about its determinant. It's certainly false that $\det A+B = \det A + \det B$; for example, take $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\det A + \det B = 1+1=2$, but $A+B$ is the zero matrix.)

Determinants and Column Vectors

I mentioned in Lecture 12 that if you define "det" to be the unique alternating multilinear normalized function on $\{\text{columns of } A\}$ then you get the same function as doing it via rows (as we've done). Since transposing takes rows to columns, this statement is equivalent to

Theorem 4: $\det A = \det A^T$.

Proof: First, $\det A \neq 0 \iff \det A^T \neq 0$, since they are both invertible (with $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$) or both not.

So if they're invertible, we have $A = E_1 \cdots E_N$ and $A^T = E_N^T \cdots E_1^T$. By Thm. 3, it suffices to check $\det E_i = \det E_i^T$. But this is obvious: Swap & scale matrices are unchanged by transpose, and replace matrices have determinant 1. □

Corollary: (i) Elementary column operations have the same effect on \det as ERO's.

(ii) Laplace expansion holds for columns: for each j ,

$$\det A = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij}.$$

Ex 6 / If $\begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} = 2$, find $\begin{vmatrix} a-8g & 3b-24h & c-8i \\ d & 3e & f \\ g & 3h & i \end{vmatrix}$

\hookrightarrow $= 3 \begin{vmatrix} a-8g & b-8h & c-8i \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} = 3 \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} = 3 \cdot 2 = 6.$

↑
linearity in
2nd column

ERO
(replace)

//

Ex 7 / $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & 3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \end{vmatrix} \underset{\text{with this } \Delta_n}{\underset{\text{Replace}}{=}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \end{vmatrix}$

Laplace expansion
in 1st column

$$= \Delta_{n-1} = \dots = \Delta_{n-2} = \dots = \Delta_1 = 1$$

similar argument

//

Determinants and Linear Independence

Recall from lectures 11 and 18 that the following conditions on an $n \times n$ (square) matrix A are equivalent:

- (C1) A is invertible for the corresponding L.T.
 T is invertible
- (C2) The columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n T is onto
- (C3) The columns of A are linearly independent T is 1-1

The same goes for rows since columns of A are rows of A^T .

Theorem 5: $\det A \neq 0 \iff$ rows are lin. ind. & span \mathbb{R}^n
 \iff columns are lin. ind. & span \mathbb{R}^n .

Ex 8 / For what values of α is $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$
a linearly independent set?

We already solved this: They're independent \Leftrightarrow

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha & 1 \\ 4 & \alpha & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha \neq 1, 2, -2.$$

