Lecture 14 : Determinants (concl.)

Determinants and Volume

Let $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$. What is the n-volume of

$$P = P(\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_n) := \left\{ a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + a_n \vec{v}_n \ \middle| \ 0 \le a_i \le 1 \ (\text{for each } i) \right\} ?$$

A basic observation is that if you __swap__ $\vec{v}_i$ & $\vec{v}_j$
it doesn't change the volume; while if you __scale__ (multiply)
the length of $\vec{v}_i$ by μ, it multiplies the volume by $|\mu|$.
Finally, if you __replace__ $\vec{v}_j$ by $\vec{v}_j + a\vec{v}_i$, this causes a
shear of the parallelepiped P, and shears don't affect
volume. But these are precisely the effects that
these operations have on $|\det(A)|$ (the absolute value
of $\det(A)$), where $A = \begin{pmatrix} \leftarrow \vec{v}_1 \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow \vec{v}_n \rightarrow \end{pmatrix}$.

More precisely, if a sequence of row operations
gets you from $\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n$ to $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_n$ (as rows of a
matrix), and involves scaling by $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$ and N swaps,
then $\det A = (-1)^N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i$. Now $\mathrm{vol}\left(P(\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n)\right) = 1$,
so $\mathrm{vol}\left(P(\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_n)\right) = 1 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} |\mu_i|$ by the above observations,
and this is $|\det A|$.

Theorem 1:
$$\text{Vol}\{P(\vec{v}_1,\ldots,\vec{v}_n)\} = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \leftarrow \vec{v}_1 \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow \vec{v}_n \rightarrow \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

$$= \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$

transpose

Now let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear transformation with matrix M.

Corollary: If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is any bounded subset,

$$\frac{\text{Vol}\{T(\Omega)\}}{\text{Vol}\{\Omega\}} = \left| \det(M) \right|.$$

"dilation factor"

Proof: Covering Ω with little parallelopipeds and taking a limit as their size $\to 0$, we see it suffices to check the result for such parallelopipeds:

$$\frac{\text{Vol}\{T(P(\vec{v}_1,\ldots,\vec{v}_n))\}}{\text{Vol}\{P(\vec{v}_1,\ldots,\vec{v}_n)\}} = \frac{\text{Vol}\{P(T\vec{v}_1,\ldots,T\vec{v}_n)\}}{\text{Vol}\{P(\vec{v}_1,\ldots,\vec{v}_n)\}}$$

$$= \left| \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ M\vec{v}_1 & \cdots & M\vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}} \right| = \left| \frac{\det\left(M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}\right)}{\det\left(\vec{v}_1 \cdots \vec{v}_n\right)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\det M \cdot \det\left(\vec{v}_1 \cdots \vec{v}_n\right)}{\det\left(\vec{v}_1 \cdots \vec{v}_n\right)} \right| = \left| \det M \right|.$$

$\square$

Ex $\checkmark$ $\quad \Omega = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1 \right\}$

$\quad M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ matrix of $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$

$\Rightarrow T(\Omega) = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} \leq 1 \right\}$

$\text{area}\left(T(\Omega)\right) = \text{area}(\Omega) \cdot \left| \det M \right| = \pi a b.$ $\quad /\!/$

$\left(\right.$ <u>Remark</u>: In calculus, this shows up in the change-of-variable formula for multiple integrals. Given a <u>nonlinear</u> such change, $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto \left(y_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, y_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\right)$, the Jacobian matrix is $J = \begin{pmatrix} \partial y_1/\partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial y_1/\partial x_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial y_n/\partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial y_n/\partial x_n \end{pmatrix}$, and

$\left| \det J \right|$ is the "infinitesimal dilation factor" giving the ratio $\dfrac{dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_n}{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}$ of volumes of infinitesimal parallelopipeds. $\left.\right)$

Ex 2 / Find the area of the parallelogram with
vertices $(-1,-2)$, $(1,3)$, $(2,4)$, and $(0,-1)$.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Area} \left\{ P(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \right\} = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right| = 3 \; . \quad //$$

Cramer's Rule

For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $\quad A^{-1} = \dfrac{1}{\det A} \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$.

So the solution to $\quad A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} b_1 - a_{12} b_2 \\ a_{11} b_2 - a_{21} b_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad x_1 = \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_{12} \\ b_2 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}}{\det A} \; \overset{A_1(\vec{b})}{} \quad , \quad x_2 = \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & b_2 \end{pmatrix}}{\det A} \; \overset{A_2(\vec{b})}{} .$$

Theorem 2: For A $n \times n$ invertible with columns $\vec{c}_j$,
the unique solution of $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is given componentwise by

$$x_i = \frac{\det \left(A_i(\vec{b}) \right)}{\det(A)} \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, n)$$

where $A_i(\vec{b})$ is the $n\times n$ matrix obtained by replacing column $\vec{c}_i$ by $\vec{b}$.

__Proof:__ $\det A_i(\vec{b}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{c}_1 & \cdots & \vec{b} & \cdots & \vec{c}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = \det\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{c}_1 & \cdots & A\vec{x} & \cdots & \vec{c}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$

$= \det\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{c}_1 & \cdots & (\sum x_j c_j) & \cdots & \vec{c}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \det\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{c}_1 & \cdots & \vec{c}_j & \cdots & \vec{c}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$

$\underset{i\text{th}}{}$ $\underbrace{\qquad\qquad}_{i\text{th}}$

$\overset{i\text{th column}}{}$

if $j \neq i$, then $\vec{c}_j$ appears twice $\Rightarrow \det = 0$

$= x_i \det\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{c}_1 & \cdots & \vec{c}_i & \cdots & \vec{c}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = x_i \det A.$ $\qquad \square$

__Ex 3/__ Solve $\begin{cases} sx - ty = 1 \\ sy - tz = a \\ sz - tx = 0 \end{cases}$ for arbitrary $s, t, a \in \mathbb{R}$ (when possible).

$\begin{pmatrix} s & -t & 0 \\ 0 & s & -t \\ -t & 0 & s \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

$\qquad A \qquad\quad \vec{x} \qquad\quad \vec{b}$

$\det A = s\begin{vmatrix} s & -t \\ 0 & s \end{vmatrix} - t\begin{vmatrix} -t & 0 \\ s & -t \end{vmatrix}$

$= s^3 - t^3.$

So assume $s^3 \neq t^3$ (or just $s \neq t$, equivalently), so the system is solvable. By Cramer,

$x = \dfrac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -t & 0 \\ a & s & -t \\ 0 & 0 & s \end{vmatrix}}{\det A} = \dfrac{s\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -t \\ a & s \end{vmatrix}}{s^3 - t^3} = \dfrac{s^2 + ast}{s^3 - t^3}$

$$y = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} s & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & -t \\ -t & 0 & s \end{vmatrix}}{s^3 - t^3} = \frac{as^2 + t^2}{s^3 - t^3} \quad , \quad z = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} s & -t & 1 \\ 0 & s & a \\ -t & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{s^3 - t^3} = \frac{at^2 + st}{s^3 - t^3}.$$

Clearly not something you'd want to do by row-reduction. //

The adjugate matrix

Let A = invertible $n \times n$. Writing $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{\alpha}_1 & \cdots & \vec{\alpha}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \cdots & \alpha_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$,

$$A \cdot A^{-1} = \mathbb{I}_n \implies A \cdot \vec{\alpha}_j = \vec{e}_j \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

By Cramer's rule,

$$\alpha_{ij} = i^{\text{th}} \text{ entry of } \vec{\alpha}_j = \frac{\det\left(A_{\hat{\imath}}(\vec{e}_j)\right)}{\det(A)}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

i^{th} (column)

$$\underset{\substack{\text{Laplace} \\ (i^{\text{th}} \text{ column})}}{=} \frac{(-1)^{j+i} \det\left(A_{\widehat{ji}}\right)}{\det A} = \frac{C_{ji}}{\det(A)}$$

Set
$$\text{adj}(A) := n \times n \text{ matrix with } (i,j)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry } C_{ji}.$$

Theorem 3: $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \cdot \text{adj}(A).$

This generalises the 2×2 formula, though is generally not preferred to the row-reduction approach unless there

are variables (or nasty numbers) in the entries of A.

Ex 4/ Find A^{-1} in Example 3.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} s & -t & 0 \\ 0 & s & -t \\ -t & 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \implies \det A = s^3 - t^3 \quad \text{and}$$

$$C_{11} = \begin{vmatrix} s & -t \\ 0 & s \end{vmatrix} = s^2, \quad C_{21} = -\begin{vmatrix} -t & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{vmatrix} = st, \quad C_{31} = \begin{vmatrix} -t & 0 \\ s & -t \end{vmatrix} = t^2$$

$$C_{12} = -\begin{vmatrix} 0 & -t \\ -t & s \end{vmatrix} = t^2, \quad C_{22} = \begin{vmatrix} s & 0 \\ -t & s \end{vmatrix} = s^2, \quad C_{32} = -\begin{vmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & -t \end{vmatrix} = st$$

$$C_{13} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & s \\ -t & 0 \end{vmatrix} = st, \quad C_{23} = -\begin{vmatrix} s & -t \\ -t & 0 \end{vmatrix} = t^2, \quad C_{33} = \begin{vmatrix} s & -t \\ 0 & s \end{vmatrix} = s^2$$

$$\implies A^{-1} = \frac{1}{s^3 - t^3} \begin{pmatrix} s^2 & st & t^2 \\ t^2 & s^2 & st \\ st & t^2 & s^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$