

Lecture 17: Basis of a vector space

Let V be a vector space. A finite sequence $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \subset V$ is linearly dependent if $\exists c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$, not all 0, such that

(*) $c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$.

Otherwise, it is linearly independent. Linear independence is equivalent to the following property (why?): no \vec{v}_j is a linear combination of the $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{j-1}$ preceding it.

Ex 1 / In \mathbb{P}_3 , $\{t, t^2\}$ is independent, but $\{t, t(t-2), t^2\}$ is dependent because $(-2)t + (-1)t(t-2) + (1)t^2 = 0$.

Notice that if a linear dependence relation (*) holds in V , and $T: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then

$$c_1 T(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + c_k T(\vec{v}_k) = \vec{0}$$

holds in W . So we arrive at the

Observation: If $T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_k)$ are independent, then so are $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$.

Ex 2 / In $C^0(\mathbb{R})$, $\{\cos(t), \sin(t), t\cos(t), t\sin(t)\}$ is independent.

OK, how would you prove that? Maybe take values of the functions at $0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}$: that gives a linear transformation

$$F: C^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$

sending

$$\cos(t) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sin(t) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$t \cos(t) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\pi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$t \sin(t) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pi/2 \\ 0 \\ -3\pi/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

You can check that the 4 vectors on the right are independent in \mathbb{R}^4 . Therefore, the functions are independent in $C^0(\mathbb{R})$, by the Observation. //

We have made a lot of use lately of the vectors $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Any vector $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^n can be written uniquely as a linear combination of them: $x_1 \vec{e}_1 + \dots + x_n \vec{e}_n$. But $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$, while especially convenient, are far from being the only set of n vectors with this property. In fact, the vectors on the right in Example 2 also have this property.

Definition: A finite sequence $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \subset V$ is called a basis of V if (a) it is linearly independent and (b) it spans V .

Given a basis of V , any larger collection $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k; \vec{v}'\}$ is dependent: since $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ span V , $\vec{v}' = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k$
 $\Rightarrow 0 = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k + (-1) \vec{v}'$ is a dependence relation.

Ex 3 / ① $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ has basis $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$, called the standard basis.

② $V = \mathbb{P}_3$ has basis $\{1, t, t^2, t^3\}$.

③ $V = \mathbb{P}_2(x, y)$ (= polynomials in x, y of degree ≤ 2) has basis $\{1, x, y, x^2, y^2\}$. //

Ex 4 / If A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, its columns $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n . [True for rows too, since A^T is invertible if A is.] Why? Well, we need to check (a) and (b) in the definition:

$$(a): x_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0} \Rightarrow A \vec{x} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \text{apply } A^{-1} \vec{x} = \vec{0}.$$

So $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ is linearly independent.

(b): let $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set $\vec{x} := A^{-1} \vec{u}$. Then $A \vec{x} = \vec{u}$, so \vec{u} is in the span of A 's columns. Since \vec{u} was arbitrary, A 's columns span \mathbb{R}^n . //

Our notion of bases in this course is finite — we won't deal with infinite bases. So when does a vector space have a (finite) basis?

Ex 5/ $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and \mathbb{P} do not. //

Ex 6/ Call V finitely generated if some finite subset $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ spans V . In this case, some subset of S is a basis for V . * Why? Well, you can go through S throwing out any \vec{v}_i that is a linear combination of the vectors preceding it, until this is no longer the case. To see that the resulting subset still spans V , note that if (say) $\vec{v}_k = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1}$, then any linear combination $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n \vec{v}_n$ can be rewritten as a linear combination

$$(a_1 + a_k a'_1) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + (a_{k-1} + a_k a'_{k-1}) \vec{v}_{k-1} + a_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v}_n$$

in which \vec{v}_k is omitted. So removing \vec{v}_k won't affect the span. The new subset is linearly independent by the criterion on p-1. //

* The book calls this the "Spanning Set Theorem".

Now let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

Consider $\text{Nul}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the null space of A . Can we construct a basis?

Ex 7 / $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 6 & 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \text{ref}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 x_2, x_4 free

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nul}(A) &:= \{ \vec{x} \mid A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^5 \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -2x_2 - 3x_4 \\ x_2 \\ x_4 \\ x_4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_2, x_4 \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x_2 \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_4 \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_2, x_4 \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\ &= \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and in fact these 2 5-vectors form a basis. //

The nature of the procedure in this example guarantees a basis, b/c: (a) the vectors span $\text{Nul}(A)$; and (b) there is one vector for each free variable, and if that variable is x_k , then

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{that vector has } k^{\text{th}} \text{ entry } 1 \\ \text{the other vectors have } k^{\text{th}} \text{ entry } 0 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \text{the } k^{\text{th}} \text{ vector is } \underline{\text{NOT}}$
 $\text{a linear combination of the others (for each } k \text{)}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{these vectors are independent.}$

Remark: Part of what makes this work is that row-reduction doesn't affect the null space:

$$\text{Nul}(\text{rref}(A)) = \text{Nul}(A).$$

The same is not true for the column space:

$$\text{Col}(\text{rref}(A)) \neq \text{Col}(A).$$

So how do we get a basis of $\text{Col}(A)$?

Suppose E is a product of elementary matrices which reduce A to RREF:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = \text{rref}(A) = E \cdot A = E \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ E\vec{v}_1 & \dots & E\vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since E is invertible, $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n$ have exactly the same dependence relations as $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$. That means that if

all the \vec{w} 's can be written as linear combinations of some

subset $\vec{w}_{i_1}, \dots, \vec{w}_{i_2}$ — i.e. this smaller set spans $\text{Col}(\text{rref}(A))$

— then all the \vec{v} 's can be written as linear combinations of

$\vec{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{i_2}$ — these span $\text{Col}(A)$. Since the columns of

$\text{ref}(A)$ with leading 1's evidently span $\text{Col}(\text{ref}(A))$ (why?), that means the pivot columns in A span $\text{Col}(A)$. Similarly, the pivot columns in $\text{ref}(A)$ are clearly independent (they are a subset of $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_m$!), so therefore the pivot columns in A are independent. This proves the

THEOREM: The pivot columns of A form a basis for the column space $\text{Col}(A)$.

Ex 8 / A as in Example 7, $\text{ref}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

pivot columns

\Rightarrow a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

the 1st, 3rd, & 5th columns of A .

Upside: We can find bases of $\text{Nul}(A)$ and $\text{Col}(A)$ easily by row-reducing A to RREF.