

Lecture 26: Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Just as it is useful to write vectors $\vec{v} \in V$ in terms of their coordinates with respect to a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$ of V , viz.

$$[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if} \quad \vec{v} = a_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + a_n \vec{b}_n,$$

it is also computationally convenient to express linear transformations in terms of their matrices of coefficients with respect to two bases (one for the domain & one for the codomain). (What does this have to do with "eigenstuff"? You'll see!)

Namely, given a linear transformation

$$T: V \rightarrow W$$

with bases $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$ for V & $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_m\}$ for W ,

we write

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & \dots & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{m1} & \dots & d_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if} \quad T(\vec{b}_j) = d_{1j} \vec{c}_1 + \dots + d_{mj} \vec{c}_m$$

(for each $j = 1, \dots, n$).

Since $[T(\vec{b}_j)]_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{mj} \end{pmatrix}$, we can rewrite this matrix as

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ [T(\vec{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} & \cdots & [T(\vec{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this form clearly

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} [b_j]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ [T(\vec{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} & \cdots & [T(\vec{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow \\ [T(\vec{b}_j)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow \end{pmatrix},$$

and so by extending linearly to combinations of the $\{\vec{b}_j\}$,

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} [v]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T(v)]_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Ex 1/ Let $V = \text{span} \{ \underbrace{\cos(t), \sin(t), t\cos(t), t\sin(t)}_{\mathcal{B}} \} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$T: V \rightarrow P_4 (= \text{span} \{1, t, t^2, t^3, t^4\})$$

to take the 4th-order Taylor polynomial about 0:

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\underbrace{\cos(t)}_{b_1}) &= 1 - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^4}{24} \\
 T(\underbrace{\sin(t)}_{b_2}) &= t - \frac{t^3}{6} \\
 T(\underbrace{t \cos(t)}_{b_3}) &= t - \frac{t^3}{2} \\
 T(\underbrace{t \sin(t)}_{b_4}) &= t^2 - \frac{t^4}{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$${}_C [T]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{24} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

Ex 2/ Here is another example which actually does something.

Let $V = \mathbb{P}_2(x, y)$ be the vector space of polynomials of degree ≤ 2 in x and y

$$B = \{1, x, y, x^2, xy, y^2\} \text{ basis for } V$$

$$W = \mathbb{R}^5 \text{ with the standard basis } E.$$

Now choose 5 points $A = (x_A, y_A)$, $B = (x_B, y_B)$, $C = (x_C, y_C)$, $D = (x_D, y_D)$, $E = (x_E, y_E)$ in the plane, and define

$$T: V \longrightarrow W \quad \text{by evaluation of functions at these 5 points.}$$

$$p(x, y) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} p(x_A, y_A) \\ p(x_B, y_B) \\ p(x_C, y_C) \\ p(x_D, y_D) \\ p(x_E, y_E) \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix of this transformation is

$$E[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_A & y_A & x_A^2 & x_A y_A & y_A^2 \\ 1 & x_B & y_B & x_B^2 & x_B y_B & y_B^2 \\ 1 & x_C & y_C & x_C^2 & x_C y_C & y_C^2 \\ 1 & x_D & y_D & x_D^2 & x_D y_D & y_D^2 \\ 1 & x_E & y_E & x_E^2 & x_E y_E & y_E^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As long as no 4 of the points are collinear, one can check* this matrix has rank 5, hence nullity 1. Since the null space of the matrix is the kernel $\ker(T)$ written in the basis \mathcal{B} , that means that $\ker(T)$ is spanned by a single polynomial f . (†)

What does this mean? For a polynomial f to satisfy $T(f) = \vec{0}$ is to say that f evaluates to 0 at all 5 points. Equivalently, the conic (ellipse / hyperbola / whatever) defined by $f(x,y) = 0$ passes through A, B, C, D, E . We can thus interpret (†) as saying that through most configurations of 5 points there passes exactly one conic! //

A special case of the above is

$$T: V \rightarrow V$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$. We will write $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ instead of $\mathcal{C}[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ in this case.

* That goes beyond our scope here, but at least it's clear that $\text{rk} \leq 5$ so $\text{nullity} \geq 1$.

Ex 3/ $T = \frac{d}{dt}$ on $V = \mathbb{P}_3 =$ polynomials of degree ≤ 3 ;

that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt} : \mathbb{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3.$$

It sends $1 \mapsto 0$, $t \mapsto 1$, $t^2 \mapsto 2t$, $t^3 \mapsto 3t^2$. So

in terms of $\mathcal{B} = \{1, t, t^2, t^3\}$, we have

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt} \right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \left[\frac{d}{dt} 1 \right]_{\mathcal{B}} & \left[\frac{d}{dt} t \right]_{\mathcal{B}} & \left[\frac{d}{dt} t^2 \right]_{\mathcal{B}} & \left[\frac{d}{dt} t^3 \right]_{\mathcal{B}} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} //$$

Now let's specialize further: to $V = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the transformation given by $\vec{v} \mapsto A\vec{v}$ for some $n \times n$ matrix A .

(Clearly, $A = [T]_{\mathcal{E}}$ (\mathcal{E} = standard basis). How do we find $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ for some other basis \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{R}^n ?

(Remember, we must have $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T(\vec{v})]_{\mathcal{B}}$.)

Theorem: $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A P_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Proof: $P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A P_{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A \vec{v} = P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} T(\vec{v})$
 $= [T(\vec{v})]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

Ex 4 / Find the B-matrix of the transformation

$$\vec{x} \xrightarrow{T} A\vec{x},$$

where $B = \{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$P_B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P_B^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{So } [T]_B = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} //$$

So why is this useful?

Ex 5 / Find a matrix for rotating \mathbb{R}^3 90° about (the axis spanned by) $\vec{b}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$, given that $\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\vec{b}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ are perpendicular (their dot products $\vec{b}_1 \cdot \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_1 \cdot \vec{b}_3, \vec{b}_2 \cdot \vec{b}_3$ are all 0), and \vec{b}_2 & \vec{b}_3 are the same length.

The rotation must have $T(\vec{b}_1) = \vec{b}_1, T(\vec{b}_2) = \vec{b}_3, T(\vec{b}_3) = -\vec{b}_2$.

$$\text{So } [T]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$A = P_B [T]_B P_B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 & 8 \\ 8 & 4 & 1 \\ -4 & 7 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ — not a matrix you would have guessed!} //$$

Why is this relevant to eigenvectors & eigenvalues?

If \mathcal{B} is an eigenbasis, say in \mathbb{R}^3 , then

$$T(\vec{b}_1) = \lambda_1 \vec{b}_1, \quad T(\vec{b}_2) = \lambda_2 \vec{b}_2, \quad T(\vec{b}_3) = \lambda_3 \vec{b}_3 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } \underline{\underline{\text{diagonal}}} \quad \Rightarrow$$

$P_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} A P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is diagonal (faster than our earlier approach!).

To reiterate:

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}} \text{ is diagonal} \iff \mathcal{B} \text{ is an eigenbasis for } T.$$