

Lecture 38: Several complex variables III

I. Bochner-Martinelli formula

Throughout, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ denotes a bounded domain with C^1 boundary: i.e. $\exists \rho \in C^1(U)$, $U \supset \partial\mathcal{D}$, s.t. $\Omega \cap U = \{\underline{z} \in U \mid \rho(\underline{z}) < 0\}$ and $\bar{\nabla}\rho \neq \vec{0}$ on $\partial\mathcal{D}$.
(This is equivalent to saying $\partial\mathcal{D}$ is a differentiable manifold.)

Let's start by recalling a version of Stokes's theorem.

A differential form of type (p,q) on \mathcal{D} is a formal sum

$$\omega := \sum_{|I|=p, |J|=q} \omega_{\alpha\beta} d\underline{z}_I \wedge d\bar{\underline{z}}_J,$$

i.e. $d\underline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\underline{z}_{i_p}$

and is said to be of class $C^k \iff$ the functions $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ are.

We write $\omega \in E^{p,q}(C^k(\mathcal{D}))$, and

$$E^d(C^k(\mathcal{D})) := \bigoplus_{p+q=d} E^{p,q}(C^k(\mathcal{D})) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{differential forms} \\ \text{of degree } d \end{array} \right).$$

One has differential operators

$$\partial: E^{p,q}(C^k(\mathcal{D})) \rightarrow E^{p+1,q}(C^{k-1}(\mathcal{D}))$$

$$\bar{\partial}: E^{p,q}(C^k(\mathcal{D})) \rightarrow E^{p,q+1}(C^{k-1}(\mathcal{D}))$$

$$\text{and } d = \partial + \bar{\partial}: E^d(C^k(\mathcal{D})) \rightarrow E^{d+1}(C^{k-1}(\mathcal{D})),$$

defined by
$$\partial\omega = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_j \frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial z_j} dz_j \wedge dz_{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{\alpha_p}$$

$$\bar{\partial}\omega = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_j \frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j \wedge dz_{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{\alpha_p}.$$

Note that $\partial\partial\omega$, $\bar{\partial}\partial\omega$, and $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega$ are all 0. [Exercise]

The support of ω is the closure of the set in D where some $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is nonzero, $\text{supp}(\omega)$. If this is compact we write $\omega \in E''(C_c^\infty(D))$. Finally, we have

Stokes's Theorem For $\omega \in E^d(C^k(\bar{D}))$, ↖ as usual, we mean "on an open subset of \bar{D} "

$$\int_{\partial D} \omega = \int_D d\omega.$$

Now we are ready to compute. We are after an analogue of the Cauchy integral formula (including the more general one for non-holomorphic functions) in several variables. Writing

$$\omega(\underline{z}) := dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n = d\underline{z}$$

$$\eta(\underline{z}) := \left\langle \sum_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \omega(\underline{z}) \right\rangle = \sum_j (-1)^{j+1} z_j dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dz_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n,$$

notice that Stokes \Rightarrow

$$\int_{\partial B(\underline{z}^0, \epsilon)} \eta(\underline{z}) \wedge \omega(\underline{z}) = \int_{B(\underline{z}^0, \epsilon)} n \cdot \omega(\underline{z}) \wedge \omega(\underline{z})$$

$$= n (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} (2i)^n \epsilon^{2n} \underbrace{\text{Vol}(B_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n})}_{\pi^n/n!}$$

$$= n \epsilon^{2n} (-i)^n \frac{(2\pi i)^n}{n!}$$

$$=: n \epsilon^{2n} W(n).$$

Now let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{D})$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $\mathcal{D}_{z,\epsilon} := \mathcal{D} \setminus \overline{B}(z,\epsilon)$,

$$L_z(w) := \frac{f(w) \eta(\overline{w-z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}}.$$

Then

$$d_w(L_z(w)) = \frac{\overline{\partial} f(w) \wedge \eta(\overline{w-z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}} \quad \left(\text{Exercise: check that } \overline{\partial} \left(\frac{\eta(\overline{w-z})}{|w-z|^{2n}} \right) = 0 \right)$$

and

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_{z,\epsilon}} \frac{\overline{\partial} f(w) \wedge \eta(\overline{w-z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}} \stackrel{\text{Stokes}}{=} \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}_{z,\epsilon}} L_z(w)$$

($O(|w-z|^{-2n}) \Rightarrow$ integral converges $\omega \epsilon \rightarrow 0$)

where

$$\int_{\partial B(z,\epsilon)} L_z(w) = \underbrace{f(z) \int_{\partial B(z,\epsilon)} \frac{\eta(\overline{w-z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}}}_{n \cancel{\epsilon^{2n}} W(n) / \cancel{\epsilon^{2n}}} + \underbrace{\int_{\partial B(z,\epsilon)} \frac{(f(w) - f(z)) \eta(\overline{w-z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}}}_{1 \cdot |\leq \text{Vol}(\partial B)| \cdot \frac{C \epsilon^2}{\epsilon^{2n}} = C' \epsilon \rightarrow 0}$$

hence

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (*) = \int_{\partial B} L_z(w) - n W(n) f(z).$$

So we have proved

Theorem 1 (Bochner - Martinelli formula) Writing $C_n := \frac{1}{n!V(n)}$

$$f(z) = C_n \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(w) \eta(\bar{w}-\bar{z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}} - C_n \int_D \frac{\bar{\partial} f(w) \wedge \eta(\bar{w}-\bar{z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}},$$

for any $f \in C^1(\bar{D})$ and $z \in D$.

Remark // Note $C_n = \frac{(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}+1} (n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n}$. The Bochner - Martinelli kernel is

$$K_{BM}^n(z, w) = C_n \frac{\eta(\bar{w}-\bar{z}) \wedge \omega(w)}{|w-z|^{2n}}$$

and is important not just here but in the theory of distributions/ currents. The formula reads

$$f(z) = - \int_{\partial D} f(w) K(z, w) + \int_D \bar{\partial} f(w) \wedge K(z, w). //$$

Corollary 1 (i) $[f \in C_c^1(D)]$ $f(z) = \int_D \bar{\partial} f(w) \wedge K(z, w)$

(ii) $[\bar{\partial} f = 0]$ $f(z) = \int_{\partial D} f(w) K(z, w)$

(special cases)

(iii) $[n=1]$ $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_D \frac{\partial f / \partial \bar{w}(w)}{w-z} d\bar{w} \wedge dw$

(iv) $[n \geq 1 \text{ \& } \bar{\partial} f = 0]$ $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw.$

Corollary 2 $\bar{\partial} f = 0 \Rightarrow f$ is C^∞ .

Proof: Apply Cor. 1 (ii) and differentiate under the \int , using the fact that (wrt of $w=z$) K is C^∞ in w . \square

Remarks // (a) Contrast (i) to $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_1 \times \dots \times \partial D_n} \frac{f(w) \omega(w)}{(w_1 - z_1) \dots (w_n - z_n)}$

— i.e. iterated Cauchy, on a subset of the boundary of a polydisk. This "toric" nature makes it less flexible than the "spherical" B-M approach.

(b) In (ii), the kernel is, while $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in \mathbb{C}^2 [Exercise], not holomorphic* (because the coefficients are not: e.g. for $n=2$, $\frac{(\bar{w}_1 - \bar{z}_1) d\bar{w}_2 - (\bar{w}_2 - \bar{z}_2) d\bar{w}_1}{(|w_1 - z_1|^2 + |w_2 - z_2|^2)^2} \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2$). So you don't get a "representation theorem" for $n > 1$ producing a holomorphic function from something C^1 or C^0 on ∂D . //

* This is possible b/c it is a differential form, not a function!

II. The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

Let $\psi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{C})$ — say, supported on some compact in D_R . We want to solve the 1-variable $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

$$\bar{\partial} u(z) = \psi(z) d\bar{z},$$

i.e. $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} = \psi$. By Corollary 1 (iii), together with compact support,

$$\psi(z) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{D_R} \left(\frac{\partial \psi / \partial \bar{w}(w)}{w-z} \right) d\bar{w} \wedge dw$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \psi / \partial \bar{w}(w+z)}{w} d\bar{w} \wedge dw$$

Change of coordinates

$$= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\psi(w+z)}{w} d\bar{w} \wedge dw$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\psi(w)}{w-z} d\bar{w} \wedge dw,$$

and taking

$$u(z) := \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\psi(w)}{w-z} d\bar{w} \wedge dw$$

does the job. So convolution with the kernel $d\bar{w} \wedge dw / w$ solves the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in 1-variable.

What about the several-variable case?

We start with $\Psi = \sum \Psi_j d\bar{z}_j \in E^{0,1}(C^1(\mathbb{C}^n))$.

If we are to have $\Psi = \bar{\partial} u$, $u \in C^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then

$$0 = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \Rightarrow \bar{\partial} \Psi = 0, \text{ i.e. } \boxed{\frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell} = \frac{\partial \Psi_\ell}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \forall j, \ell} \quad (f)$$

[Exercise]

Theorem 2 (Poincaré lemma) If $\bar{\partial} \Psi = 0$, then
[for functions]

for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$(**) \quad u_j(z) := \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\Psi_j(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, s, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n)}{s - z_j} d\bar{s} ds$$

has $\bar{\partial} u_j = \Psi$, and $u_j \in C^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (or $C^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if Ψ is C^k).

Proof: $n=1$ is done already. If $n > 1$, we claim that

u_j is compactly supported (false for $n=1$!):

- $\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell} = \Psi_\ell = 0$ for $|\bar{z}_\ell|$ large $\Rightarrow u_j$ holo. in each variable separately $\Rightarrow u_j$ holo. outside D_R^n
- $(**)$ $\Rightarrow u_j = 0$ for $|\bar{z}_j|$ large

$\Rightarrow u_j = 0$ outside D_R^n .

Now

Cor. 1 (iii) + compact support

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda(z) &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{D_R} \frac{\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(z_1, \dots, s, \dots, z_n)}{s - z_j} d\bar{s} \wedge ds \\ &\stackrel{\text{change of coord. + use (†)}}{=} \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(z_1, \dots, s+z_j, \dots, z_n)}{s} d\bar{s} \wedge ds \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\psi_j(z_1, \dots, s+z_j, \dots, z_n)}{s} d\bar{s} \wedge ds \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\psi_j(z_1, \dots, s, \dots, z_n)}{s - z_j} d\bar{s} \wedge ds. \end{aligned}$$



Remark // The C^k part of the proof is an exercise using \int by parts. All we'll need is $\psi \in C^\infty \Rightarrow u \in C^\infty$. //