

# HW #6 (Solutions)

1)

We have  $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - r_i)$ ,  $f'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \prod_{i \neq j} (x - r_i) \right)$

thus  $f'(r_k) = \prod_{i \neq k} (r_k - r_i)$  since other terms contain  $r_k - r_k$

$$(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n f'(r_i) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} (r_i - r_j) = \prod_{i < j} (r_i - r_j)^2 = d$$

Let  $\lambda_p(x) = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \dots + 1$ , We have  $x^p - 1 = (x-1)\lambda_p(x)$

Differentiate both sides and we have  $p x^{p-1} = \lambda_p(x) + (x-1)\lambda_p'(x)$ .

The roots of  $\lambda_p(x)$  are  $\zeta_p^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ ).

$$\text{So } (\zeta_p^i - 1)\lambda_p'(\zeta_p^i) = p \cdot \zeta_p^{i(p-1)}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^i - 1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_p'(\zeta_p^i) = p^{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{i(p-1)}$$

Case I:  $p=2$ ,  $\zeta_2^{-1} = -1$ ,  $\zeta_2 = -1$

$$d = -1 = -1$$

Case II:  $p > 2$ , In this case  $p$  is odd,  $p-1$  is even

$$\zeta_p^i = \left( \zeta_p^i \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^i - 1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{r+k} \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{p-1} \zeta_p^i \dots \zeta_p^{ik} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{p-1-k} \cdot (-1)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} 1 = p \end{aligned}$$

$$d = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_p'(\zeta_p^i) = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \cdot p / p = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \cdot p^{p-2}$$

2)

$E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is a quadratic subfield of  $\mathbb{A}_p$ .

Notice that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_p/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is cyclic, its subgroup of order 2 is unique

$\Rightarrow$  the quadratic subfield of  $\mathbb{A}_p$  is unique

When  $p = 4n+1$ ,  $d = p^{p-2} > 0$ ,  $\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

When  $p = 4n+3$ ,  $d = -p^{p-2} < 0$ ,  $\sqrt{d} \notin \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E \not\subset \mathbb{R}$ .

3)

Since  $L/F$  is normal,  $L = \text{Inv } N$ , so  $N \triangleleft G$ . By P243 #1, we have

$$\text{Inv}(H \cap N) = F \cap L = E \text{ so } H \cap N = \{1\}$$

Also,  $G = \text{Aut}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle H, N \rangle = HN$  (since  $N \triangleleft G$ ), we have

a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{n} G \xrightarrow{h} H \rightarrow 1$   
 $n \xrightarrow{h \circ n} h$

well defined by  $H \cap N = \{1\}$

So we get  $G = N \rtimes H$ .

If  $K/F$  and  $L/F$  are normal, we have  $H \triangleleft G, N \triangleleft G$ , so the short exact seq splits, then  $G = H \times N$ .

P277 #4. We know that if  $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k}$ ,  $Z_n = Z_{p_1^{e_1}} \times \dots \times Z_{p_k^{e_k}}$

First consider  $Z_{p^2}$ .

Case I.  $p=2$  By thm 4.21  $\text{Gal}(\lambda_{2^{2m}}) = Z_2 \times Z_{2^e}$  and we have a subfield  $F$  of the sFE of  $\lambda_{2^{2m}}$ .  $\text{Aut}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \cong Z_{2^e}$ .

Case II.  $p \neq 2$ ,  $\text{Gal}(\lambda_{p^{2m}}) = Z_{p^{2m}}^* = Z_{p^{e(p-1)}}$  and  $Z_{p^e} \subset Z_{p^{e(p-1)}}$ , so we have a subfield  $F$  of the sFE of  $\lambda_{p^{2m}}$ .  $\text{Aut}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \cong Z_{p^e}$ .

In conclusion, for each  $p_i^{e_i}$ , we have  $F_i$  s.t.  $\text{Aut}(F_i/\mathbb{Q}) \cong Z_{p_i^{e_i}}$ .

Notice that  $F_i \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i^{e_i+1}})$  if  $i \neq j, F_i \cap F_j = \mathbb{Q}$ .  
 Consider  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\{F_i\})$  - by P243 #2, we have  $\text{Aut}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = Z_{p_1^{e_1}} \times \dots \times Z_{p_k^{e_k}} = Z_n$ .

4) For an algebraic  $u \neq 0$ , notice  $\sin u = \frac{1}{2i}(e^{iu} - e^{-iu})$ ,  $(e^{iu})^2 - 2i \sin u e^{iu} - 1 = 0$  so  $e^{iu}$  is also algebraic since the field of algebraic numbers is algebraic closed. This contradicts to Lindemann-Weierstrass Thm SIS

5)  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(x^4+1)/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$  by thm 4.21  
 $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(x^5+1)/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong Z_{10}^* \cong Z_4$  SIS

Since  $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \xi^{pk} - \xi = 0$ , so  $[Z_p(\xi), Z_p] \leq k$ .  
 DTDH,  $\sigma: \xi \mapsto \xi^p \in \text{Aut}(Z_p(\xi)/Z_p)$  and  $\langle \sigma \rangle = k$ , so  $[Z_p(\xi), Z_p] \geq k$  SIS

6. a. We know from theorem 4.26 that the Frobenius automorphism generates the Galois group. It suffices to find the order of  $\omega$  under the map  $a \rightarrow a^p$ . This is clearly the same as the order of  $\bar{p}$  in the group  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ , as we want to know what is the smallest power of  $p$  greater than 1 such that  $p^e = 1 \pmod m$ .

b. We know  $\lambda_m$  as  $\phi(m)$  roots given by the  $m$ th primitive roots of unity, and the derivative test shows these roots are distinct. If the polynomial is irreducible, then the splitting field is a Galois extension and has degree  $\phi(m)$ . This is equal to the order of the Galois group, which is generated by the Frobenius automorphism, so the Frobenius automorphism must have order  $\phi(m)$ . By the reasoning above,  $\bar{p}$  has full order in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$  and generates it.

Suppose  $\bar{p}$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ . Then the Frobenius automorphism  $a \rightarrow a^p$  has order equal to the degree of  $\lambda_m$ . The Frobenius automorphism is an automorphism of the splitting field that fixes the base field, and from the remarks at the top of page 236 we see  $|G|$  is less than or equal to the degree of the splitting field. Since  $|G| = \phi(m)$  and the degree of the splitting field is at most  $\phi(m)$  (as adjoining one primitive root gets all  $m$ th roots of unity), we have equality.

c. By the above  $\lambda_m$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}_4^*$  is generated by  $\bar{p}$ . When  $p \neq 2$ , this is the case if and only if  $p$  is 3 mod 4. It has 1 as a root when  $p = 2$  and is reducible there.

Again,  $\lambda_8$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}_8^*$  is generated by  $\bar{p}$ . But this multiplicative group is never cyclic, so it is never the case it is irreducible (because when  $p = 2$  it has 1 as a root, which can be factored out).

7. By the above problem, it suffices to determine if  $\mathbb{Z}_{18}^*$ , which has  $\phi(18) = 6$  elements, is generated by  $\bar{p}$ . Observe that 5 is a generator, so the only generators are 5 and  $5^5 = 11$ .

a. Here 23 is 5 mod 18 and hence a generator, so it is irreducible.

b. Note 43 is 7 mod 18 and not a generator, so it is reducible.

c. Note 73 is 1 mod 18 and clearly not a generator, so it is reducible.

8. Let  $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, t_1, \dots, t_j)$  where the  $\alpha_i$  are algebraic over  $K$  and the  $t_i$  are transcendental. Let  $T$  be a maximal subset of the  $t_i$  so that  $T$  is algebraically independent over  $K$ . Then  $T$  is a transcendence basis since  $L/K(T)$  is algebraic. We now see that  $L = K(T, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , so it is finite dimensional over  $K(T)$  since each  $\alpha_i$  is algebraic. Let this finite dimension be  $d$ .

Suppose  $K_\alpha$  is not finitely generated and hence not finite. Then we can find  $d + 1$  algebraic elements  $\alpha_i$  in  $L$  linearly independent over  $K$ . Then they are also linearly independent over  $K(T)$ . Suppose not. Then there is some linear combination  $\sum c_i \alpha_i = 0$  with  $c_i \in T$  and not all  $c_i$  contained in  $K$ . Suppose without loss of generality that some  $c_i$  term contains  $t_1$  terms. Clearing denominators if needed, this shows that  $t_1$  is algebraic over  $K(T \setminus t_1)$ . Then  $L$  is algebraic over  $K(T/t_1)$ , and we have produced a small transcendence basis. This is a contradiction, as transcendence degree is well-defined.

But now we have  $d + 1$  elements of  $L$  linearly independent over  $K(T)$ , which is absurd.

9. a. Suppose first that  $n$  has no repeated prime factors and that the prime factors are  $p_i$ . Then every primitive  $n$ th root of unity can be written as a product of powers of  $p_i$ th roots of unity. That is,  $\xi = \prod \xi_{p_i}^{e_i}$  for  $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^*$ . Clearly all Galois conjugates are given by the  $e_i$  running through all possible values. It suffices to show this is a basis. By the proof of the tower theorem, it suffices to show that the primitive  $p_i$ th roots of unity form a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p_i}, \xi_{p_{i-1}}, \dots, \xi_{p_1})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p_{i-1}}, \dots, \xi_{p_1})$ . Then the result follows by induction, since the base case is trivial. Note that this is equivalent to showing that  $\xi_{p_i}$  is a primitive element for the extension and the extension has degree  $p - 1$ , since then  $1, \xi_{p_i}, \dots, \xi_{p_i}^{p-2}$  will be a basis for the extension, which is equivalent to  $\xi_{p_i}, \dots, \xi_{p_i}^{p-2}, \xi_{p_i}^{p-1}$  being a basis (since the sum of the primitive  $p$ th roots is 1). That it is a primitive element is obvious.

If  $m = \prod_1^i p_k$ , then the top field is the splitting field for  $\lambda_m$ . This is irreducible and has degree  $\phi(m) = \prod_1^i (p_k - 1)$ . The lower field is the splitting field of  $\lambda_{m/p_i}$  and has degree  $\prod_1^{i-1} (p_k - 1)$ . Hence the extension has degree  $p_i - 1$ .

b. Suppose that  $n$  has repeated prime factors, so that  $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$  where, without loss of generality,  $e_1 > 1$ . Then if  $\xi_n$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity, we have  $\xi_n = \prod \xi_{p_i}^{j_i e_i}$ . Let  $\omega_m = \xi_{p_1}^m \prod_{i>1} \xi_{p_i}^{j_i e_i}$ . Then

$$\omega_1(1 + \omega_{p_1} + \omega_{2p_1} + \dots) = 0$$

and each term is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity, since the exponent is in the multiplicative group mod  $p_1^{e_1}$ , so they are not linearly independent.

An example makes this much clearer. If  $\xi$  is a 9th root of unity, we have  $\xi(1 + \xi^3 + \xi^6) = 0$ , since  $\xi^3$  is a third root of unity, and if  $\omega$  is a  $n$ th root of unity,  $1 + \omega + \dots + \omega^{n-1} = 0$ . The above sum is just this repeated for the general case.