

D. Curvature of period domains

Let M = Hermitian manifold w./ complex structure J & metric h ,
 $p \in M$,

$P = \mathbb{R} \langle \xi, J(\xi) \rangle \subset T_{M,p}$ ($=$ real tangent bundle)
a J -invariant plane,

S = the surface consisting of geodesics emanating from p tangent to P .

The holomorphic sectional curvature $K(\xi, p)$ is the Gaussian curvature of S at p .

Example 1: Here are 4 1-C-dim! cases where the Gaussian curvature

$$K := \frac{-\partial z \partial \bar{z} \log p}{p} = -1 \quad (\text{where } h = p dz \otimes d\bar{z})$$

$$(a) \quad D (= M), \quad \frac{1}{(-|z|^2)^2} (= p(z))$$

$$(c) \quad h, \quad \frac{1}{y^2}$$

$$(b) \quad D_R, \quad \frac{R^2}{(R^2 - |z|^2)^2}$$

$$(d) \quad D^*, \quad \frac{1}{|z|^2 (\log |z|^2)^2}$$

easy Ex/
 up to const.,
 with $E = \exp(2m(z))$
 $E^* h_D = h_A$.

Example 2: D period domain, F_0 reference HS, $T_{D, F_0} \cong \mathfrak{g}^-$.

We need, first, a Hermitian metric h_D on D .

Lemma 1: $-B$ polarizes the HS on \mathfrak{g}^- , where

$$B(X, Y) := \text{Tr}(\text{ad } X \circ \text{ad } Y)$$

is the Killing form.

* if M were isometrically embedded in \mathbb{R}^N , this would be the product
of the reciprocals of the maximal & minimal radii of normal osculating circles.

Proof: Since $C \in G_{IR}$ (why?), one can define a Hermitian form by (209)

$$\langle X, Y \rangle := -B((\text{Ad } C)X, \bar{Y}).$$

Also set $n = i\mathbb{K}_R \oplus P_R = \{\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_C \mid (\text{Ad } C)\beta = -\bar{\beta}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$

Ex/ For $\beta \in n$, $\langle (\text{ad } \beta)X, Y \rangle = \langle X, (\text{ad } \beta)Y \rangle$. //

So $\text{ad } \beta$ self-adjoint \Rightarrow diagonalizable (on \mathfrak{g}) with real eigenvalues

\Rightarrow for $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-p,p}$,

$$\langle X, X \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle X + \bar{X}, X + \bar{X} \rangle \stackrel{\{\beta, p \text{ odd}}{=} \stackrel{\{i\beta, p \text{ even}}{=} \begin{aligned} &= \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2} (-1)^p \text{Tr ad } \beta \text{ ad } \beta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-p,p}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{-q,q}$ \Rightarrow $\text{ad } X \text{ ad } Y$ sends $\mathfrak{g}^{-a,a}$ to $\mathfrak{g}^{-(a+p+q), (a+p+q)}$

\Rightarrow trace = 0 unless $p = -q$

\Rightarrow (HR I). □

Extend the inner product \langle , \rangle via translation by G_{IR} to a Hermitian metric on D . ($= h_D$)

Lemma 2: For $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-p,p}$, $K(X, F_0) = (-1)^{p+1} \frac{B([X, \bar{X}], [\bar{X}, \bar{X}])}{\langle X, X \rangle^2}$.

or see p. 213

"Proof": need theory of Lie algebras + curvature of homogeneous bundles
(Maurer-Cartan formulas).

See [Griffiths-Schmid], "Locally homogeneous compact manifolds". □

Theorem 1: The holomorphic sectional curvatures in W ($=$ horizontal distribution) are negative* and bounded away from zero (can normalize so ≤ -1).

"Proof": take $p=1$ in lemma 2. $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is negative definite on $\mathfrak{g}^{0,0} (\ni [X, \bar{X}])$ and one can show $[X, \bar{X}] \neq 0$ if $X \neq 0$. To see "bounded above" at F_0 , take the maximum over $\begin{cases} \langle X, X \rangle = 1 \\ X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1} \end{cases}$; it is then bounded

* more generally, they are positive in k and negative in p .

above on all of D by G_R -invariance of h_D .

(210) \square

Write ω_h for the $(1,1)$ form associated to a Hermitian metric.

On a complex 1-manifold, $\begin{cases} \omega_h = i h dz d\bar{z} \\ \text{Ric } \omega_h = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h = -K \omega_h \end{cases}$

Lemma 3 (Ahlfors): Given $f: D_R \rightarrow M$, a Hermitian metric on M with $K \leq -1$,

we have

$$\boxed{f^* \omega_h \leq \omega_{h_R}}$$

Proof: Writing for any $r < R$

$$\psi := f^* \omega_h = \mu \omega_{h_r},$$

$\omega_{h_r} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow r$ $\Rightarrow \mu$ bounded on D_r

\Rightarrow has interior max (say, at z_0)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 0 &\geq i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \mu \\ &= \text{Ric } \psi - \text{Ric } \omega_{h_r} \quad \left. \right\} (\text{at } z_0) \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} K=-1 \\ \text{resp } \leq -1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \psi - \omega_{h_r} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(z_0) \leq 1 \quad (\text{max value!})$$

$\Rightarrow \psi \leq \omega_{h_r}$ on D_r . Take $r \rightarrow R$. \square

Lemma 4 (Schwarz): Given $f: D \rightarrow \tilde{M}$, with image tangent to directions for which $K \leq -1$, the map is distance decreasing:

$$f^* \omega_h \leq \omega_D.$$

Proof: Apply Lemma 3 to the case $M = f(D) \subset \tilde{M}$, $h = [h]_M$. \square

The proof easily generalizes to replace D by h, D^* .

Consider a VHS \mathbb{D}^* , with period map $\mathbb{D}^* \xrightarrow{\Phi} \langle T \rangle \backslash D$ (21) and lifting (via $q(\tau) = e^{2\pi i \tau}$) satisfying $\tilde{\Phi}(\tau+1) = T(\tilde{\Phi}(\tau))$.

Lemma 5: $\tilde{\Phi}$ is distance-decreasing with respect to the Riemannian metric associated to h_R and h_D ($= G_R$ -invariant metric above) :

$$d_D(\tilde{\Phi}(p_1), \tilde{\Phi}(p_2)) \leq d_{h_R}(p_1, p_2).$$

Proof: Apply Theorem 1 and Lemma 4. \square

Theorem 2: Any VHS over \mathbb{C}^* is isotrivial : i.e. $\tilde{\Phi}$ is constant.

Proof: Start with $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\Phi} D$. By Ahlfors, $\Phi_R := \Phi|_{D_R}$ satisfies

$$\Phi_R^* \omega_{h_D} \leq C \cdot \omega_{h_R} \Rightarrow (0 \leq) J(0) \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{R^2} \quad (\text{VR})$$

$$; J(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z} \Rightarrow J(0) = 0.$$

Of course, we can do this about any other point of \mathbb{C} . So

$J \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \Phi_R$ constant. To see the result for \mathbb{C}^* , lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & D \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \langle T \rangle & \\ \mathbb{C} & \dashrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

\square

Corollary 1: Let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1$ be a projective family with smooth general fiber (\Rightarrow only finitely many singular fibers) inducing a non-isotrivial VHS (from $d\pi^*_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}(\mu_X)$). Then π has at least 3 singular fibers.

Ex/ Find a family of elliptic curves with only 3 singular fibers and nonconstant J -invariant. This proves Cor. 1 sharp. Why? //

* the local system can still have finite monodromies : hence "isotrivial" and not "trivial".

Theorem 3 (Monodromy Theorem): Given a VHS $(\mathbb{D}^*, \mathcal{T})$, \mathcal{T} as above. (212)

Then \mathcal{T} is quasi-unipotent: $\exists m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $(T^m - \mathbb{I})^N = 0$.

Proof: By lemma 5, $d_D(\tilde{\Phi}(in), \underbrace{T(\tilde{\Phi}(in))}_{(=\tilde{\Phi}(in+1))}) \leq d_H(in, in+1) = \frac{1}{n}$. (why?)

Writing $\tilde{\Phi}(in) = g_n H$ (cons: $g_n \in G_R$), G_R -invariance of $h_D \Rightarrow$

$$d_D(eH, g_n^{-1} T g_n H) = d_D(g_n H, T g_n H) \leq \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n^{-1} T g_n \in H.$$

\Rightarrow ccl of T has a limit point in the compact subgroup $H_{(0)} \subseteq G$

$$\xrightarrow{*} |\text{eigenvalues}(T)| = 1.$$

Moreover, $T \in G_Z \Rightarrow \text{eigenvalues}(T)$ solve $\det(\lambda \mathbb{I} - T) = 0$, which
(monic integral eqn.)

together w/ above \Rightarrow

$$\begin{cases} (\text{a}) \text{ belong to } \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \\ (\text{b}) \text{ have } |\cdot| = 1 \\ (\text{c}) \text{ all Galois conjugates have } |\cdot| = 1. \end{cases}$$

kanischer

\Rightarrow

they are roots of 1!

then

(# they)

(b/c these conjugates
are also eigenvalues
of T)

\Rightarrow some T^m has eigenvalues ≤ 1 .

Putting it in Jordan form, we see $T^m - \mathbb{I}$ is nilpotent. \square

There are geometric proofs of this theorem (for $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S \subset \mathbb{P}^1$), but as you can see, it is valid more generally. This is why the geometry, algebraic structure, and cohomology of period domains is worth studying!

* $h \in H$ with $|\text{eigenvalues}| \neq 1$ would contradict compactness of H ; and 2 elts. in same ccl have same eigenvalues.

Appendix to curve (with a proof of Lemma 2)

(213)