

Appendix A: Results from Complex Algebraic Geometry

(A1)

M compact Kähler, $L \rightarrow M$ holo. line bundle

proofs will be sketchy.
Griffiths-Harris & Voisin
are obvious ref's.

Preliminaries on line bundles

① Given a Hermitian metric h on L , and the sections $\sigma_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha, L)$ arising from the local trivializations of L , we had

$$\rho_\alpha := h(\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{(L,h)} := \frac{-\partial\bar{\partial} \log \rho_\alpha}{2\pi i}$$

These piece together on the $\{U_\alpha\}$ to give a global closed real (1,1) form

We also defined $c_1(L)$ as the image of $L \leftrightarrow \{g_{\alpha\beta}\} \in H^1(M, \mathcal{O}^*)$ under $H^1(M, \mathcal{O}^*) \xrightarrow{\sigma} H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and had the result

$$c_1(L) = [\omega_{(L,h)}] \in H^{1,1}(M) \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

② Given $N \subset M$ codim. 1 submanifold, we defined a line bundle $L_N \rightarrow M$ with sheaf of sections $\mathcal{O}_M(N)$. Recall that $G_N := H_{\text{div}}^k(N) \rightarrow H_{\text{div}}^{k+2}(M)$

was defined by taking \exists a log-form on M with $2\pi i$ -residue equal to the given form on N , and applying $\bar{\partial}$. If $\{f_\alpha = 0\}$ are the local holomorphic equations for N in each U_α , then the $\{|f_\alpha|^2 \rho_\alpha\}$ agree on overlaps, and $\partial\bar{\partial} \log |f_\alpha|^2 = 0$. Hence, $\omega_{(L,h)} = \frac{-\partial\bar{\partial} \log \rho_\alpha}{2\pi i} = \frac{+\bar{\partial} \partial \log |f_\alpha|^2 \rho_\alpha}{2\pi i} = \bar{\partial} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \log |f_\alpha|^2 \rho_\alpha \right\}$. But the bracketed form has $2\pi i \text{Res}_N \{ \dots \} = 1_N$. We conclude that

$$c_1(L) = \frac{G_N([1_N])}{2\pi i}$$

③ $L > 0 \iff \exists h$ s.t. $\omega_{(L,h)} > 0$
defn.

(T) with the natural generalization to divisors
 $D \leftrightarrow L_D \leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(D)$
 \uparrow
Div(M)

④ $L = L_D$ for some $D \in \text{Div}(M) \iff L$ has a meromorphic section

Proof: recall
(from Exercise in PS #4(?))

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\implies \text{Div}(M) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(M, \mathcal{M}^*) \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

holo. line bdl.
 \cong

but this is the definition of a meromorphic section!
 $\exists \{f_\alpha\}$ meromorphic s.t.
 $g_\alpha \beta = f_\alpha / f_\beta \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$

□

⑤ $\exists L > 0 \iff \exists$ integral Kähler class

i.e. \exists a positive d-closed real (1,1)-form ω with $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.
 (this is the Kähler class)

Proof: (\implies) is trivial: take $\omega = \omega_{(L,h)}$, & use ③ & ①.

(\impliedby) : from exp exact sequence,

$$(\text{Pic}(M) = H^1(M, \mathcal{O}^*)) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{F^1 H^2(M, \mathbb{C})} H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) / F^1 H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$$

(Hodge/Dolbeault)

ker = $H^{1,1}(M) \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

So given $\omega \in A_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(M)$ d-closed with $\omega > 0$ & $[\omega] \in \text{ker}$,
 $\exists L \in \text{Pic}(M)$ s.t. $c_1(L) = [\omega]$. But then for any h on L ,
 $[\omega_{(L,h)} - \omega] = 0 \xRightarrow{\text{dd-bar-Lemma}} \omega_{(L,h)} - \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial\bar{\partial} \phi$
 $\implies \omega_{(L, e^{\phi/h}}) = \omega$
 $\implies L > 0$.

□

Vanishing theorem + Consequences

Continue to assume:

A3

M compact Kähler, L holo. line bdl.
 $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = n$

Kodaira - Nakano Vanishing Theorem:

$$L > 0 \implies H^q(M, \Omega^p(L)) = \{0\} \quad \forall p+q > n$$

Proof: Take $\omega_{(L,h)}$ as Kähler metric, $\nabla :=$ Chern connection, \langle, \rangle_L as in the notes.

In notation of ①,

$$\nabla \sigma_\alpha = \nabla^{1,0} \sigma_\alpha = \left(\frac{h(\nabla^{1,0} \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)}{h(\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)} \right) \sigma_\alpha = \left(\partial \log \underbrace{h(\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)}_{\rho_\alpha} \right) \sigma_\alpha \implies$$

$$(*) \quad \nabla^2 \sigma_\alpha = \underbrace{(\partial \bar{\partial} \log \rho_\alpha)}_{\bar{\partial}} \sigma_\alpha + \underbrace{(\partial \log \rho_\alpha + \bar{\partial} \log \rho_\alpha)}_0 \sigma_\alpha = 2\pi i \omega_{(L,h)} \sigma_\alpha$$

Now given $\eta \in \mathcal{H}^q(M, \Omega^p(L))$ ($\implies \bar{\partial}_L \eta = \bar{\partial}_L^* \eta = 0$),

$$\nabla^2 \eta = (\nabla^{1,0} + \bar{\partial}_L)^2 \eta = \bar{\partial}_L \nabla^{1,0} \eta \implies$$

$$2i \langle \underbrace{\Lambda}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{adjoint} \\ \text{of } L = \omega_{(L,h)}}} \nabla^2 \eta, \eta \rangle_L = 2i \langle \Lambda \bar{\partial}_L \nabla^{1,0} \eta, \eta \rangle_L = 2i \langle \underbrace{(\bar{\partial}_L \Lambda)}_{\text{Kähler}} - \frac{i}{2} (\nabla^{1,0})^* \nabla^{1,0} \eta, \eta \rangle_L$$

$$= \|\nabla^{1,0} \eta\|_L^2 \geq 0$$

$$[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}_L] = -\frac{i}{2} (\nabla^{1,0})^* \quad \text{Identity}$$

as $\bar{\partial}_L^* \eta = 0$ (given)

$$2i \langle \nabla^2 \Lambda \eta, \eta \rangle_L = 2i \langle \nabla^{1,0} \bar{\partial}_L \Lambda \eta, \eta \rangle_L = 2i \langle \nabla^{1,0} (\underbrace{\Lambda}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{given } 0}}) + \frac{i}{2} (\nabla^{1,0})^* \eta, \eta \rangle_L$$

$$= -\|(\nabla^{1,0})^* \eta\|_L^2 \leq 0$$

Hence, $0 \leq 2i \langle [\Lambda, \nabla^2] \eta, \eta \rangle_L \stackrel{(*)}{=} (2\pi i) 2i \langle [\Lambda, L] \eta, \eta \rangle_L$

$$= -4\pi^2 \langle \underbrace{\gamma}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{multiply} \\ \text{by degree of form } \eta \\ \text{minus } n \\ p+q=n}} \eta, \eta \rangle_L = 4\pi^2 (n - (p+q)) \|\eta\|_L^2$$

$$n < p+q$$

$$\implies \|\eta\|_L^2 = 0$$

$$\implies \eta \equiv 0$$

□

7

Weak Lefschetz Theorem:

(dim $N = n-1$)

$N \xrightarrow{z} M$ smooth hypersurface,
 with $L_N > 0$. Then z^* is

$\begin{cases} \cong \\ \hookrightarrow \end{cases}$ on H^k for $\begin{cases} k \leq n-2 \\ k = n-1 \end{cases}$

[Key example: $M = X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $N = X \cap$ (smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^N)]
 assumed smooth: see ④ below.

by Hodge theory,

Proof: $H^k(M, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(M) = \bigoplus H^q(M, \Omega^p)$
 $\downarrow z^*$ \downarrow $\downarrow z^*$
 $H^k(N, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus H^{p,q}(N) = \bigoplus H^q(N, \Omega^p)$

It will suffice to prove \cong resp. \hookrightarrow on these grps.

We have the s.e.s.'s:

(*) $0 \rightarrow \Omega_M^p(-N) \rightarrow \Omega_M^p \rightarrow z_* (\Omega_M^p|_N) \rightarrow 0$ (sheaves on M)

(***) $0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_{N/M}^\vee \otimes \Omega_N^{p-1}}_{\mathcal{O}(-N)|_N \otimes \Omega_N^{p-1} = \Omega_N^{p-1}(L_N^{-1})} \rightarrow \Omega_M^p|_N \xrightarrow{z^*} \Omega_N^p \rightarrow 0$ (sheaves on N)

Kodaira vanishing $\Rightarrow H^q(M, \Omega_M^p(L_N^{-1})) \stackrel{\text{Serre duality}}{=} H^{n-q}(M, \Omega_M^{n-p}(L_N)) \stackrel{⑥}{=} \{0\}$ (†)

$H^q(N, \Omega_N^{p-1}(L_N^{-1}|_N)) = H^{n-q-1}(N, \Omega_N^{n-p}(L_N|_N)) \stackrel{⑥}{=} \{0\}$ (‡)

For $p+q < n-1$, $H^q(N, \Omega_M^p|_N) \xrightarrow{z^*} H^q(N, \Omega_N^p) \stackrel{(**)+(***)}{\cong}$

$H^q(M, \Omega_M^p) \xrightarrow{z^*} H^q(M, z_* (\Omega_M^p|_N))$ and " $z^* \circ |_N$ " is z^* on Dolbeault coh. groups.

So done in this case ($k \leq n-2$). The other case ($k = n-1$) is similar. □

[N.B.: The next result makes it clear that weak Lefschetz is a result for projective M .]

8 Kodaira embedding theorem: $\exists L > 0 \Rightarrow M$ projective.

[Important Remark: This proves Remark I.6.4 (the polarizable cx. vari are precisely the abelian varieties) and Example II.6.5.]

Proof: Show that the map $M \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}(H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L^{\otimes N}))^\vee)$
 $p \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{hyperplane of sections} \\ \text{vanishing at } p \end{array} \right\}^*$

is well-defined, separates points, and kills no tangent vector, for $N \gg 0$.
 (Then Chow's theorem (GAGA) \Rightarrow the resulting smooth compact cx. submanifold of \mathbb{P} is actually algebraic.)

I'll just sketch the well-definedness: by compactness, all you really have to show is $\exists \sigma \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L^{\otimes N}))$ with $\sigma(p) \neq 0$, for a given p .

also trivially restricts to E .

Let $\tilde{M} = B_p(M) \supset E$ be blow-up of M at p (note $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$),
 $\downarrow \beta \quad \downarrow$ and $\tilde{L} = \beta^* L$ be the pullback bundle.
 (note $\tilde{L}|_E = \mathcal{O}_E$)

One computes that $\beta^* K_M = K_{\tilde{M}}((-n+1)E)$ & $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(-E)|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)$ (cf. Viehweg),

so $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{L}^{\otimes N})(-E) = K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \left\{ \beta^* K_M^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(-nE) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{L}^{\otimes N}) \right\}$;
 $= \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{M}}^n(\text{positive})$ restricted to E is $\mathcal{O}_E(n)$,
 and it follows that for $N \gg 0$, this is positive on \tilde{M} .

and using $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{L}^{\otimes N})(-E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{L}^{\otimes N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{L}^{\otimes N})|_E \rightarrow 0$

$\textcircled{6} \Rightarrow H^0(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{L}^{\otimes N})) \rightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(\tilde{L}^{\otimes N}|_E)) \cong H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$
 $(H^1(\mathcal{N}^n(\text{pos.})) = 0)$ \parallel Hartogs $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$
 $H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(L^{\otimes N})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N}|_p$
 i.e. not every section evaluates to 0 at p . \square

* note: if you take basis $\{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r\} \subset H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L^{\otimes N}))$, then
 $\varphi(p) = \{0 = \underbrace{\{\sigma_i(p)\}}_{\text{dual basis}} \sigma_i^*\} \Rightarrow$ can think of φ as
 $p \mapsto [\sigma_0(p) : \sigma_1(p) : \dots : \sigma_r(p)] \in \mathbb{P}^r$.

9 Bertini's Theorem (baby case): Given $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ smooth projective.

Then for $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ a general hyper-surface (of some degree d), $X \cap H$ is smooth.

Proof: I'll just do the case of H a hyperplane ($d=1$).

Given a general pencil of hyperplanes $H_t = \{t_1 L_1 + t_2 L_2 = 0\}$ ($t = \frac{t_2}{t_1}$),

$f + tg = L_t|_X \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Set $H_t \cap X =: X_t = \{f + tg = 0\}$,

and suppose $\begin{cases} t \neq 0, \infty \\ X_t \text{ singular at } p \end{cases}$ then $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} + t \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_i}\right)(p) = 0 \quad (\forall i)$ in local coords.

Now suppose $p \in B := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1} X_t (= \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1} X_t) =: \text{"base locus" of pencil}$.

Then f & g cannot both vanish at $p \Rightarrow$ neither can $\Rightarrow t = -\frac{f(p)}{g(p)} =: t(p)$
 $\Rightarrow g(p) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(p) = f(p) \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_i}(p) \quad (\forall i) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)\right)\bigg|_p = 0 \quad (\forall i) \quad (*)$

Let $V \subset X|B$ be the locus of p where the unique $X_{t(p)}$ containing p is singular. Then $(*)$ is saying that each connected component of V has constant $t(p)$. Hence, \exists only finitely many t with $X_t \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and so only finitely many $X_t|B$ are singular.

Now, if a general hyperplane section was singular, then this would be true for any pencil through that hyperplane section.

But the base loci of these pencils do not intersect. □

10

A7

X smooth projective \Rightarrow every line bundle is of the form L_D , $D \in \text{Div}(X)$

Proof: By ④, we need only check that every line bundle admits a meromorphic section. We prove this by induction on $n = \dim X$. The inductive step uses Kodaira vanishing + Bertini.

Suppose that for every $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n \text{ of dim} < n \\ L \rightarrow Y \text{ holo. line bundle} \end{array} \right\}$,

$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L)(\mu H)) \neq \{0\}$ for $\mu \gg 0$. By ④, there

general notation for hyperplane, hyperplane section, associated bundle, etc.

exists $H = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ s.t. $Y := H \cap X$ is smooth. We have the s.e.s. (sheaves on X)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L)(\mu-1)H \xrightarrow{\otimes \sigma} \mathcal{O}_X(L)(\mu H) \xrightarrow{1_Y} \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{O}_Y(L)(\mu H)}_{\substack{H^0 \text{ of this } \neq \{0\} \\ \text{by induction}}} \rightarrow 0$$

(section of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ vanishing exactly on H)

with associated l.e.s.

$$\underbrace{H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)(\mu H))}_{(*)} \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L)(\mu H)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)(\mu-1)H)$$

$\{0\}$ " "
 $H^1(X, \mathcal{N}_X^n (K_X^{-1} \otimes L \otimes H^{\otimes (\mu-1)}))$
 > 0 for $\mu \gg 0$

$$(*) \neq \{0\} \iff \alpha \text{ surjective} \iff \{0\} \iff \{0\}$$

by ⑥

(Here $L \otimes H^{\otimes \mu}$ has a holo. section $(\neq 0)$. But $H^{\otimes \mu} = \mathcal{O}(\mu)$ obviously has one too; dividing them gives the desired mero. section of L .)

□