

Problem Set # 3 (Solutions)

①

(1) (i) On the trivializations $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^n \cong \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subset E$, there is a Hermitian metric h_α , just by putting

one on the \mathbb{C}^n . Now consider $h = \sum \eta_\alpha h_\alpha$,

where $\begin{cases} \sum \eta_\alpha = 1 \\ \eta_\alpha \in C^\infty(U_\alpha) \\ \eta_\alpha \geq 0 \end{cases}$. It is clearly still

sesquilinear (\mathbb{C} -linear on left; \mathbb{C} -antilinear on right),

since the transition maps preserve the \mathbb{C} -vector-space structure of fibers of E . The issue is whether it

is still positive definite on the overlaps, which

is fine: $h(\sigma, \sigma) = \sum \eta_\alpha h_\alpha(\sigma, \sigma) > 0$ ($\sigma \neq 0$).

(ii) If σ_α are generating (nonvanishing) sections of L over the U_α , then σ_α^\vee (defined by $\sigma_\alpha^\vee(\sigma_\alpha) \equiv 1$)

are sections of L^\vee . If h is a metric on L ,

we can define a metric h^* on L^\vee by

$$h^*(f, f) \cdot h(\sigma, \sigma) = |f(\sigma)|^2; \quad \text{this gives}$$

$$p_\alpha^* := h^*(\sigma_\alpha^\vee, \sigma_\alpha^\vee) = \frac{1}{h(\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)} = \frac{1}{p_\alpha}, \quad \text{and so}$$

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \log p_\alpha^* = -\partial \bar{\partial} \log p_\alpha \Rightarrow c_1(L^\vee) = -c_1(L).$$

(2) (i) TWO PROOFS

(2)

1st proof: $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(-1))$ is a holomorphic map

$$\sigma: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \text{ s.t. } [\sigma([z])] = [z].$$

Let $\langle, \rangle =$ Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and

$$e := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}. \text{ Then } F([z]) := \langle e, \sigma([z]) \rangle$$

defines some $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}^n)$, which by MMP must be

constant. But for (say) $[z_0] = [0: \dots: 0: 1]$,

$$\sigma([z_0]) = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \text{ hence } F([z_0]) = 0. \text{ So } F \equiv 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = F([1: w_1: \dots: w_n]) \Rightarrow \sigma = 0 \text{ on } U_0 \Rightarrow \sigma \equiv 0.$$

2nd proof: $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(-1))$ consists of

$$\{\sigma_j \in \mathcal{O}(U_j)\} \text{ s.t. } \sigma_j = \frac{z_j}{z_i} \sigma_i \text{ on } U_{ij}.$$

But then $\frac{z_0}{z_1} \sigma_1$ is holomorphic on U_1 and

agrees with σ_0 on $U_{01} \Rightarrow$ they glue

to give $F \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \cup U_1) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \{\text{certain subset}\})$.

By Hartogs, F extends to $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}^n)$; then

by MMP \tilde{F} is constant. But $C = \frac{z_0}{z_1} \sigma_1 \Rightarrow$

$$C \frac{z_1}{z_0} = \sigma_1 \text{ on } U_1 \Rightarrow \sigma_1 \text{ has pole at}$$

$\{z_0 = 0\} \cap U_1$ (contradiction) unless $C = 0$. So

$C = 0$, which implies $\sigma \equiv 0$.

(2)(ii) It's instructive to do this by brute force in local coordinates $(z_1^i, \dots, z_n^i) = \left(\frac{z_0}{z_i}, \dots, \frac{z_{i-1}}{z_i}, \frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_i} \right)$ on $U_i = \{z_i \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. The transition functions are (yuck!) These are superscripts, not powers

$$z_k^j = \begin{cases} z_k^i / z_j^i, & 1 \leq k \leq j \\ z_{k+1}^i / z_j^i, & j < k < i \\ 1 / z_j^i, & k = 0 \\ z_k^i / z_j^i, & i < k \leq n \end{cases}$$

A local nonvanishing section of $K_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ on U_j (i.e., a local trivialization of this line bundle) is

$$(-1)^j dz_1^j \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n^j = \tau^{(j)} d\left(\frac{z_1^i}{z_j^i}\right) \wedge \dots \wedge d\left(\frac{z_j^i}{z_j^i}\right) \wedge d\left(\frac{z_{j+2}^i}{z_j^i}\right) \wedge \dots$$

on U_{ij}

$$\hookrightarrow \wedge d\left(\frac{z_1^i}{z_j^i}\right) \wedge d\left(\frac{1}{z_j^i}\right) \wedge d\left(\frac{z_{j+1}^i}{z_j^i}\right) \wedge \dots \wedge d\left(\frac{z_n^i}{z_j^i}\right)$$

In effect, all I've done here is to compute the determinant of (ϕ_{ij}^k)

Can't get rid of d to z_j^i in the other terms b/c of \wedge

$$= (-1)^j \frac{-1}{(z_j^i)^{n+1}} dz_1^i \wedge \dots \wedge dz_j^i \wedge dz_{j+2}^i \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n^i \wedge dz_{j+1}^i$$

need to move to here

$$= (-1)^{i-j-1} (-1)^j \frac{(-1)}{(z_j^i)^{n+1}} dz_1^i \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n^i$$

$$= \left((-1)^i dz_1^i \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n^i \right) \times \left(\frac{z_1^i}{z_j^i} \right)^{n+1}$$

identifies with $\frac{1}{z_j^i}$

When one has nowhere-zero sections σ_i over U_i , an arbitrary section is a collection $\{f_i \sigma_i\}$ agreeing on overlaps.

So if $\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_j} = \left(\frac{z_j^i}{z_i^i}\right)^{n+1}$ (as here), $\mathcal{O}_{ij} = \frac{f_i}{f_j} = \left(\frac{z_i^i}{z_j^i}\right)^{n+1}$, which are \rightarrow flip

precisely the transition functions for $\mathcal{O}(-n+1)$. Bundles ④
 with the same transition functions identify, and so $K_{\mathbb{P}^n} \cong \mathcal{O}(-n+1)$.

(3) (i) I'll be excessively detailed here. Consider the ^{composite} map of sheaves (on N)

$$\underbrace{\Lambda^n T_M|_N}_{\text{i.e. } K_M|_N} \otimes T_M^{1,0}|_N \xrightarrow{\downarrow} \Lambda^{n-1} T_M^{1,0}|_N \xrightarrow{\text{pullback of forms}} \Lambda^{n-1} T_N^{1,0}|_N \xrightarrow{\cong} \underbrace{K_N}_{\text{i.e. } K_N}$$

θ

That

$$0 \rightarrow K_M|_N \otimes T_M^{1,0}|_N \hookrightarrow K_M|_N \otimes T_M^{1,0}|_N \xrightarrow{\theta} K_N \rightarrow 0$$

is (everywhere) short-exact can be checked in local coordinates, e.g. (z_1, \dots, z_n) s.t. $z_n = 0$ defines $N \subset M$.

(You have to "contract away" the dz_n to get something pulling back to $\neq 0$ on N .) (here, contract against $\partial/\partial z_n$)

So

$$K_M|_N \otimes \frac{T_M^{1,0}|_N}{T_N^{1,0}} \cong K_N$$

$\mathcal{N}_{N/M}$ (how normal bundle!)

(ii) Same game as in 2(ii) but easier. Local generators of $\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}^\vee$ (=the conormal bundle) are given

by $\sigma_j := df_j \in \Gamma(U_j \times \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}^\vee)$

(note: $\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}^\vee \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}|_X$)

Where $F \in S_{n+1}^d$ is the homogeneous polynomial defining X and $f_j := \frac{F}{z_j^d} \in \mathcal{O}(U_j)$ are the local equations defining $X \cap U_j$. We clearly have $f_j = \left(\frac{z_i}{z_j}\right)^d f_i$ on U_{ij} , and so on $U_{ij} \cap X$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j &= df_j = d \left(\left(\frac{z_i}{z_j} \right)^d f_i \right) = \cancel{f_i} \cdot d \left(\left(\frac{z_i}{z_j} \right)^d \right) + \left(\frac{z_i}{z_j} \right)^d \underbrace{df_i}_{\sigma_i} \\ &= \left(\frac{z_i}{z_j} \right)^d \sigma_i. \end{aligned}$$

$\circ (f_i = 0 \text{ on } X \cap U_i)$

By the same logic as at the end of 2(ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}^\vee &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d)|_X \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)|_X \\ \Rightarrow_{(i)} K_X &\cong \underbrace{K_{\mathbb{P}^n}|_X}_{\mathcal{O}(-n+1)} \otimes \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}}_{\mathcal{O}(d)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d-n+1)|_X \\ &\quad \text{(or just } \mathcal{O}_X(d-n+1) \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $d = n+1 \Rightarrow K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ (is trivial), so \exists a nowhere vanishing section (take the image of $1 \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ under the \cong).
Moreover, $\Omega^{n-1}(X) = H^0(K_X) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$, as desired.

* i.e. $X := \{F=0\}$

** i.e. $X \cap U_j = \{f_j=0\}$

6

$$(4) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial x_i} \partial/\partial x_j = \sum \Gamma_{ji}^l \partial/\partial x_l$$

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial x_j} \partial/\partial x_i = \sum \Gamma_{ij}^l \partial/\partial x_l$$

$$\text{So } \nabla_{\partial/\partial x_i} \partial/\partial x_j - \nabla_{\partial/\partial x_j} \partial/\partial x_i = [\partial/\partial x_i, \partial/\partial x_j] (= 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{all } T_{ji}^l = \Gamma_{ji}^l - \Gamma_{ij}^l = 0.$$

Conversely, if T_{ji}^l vanish, then for arbitrary vector fields

$$X = \sum_i f_i \partial/\partial x_i, \quad Y = \sum_j g_j \partial/\partial x_j, \quad \text{we have}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X &= \sum_l \cancel{T_{ji}^l} f_i g_j \partial/\partial x_l \\ &\quad + \sum X(g_j) \partial/\partial x_j - \sum Y(f_i) \partial/\partial x_i \\ &= [X, Y]. \end{aligned}$$

(5) Recall $B_0 = \{z_0, z_1 = z_1, z_0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

This is covered by charts.

$$(B_0 = \text{union}) \begin{cases} U = \{z_0 \neq 0\} \text{ w./ coords } (z_0, u) \quad \begin{matrix} z_1/z_0 \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{matrix} \\ V = \{z_1 \neq 0\} \text{ v./ coords } (v, z_1) \quad \begin{matrix} z_0/z_1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1/v \end{matrix} = \left(\frac{1}{u}, u z_0\right) \end{cases}$$

The map to \mathbb{C}^2 is given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (z_0, u) &\mapsto (z_0, u z_0) \\ (v, z_1) &\mapsto (v z_1, z_1) \end{aligned} \right\} = (z_0, z_1)$$

transition
on $U \cap V$

For the further blow-up, rather than writing everything out again, just repeat by noting $U = \mathbb{C}^2$ (the blow-up of " $0 \in U$ " won't affect V).

Now compute pullbacks of $dz_0 \wedge dz_1$

to U : $dz_0 \wedge d(\mu z_0) = z_0 dz_0 \wedge d\mu$

and V : $d(\nu z_1) \wedge dz_1 = z_1 d\nu \wedge dz_1$.

which in each case vanishes to 1st order along the "exceptional divisor" $\beta_0^{-1}(0)$.

For the second blow-up, we send

$$\begin{cases} U' \ni (z_0, \eta) \mapsto (z_0, z_0 \eta) \\ U'' \ni (\mu, u) \mapsto (\mu u, u) \end{cases} = (z_0, u) \in U$$

so $z_0 dz_0 \wedge d\mu$ becomes

$$z_0 dz_0 \wedge d(z_0 \eta) = z_0^2 dz_0 \wedge d\eta \text{ (on } U')$$

$$\mu u d(\mu u) \wedge du = \mu u^2 d\mu \wedge du \text{ (on } U'')$$

i.e. $\beta_1^* \beta_0^*(dz_0 \wedge dz_1)$ vanishes to 1st order on \textcircled{I} and to 2nd order on \textcircled{II} .

