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$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{1}, x_{1}^{4}+x_{2}^{2}\right) .
$$

Note $x_{1} x_{2} \neq x_{2} x_{1}$. We want to establish conditions for such maps to be injective when evaluated on tuples of matrices matrices. We will use lower case letters for variables and upper case letters for matrices.

## The matrix universe

Let $M_{n}$ be the matrices of size $n$.
We define the matrix universe $M^{d}$ in $d$ variables to be all $d$-tuples of matrices with the same fixed size:

$$
M^{d}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n}^{d}
$$

## Domains

A domain $D \subset M^{d}$ is a subset of the matrix universe that is closed under direct sums and similarity. That is,

- $A \in D, B \in D \Rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right) \in D$.
- $A \in D \Rightarrow S^{-1} A S \in D$ for every invertible matrix $S$.
(We take the convention that $X=\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{d}$ and
$\left.S^{-1} X S=\left(S X_{i} S\right)_{i=1}^{d}\right)$
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(We take the convention that $X=\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{d}$ and
$\left.S^{-1} X S=\left(S X_{i} S\right)_{i=1}^{d}\right)$
Note the evaluation of a free polynomial $P$ respects this structure:
- $P\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}P(A) & 0 \\ 0 & p(B)\end{array}\right)$.
- $S^{-1} P(A) S=P\left(S^{-1} A S\right)$ for every invertible matrix $S$.
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We fix the notation that $D P(X)[H]$ is the Gateaux derivative of the the polynomial $P$ at $X$ in the direction $H$. Thus, there is the formula for $X, H \in M_{n}^{d}$
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$$
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- $D x_{i}(X)[H]=H_{i}$,
- $D[P Q](X)[H]=(D P(X)[H]) Q+P(D Q(X)[H])$, and
- $D[P+Q](X)[H]=D[P](X)[H]+D[Q](X)[H]$.

Thus, the derivative of $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1} x_{2}$ is

$$
D f(X)[H]=H_{1} X_{2}+X_{1} H_{2}
$$

## The Inverse Function Theorem

Theorem
Let $P: D \rightarrow M^{\tilde{d}}$ be a free polynomial map. The following are equivalent:

1. $P$ is injective.
2. $D P(X)$ is nonsingular for every matrix tuple $X$. That is,
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Thus, for free polynomials local injectivity implies global injectivity.
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Let

$$
P(x)=x^{2}
$$

The derivative of $P$ is given by the following formula.

$$
D P(X)[H]=X H+H X
$$

If $P$ is to be injective on some domain $D$, then $D P(X)[H]=0$ must imply that $H=0$ for every $X \in D$.
For a given $X \in M_{n}$ the equation

$$
X H+H X=0
$$

has solutions such that $H \neq 0$ only if $X$ has eigenvalues in common with $-X$. (This is a degenerate form of Sylvester's equation.) So, $P$ is injective for matrices with spectrum in the right half plane, since for each $X$ with spectrum in the right half plane, the equation $X H+H X=0$ implies $H=0$.
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1. $P$ is injective.
2. $D P(x)$ is nonsingular for every tuple $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. That is,

$$
D P(x)[h]=0 \text { implies } h=0 .
$$

Much is known about injective polynomial maps. For example, Grothendieck ('66) showed they must be surjective and Rudin ('95) showed such a function's inverse is given by a polynomial. It is also known that if this result is true for cubic maps, then it is true in general due to Bass, Connell and Wright ('82).

## The Jacobian conjecture (Commuting matrix version)

Commutative matrix tuples are a domain. The following is a corollary of our inverse function theorem.

Theorem
Let $P$ be a polynomial map. The following are equivalent:

1. $P$ is injective.
2. $P$ is bijective.
3. $D P(X)$ is nonsingular for every commuting matrix tuple $X$.
4. $P^{-1}$ exists and is given by a polynomial map.
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Commutative matrix tuples are a domain. The following is a corollary of our inverse function theorem.

Theorem
Let $P$ be a polynomial map. The following are equivalent:

1. $P$ is injective.
2. $P$ is bijective.
3. $D P(X)$ is nonsingular for every commuting matrix tuple $X$.
4. $P^{-1}$ exists and is given by a polynomial map.

Our result supplies the equivalence of injectivity with nonsingular derivative, the rest are previously known to be equivalent due to work on the classical Jacobian conjecture.

## Special identities for free polynomials

Fact
Let $P$ be a free polynomial, $X, Y \in M_{n}^{d}, t \in \mathbb{C}$ then

$$
P\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & t(X-Y) \\
0 & Y
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P(X) & t(P(X)-P(Y)) \\
0 & P(Y)
\end{array}\right)
$$
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Suppose $f$ is injective.
If the derivative is singular at some matrix $X$ in the direction $H$, then
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For the converse we apply the following fact.
Theorem
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For the other direction. Suppose $f$ has nonsingular derivative everywhere. Let $f(X)=f(Y)$. Differentiate the identity

$$
f\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & t(X-Y) \\
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f(X) & t(f(X)-f(Y)) \\
0 & f(Y)
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to get

$$
\operatorname{Df}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & 0 \\
0 & Y
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & X-Y \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which implies $X=Y$.

## The inverse function theorem

So we have proven the theorem.
Theorem
Let $P$ be a free polynomial map. The following are equivalent:

1. $P$ is injective.
2. $D P(X)$ is nonsingular for every matrix tuple $X$.
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