

Math 4121  
March 8, 2021 Lecture

Steven G. Krantz

February 28, 2021



Figure: This is your instructor.

# The Lebesgue Integral

# Outer Measure

The next step in our program is to show that, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of subsets of a set  $X$  and if  $\mu$  is a measure defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$  and a measure  $\widehat{\mu}$  defined on  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  so that  $\widehat{\mu}(E) = \mu(E)$  for  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . What we are saying then is that the measure  $\mu$  can be extended from the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  which contains  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of sets on  $X$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $F$  is an arbitrary subset of  $X$ , then we define

$$\widehat{\mu}(F) = \inf \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j),$$

where the infimum is extended over all sequences  $\{E_j\}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$F \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j.$$

The function  $\hat{\mu}$  that we have just defined is called the *outer measure* generated by  $\mu$ . This terminology is a bit confusing, just because  $\hat{\mu}$  is usually *not* a measure. It does, however, have some of the properties of a measure.

**Lemma:** *The function  $\hat{\mu}$  of the above definition has the following properties:*

- (a)  $\hat{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\hat{\mu}(F) \geq 0$  for  $F \subseteq X$ ;
- (c) If  $F \subseteq G$ , then  $\hat{\mu}(F) \leq \hat{\mu}(G)$ ;
- (d) If  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\hat{\mu}(F) = \mu(F)$ ;
- (e) If  $\{F_j\}$  is a sequence of subsets of  $X$ , then

$$\hat{\mu} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(F_j).$$

**Proof:** Statements **(a)**, **(b)**, and **(c)** are immediate consequences of the definition.

For **(d)**, note that since  $\{F, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots\}$  is a countable collection of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  whose union contain  $F$ , we see that

$$\widehat{\mu}(F) \leq \mu(F) + 0 + 0 + \dots = \mu(F).$$

Conversely, if  $\{E_j\}$  is any sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $F \subseteq \cup_j E_j$ , then  $F = \cup_j (F \cap E_j)$ . Since  $\mu$  is assumed to be a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , we see that

$$\mu(F) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(F \cap E_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j),$$

from which we infer that  $\mu(F) \leq \widehat{\mu}(F)$ .

To prove **(e)**, let  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $j$  choose a sequence  $\{E_{jk}\}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  so that

$$F_j \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{jk}) \leq \hat{\mu}(F_j) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^j}.$$

Since  $\{E_{jk} : j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable collection of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  whose union contains  $\cup F_j$ , it follows from the definition of  $\hat{\mu}$  that

$$\hat{\mu} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{jk}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(F_j) + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we have obtained the desired inequality.  $\square$



Property **(e)** of the lemma is usually described by the phrase “ $\hat{\mu}$  is countably subadditive.”

**Remark:** An important mathematical point must be made now. The outer measure  $\hat{\mu}$  assigns a length or measure to *every* subset of  $X$ . But of course we know from our considerations in Chapter 1 that that is not possible for a measure. So what is going on here?

The answer is that  $\hat{\mu}$  is *not* countably additive. In fact it is not necessarily finitely additive. What we shall do in our work below is to restrict  $\hat{\mu}$  to a smaller  $\sigma$ -algebra on which the outer measure *is* countably additive. The key result here is due to Constantin Carathéodory.

**Definition:** A subset  $E$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\hat{\mu}$ -measurable if

$$\hat{\mu}(A) = \hat{\mu}(A \cap E) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E)$$

for all subsets  $A \subseteq X$ . The collection of all  $\hat{\mu}$ -measurable sets is denoted by  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

The above condition defines an additivity property on  $\widehat{\mu}$ . Roughly speaking, a set  $E$  is  $\widehat{\mu}$ -measurable in case it and its complement are separated enough so that they divide an arbitrary set  $A$  in an additive fashion.

**Theorem (Carathéodory Extension Theorem):** The collection  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  of all  $\widehat{\mu}$ -measurable sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, if  $\{E_j\}$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence in  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ , then

$$\widehat{\mu} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}(E_j).$$

**Proof:** Plainly  $\emptyset$  and  $X$  are  $\hat{\mu}$ -measurable. Also, if  $E \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ , then the complement  $X \setminus E$  is also in  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

For our first step of the proof, we shall show that  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  is closed under intersection. Suppose that  $E, F$  are  $\hat{\mu}$ -measurable. Then, for any  $A \subseteq X$ ,

$$\hat{\mu}(A \cap F) = \hat{\mu}(A \cap F \cap E) + \hat{\mu}((A \cap F) \setminus E).$$

Since  $F \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ , we have

$$\hat{\mu}(A) = \hat{\mu}(A \cap F) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus F).$$

Let  $B = A \setminus (E \cap F)$ . Then clearly  $B \cap F = (A \cap F) \setminus E$  and  $B \setminus F = A \setminus F$ . Since  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ , we see that

$$\widehat{\mu}(A \setminus (E \cap F)) = \widehat{\mu}((A \cap F) \setminus E) + \widehat{\mu}(A \setminus F).$$

Combining the last three equalities gives

$$\widehat{\mu}(A) = \widehat{\mu}(A \cap (E \cap F)) + \widehat{\mu}(A \setminus (E \cap F)).$$

This proves that  $E \cap F \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is closed under intersection and complementation, we may conclude that  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is an algebra.

Now assume that  $E, F \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  and that  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ . If we take  $A$  to be instead  $A \cap (E \cup F)$  in the line above, we obtain

$$\widehat{\mu}(A \cap (E \cup F)) = \widehat{\mu}(A \cap E) + \widehat{\mu}(A \cap F).$$

Letting now  $A = X$ , we see that  $\widehat{\mu}$  is additive on  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Now we show that  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and that  $\widehat{\mu}$  is countably additive on  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a pairwise disjoint collection in  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Set  $E = \cup_j E_j$ . From the previous paragraph we know that  $F_k = \cup_{j=1}^k E_j$  belongs to  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Also, if  $A$  is any subset of  $X$ , then

$$\widehat{\mu}(A) = \widehat{\mu}(A \cap F_k) + \widehat{\mu}(A \setminus F_k) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k \widehat{\mu}(A \cap E_j) \right] + \widehat{\mu}(A \setminus F_k).$$

Since  $F_k \subseteq E$ , we may see that  $A \setminus E \subseteq A \setminus F_k$ . Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we may conclude from the above that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(A \cap E_j) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E) \leq \hat{\mu}(A).$$

It follows now from the lemma above that

$$\hat{\mu}(A \cap E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(A \cap E_j)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}(A) \leq \hat{\mu}(A \cap E) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E).$$

Combining the last three inequalities yields

$$\hat{\mu}(A) = \hat{\mu}(A \cap E) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(A \cap E_j) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E).$$

This shows in particular that  $E = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$  is  $\hat{\mu}$ -measurable.

Taking  $A = E$ , we obtain the desired equation.

The last thing that we must do is to show that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . It was proved in part **(d)** of the lemma that, if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\hat{\mu}(E) = \mu(E)$ . It remains to show that  $E$  is  $\hat{\mu}$ -measurable. So let  $A$  be an arbitrary subset of  $X$ . Using part **(e)** of the lemma, we see that

$$\hat{\mu}(A) \leq \hat{\mu}(A \cap E) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E).$$



//

To prove the opposite inequality, let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $\{F_j\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup_j F_j$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_j) \leq \hat{\mu}(A) + \epsilon.$$

Since  $A \cap E \subseteq \cup_j (F_j \cap E)$  and  $A \setminus E \subseteq \cup_j (F_j \setminus E)$ , we may infer from part **(e)** of the lemma that

$$\hat{\mu}(A \cap E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_j \cap E) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_j \setminus E).$$

Thus we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(A \cap E) + \hat{\mu}(A \setminus E) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \mu(F_j \cap E) + \mu(F_j \setminus E) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_j) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have proved the desired inequality and the set  $E$  belongs to  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** The Carathéodory extension theorem shows that a measure  $\mu$  on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  can always be extended to a measure  $\widehat{\mu}$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  obtained in this way is automatically complete in the following sense. If  $E \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  with  $\widehat{\mu}(E) = 0$  and if  $B \subseteq E$ , then  $B \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\widehat{\mu}(B) = 0$ .

To prove the last assertion, let  $A$  be an arbitrary subset of  $X$  and use part **(c)** of the lemma to note that

$$\widehat{\mu}(A) = \widehat{\mu}(E) + \widehat{\mu}(A) \geq \widehat{\mu}(A \cap B) + \widehat{\mu}(A \setminus B).$$

Now, as before, the inequality

$$\widehat{\mu}(A) \leq \widehat{\mu}(A \cap B) + \widehat{\mu}(A \setminus B)$$

follows from part **(e)** of the lemma. Therefore  $B$  is  $\widehat{\mu}$  measurable and

$$0 \leq \widehat{\mu}(B) \leq \widehat{\mu}(E) \leq 0.$$

Thus  $\widehat{\mu}(B) = 0$  as desired.

Next we show that, in case  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, then it has a unique extension to a measure on  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Theorem (The Hahn Extension Theorem):** Assume that  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists a unique extension  $\widehat{\mu}$  of  $\mu$  to a measure on  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Proof:** The fact that  $\hat{\mu}$  is a measure on  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  was proved in the theorem above—even without the  $\sigma$ -finiteness hypothesis. To prove the uniqueness, let  $\nu$  be a measure on  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  which agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Consider first the case that  $\mu$  and hence  $\hat{\mu}$  are finite measures. Let  $E \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and let  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a collection of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $E \subseteq \cup_j E_j$ . Since  $\nu$  is a measure and agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , we see that

$$\nu(E) \leq \nu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j).$$

Thus  $\nu(E) \leq \hat{\mu}(E)$  for any  $E \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\nu$  are additive, we find that  $\hat{\mu}(E) + \hat{\mu}(X \setminus E) = \nu(E) + \nu(X \setminus E)$ . Since the terms on the righthand side are finite and not greater than the corresponding terms on the lefthand side, we conclude that  $\hat{\mu}(E) = \nu(E)$  for all  $E \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . This establishes the uniqueness when  $\mu$  is a finite measure.

We leave the case of  $\sigma$ -finite  $\mu$  for the reader to study in Bartle's book. □