

Math 4121  
March 29, 2021 Lecture

Steven G. Krantz

March 27, 2021



Figure: This is your instructor.

# The Lebesgue Integral

# Different Methods of Convergence

Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a measure space. In this course we have treated four different types of convergence of a sequence of  $\{f_j\}$  functions to a limit function  $f$ :

- (i) **pointwise convergence:** For each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $x \in X$  there is a number  $J > 0$  such that, if  $j > J$ , then  $|f_j(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ .
- (ii) **uniform convergence:** For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a number  $J > 0$  such that, if  $j > J$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $|f_j(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ .
- (iii) **convergence almost everywhere:** There exists a set  $E \subseteq X$  with  $\mu(E) = 0$  so that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , and each  $x \in X \setminus E$ , there is a number  $J > 0$  such that, for  $j > J$ , we have  $|f_j(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ .
- (iv) **convergence in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ :** For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a number  $J > 0$  so that, if  $j > J$ , then

$$\|f_j - f\|_{L^p} = \int |f_j(x) - f(x)|^p d\mu(x)^{1/p} < \epsilon.$$

It is clear that uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence. Also pointwise convergence implies convergence almost everywhere. In the case of a finite measure space, uniform convergence also implies convergence in  $L^p$ . The reverse implications are false.

**Example:** Let  $f_j(x) = \chi_{[j, \infty)}$ . Then the  $f_j$  converge to the identically 0 function pointwise and almost everywhere, but not in  $L^p$  for any  $p \geq 1$ . They do not converge uniformly.

Let  $g_j(x) = \chi_{[1, 1+1/j]}$ . These functions converge almost everywhere and in  $L^p$  to the identically 0 function. They do not converge pointwise, and they do not converge uniformly.

**Proposition:** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Assume that  $\mu(X) < +\infty$ . Let  $\{f_j\}$  be a sequence of  $L^p$  functions that converges uniformly on  $X$  to a limit function  $f$ . Then  $f \in L^p$  and the sequence  $\{f_j\}$  converges in  $L^p$  to  $f$ .*



**Proof:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose a  $J > 0$  such that when  $j > J$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $|f_j(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ . Observe that, if  $j > J$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_j - f\|_{L^p} &= \left\{ \int |f_j(x) - f(x)|^p d\mu \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int \epsilon^p d\mu \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= \epsilon \mu(X)^{1/p} .\end{aligned}\tag{*}$$

We conclude that  $\{f_j\}$  converges in  $L^p$  to  $f$ . □

**Proposition:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $\{f_j\}$  be a sequence in  $L^p$  which converges pointwise almost everywhere to a measurable function  $f$ . If there is a  $g \in L^p$  such that

$$|f_j(x)| \leq g(x) \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

then  $f \in L^p$  and  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ .

**Proof:** Because of inequality (\*), we see that  $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$  almost everywhere. Since  $g \in L^p$ , we conclude that  $f \in L^p$ .

Observe that

$$|f_j(x) - f(x)|^p \leq [2g(x)]^p, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Since  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |f_j(x) - f(x)|^p = 0$  a.e. and  $2^p g^p$  belongs to  $L^1$ , the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem tells us that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_j - f|^p d\mu = 0.$$

As a result,  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ . □

**Corollary:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Assume that  $\mu(X) < +\infty$ . Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $\{f_j\}$  be a sequence in  $L^p$  which converges almost everywhere to a measurable function  $f$ . If there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$|f_j(x)| \leq K \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (**)$$

then  $f$  belongs to  $L^p$  and the sequence  $\{f_j\}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^p$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\mu(X) < +\infty$ , then the constant functions belong to  $L^p$ . So the function  $g(x) \equiv K$  is in  $L^p$ . Now apply the proposition. □

One might suppose that  $L^p$  convergence implies almost everywhere convergence. But the next example shows that that is not the case.

**Example:** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Borel sets, and  $\mu$  be Lebesgue measure. Consider the intervals in  $[0, 1]$  with dyadic<sup>1</sup> endpoints. Order these intervals in decreasing order of size. Let  $f_j$  be the characteristic function of the  $j$ th interval.

Then it is clear that the  $f_j$  tend to  $f \equiv 0$  in  $L^p$  norm. But, if  $x$  is any point of  $[0, 1]$ , then there is a subsequence  $f_{j_k}$  that equals 1 at  $x$  and there is another subsequence  $f_{j_\ell}$  that equals 0 at  $x$ . So we do *not* have pointwise convergence at *any point* of the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>A point is dyadic if it has the form  $j/2^k$ .

In this section we treat a new concept of convergence which is analytically useful. And it is intuitively appealing.

**Definition:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a measure space. A sequence  $\{f_j\}$  of measurable functions is said to *converge in measure* to a measurable function  $f$  precisely when

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_j(x) - f(x)| \geq \alpha\}) = 0$$

for each  $\alpha > 0$ .

The sequence  $\{f_j\}$  is said to be *Cauchy in measure* when

$$\lim_{j,k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_j(x) - f_k(x)| \geq \alpha\}) = 0 \quad (\star)$$

for each  $\alpha > 0$ .



**Example:** Let  $f_j(x) = \chi_{[j, \infty)}$ . Then the  $f_j$  do not converge in measure. Indeed they are not Cauchy in measure.

Let  $g_j(x) = \chi_{[1, 1+1/j]}$ . Then the  $g_j$  converge in measure to the identically 0 function.

**Proposition:** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a measure space. If the functions  $\{f_j\}$  converge in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then the sequence converges in measure.*

**Proof:** Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Set

$$E_j(\alpha) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_j(x) - f(x)| \geq \alpha\}.$$

Then

$$\int |f_j - f|^p d\mu \geq \int_{E_j(\alpha)} |f_j - f|^p d\mu \geq \alpha^p \cdot \mu(E_j(\alpha)).$$

We know that  $\|f_j - f\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\alpha > 0$ , we may conclude that  $\mu(E_j(\alpha)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . □