

# HOMEWORK 2 Solutions

1. Define  $\lambda(E) = \mu(K \cap E)$ .

Then a)  $\lambda(\emptyset) = \mu(K \cap \emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

b) If  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint sets in  $X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) &= \mu(K \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (K \cap E_j)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(K \cap E_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_j).\end{aligned}$$

So  $\lambda$  is a measure.

2. Set  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \mu_j$

Then a)  $\mu(\emptyset) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \mu_j(\emptyset) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \cdot 0 = 0$ .

b) If  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint sets in  $X$ ,

then

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u\left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} E_l\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^k a_j u_j\left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} E_l\right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} u_j(E_l)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k a_j u_j(E_l) \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} u(E_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 u\left(\liminf E_j\right) &= u\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j\right) \\
 &= \sup_k u\left(\bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j\right) \\
 &\leq \sup_k \inf_j u(E_j) \\
 &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} u(E_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

5.

$$u(\{p\}) \leq u((p-\varepsilon, p+\varepsilon)) = 2\varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence  $u(\{p\}) = 0$ .

(3)

If  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  is a countable set, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{a_j\}) &\leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j - 2^{-j}\varepsilon, a_j + 2^{-j}\varepsilon)\right) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu((a_j - 2^{-j}\varepsilon, a_j + 2^{-j}\varepsilon)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j+1}\varepsilon \\ &= 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(\{a_j\}) = 0$ .

6. For each  $k \in K$ , let  $(k - \frac{1}{2}, k + \frac{1}{2}) = I_k$  be an open interval centered at  $k$ . Then

$\bigcup_k I_k \supseteq K$ . So there is a finite subcover

$I_{k_1}, I_{k_2}, \dots, I_{k_p}$ . Then

$$\mu(K) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^p I_{k_j}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^p \mu(I_{k_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^p 1 = p.$$

(4)

8. Define a new Cantor set as follows:

$$C_0 = [0, 1]$$

$$C_1 = C_0 \setminus \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10} \right]$$

$$C_2 = C_1 \setminus \left( \left[ \frac{2}{10} - \frac{1}{50}, \frac{2}{10} + \frac{1}{50} \right] \cup \left[ \frac{8}{10} - \frac{1}{50}, \frac{8}{10} + \frac{1}{50} \right] \right)$$

etc.

$C_j$  is defined by set-theoretically subtracting from  $C_{j-1}$  a total of  $2^{j-1}$  closed intervals each of length  $\frac{1}{5^j}$ . Let  $C = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j$ .

Then the measure of the union of all the removed intervals is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j-1} \cdot \frac{1}{5^j} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{j+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^j = \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{5}} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{3}{5}} \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{3} = \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

(5)

Thus the length of the new Cantor set is  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,

It is clear that  $C_j$  contains no interval that is any longer than  $2^{-j+1}$ .

Thus the Cantor set, defined as  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j$  contains no intervals.

9. By Exercise 5, the measure of  $\emptyset$  is 0, (hence the measure of the set of intervals is  $+\infty$ ),

11. Set

$$\lambda(E) = \mu(f^{-1}(E)).$$

a)  $\lambda(\emptyset) = \mu(f^{-1}(\emptyset)) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

b) If  $E_j$  are pairwise disjoint sets in  $X$ ,  
then

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) = \mu\left(f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right)\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(E_j)\right)$$

Now each  $f^{-1}(E_j)$  is Borel. And the  $f^{-1}(E_j)$  are pairwise disjoint.

(6)

So this last equals

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(f^{-1}(E_j)) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_j).$$