Proceedings of the Conference on Wavelet Analysis and Applications

Basis and Convergence Properties of Wavelet Packets

Mladen Victor Wickerhauser

Abstract. Wavelet packets defined by a single filter pair have uncontrolled size and basis properties, in general. By substituting different filters at different scales according to a rule, these can be controlled. One can obtain Schauder bases of uniformly bounded, uniformly compactly supported wavelet packets. By controlling size and support, one can apply the Carleson–Hunt theorem to show that certain wavelet packet Fourier series of a continuous function converges almost everywhere.

1. Exponential Fourier Series

The celebrated theorem of L. Carleson [**2**], on the convergence of Fourier series, can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *If $f = f(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[0, 1]$, and*

$$c_n = \int_0^1 f(x)e^{-2\pi inx}\,dx,$$

then $\sum_n c_n e^{2\pi inx}$ converges to $f(x)$ at almost every x in $[0, 1]$.

The same conclusion holds for f belonging to the class $L^2 = L^2([0, 1])$ of square-integrable functions defined on the interval $[0, 1]$. No stronger conclusion is possible, since members of L^2 are actually equivalence classes of functions that agree almost everywhere.

Now L^2 is a complete inner product space, or Hilbert space, with Hermitean inner product $\langle f, g\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^1 f(x)\bar{g}(x)\,dx$ and norm $\|f\|_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\int_0^1 |f(x)|^2\,dx)^{1/2}$, which will be written $\|f\|$ when there is no risk of confusion. A countable subset $\{b_n\} \subset L^2$ is an orthonormal basis, or Hilbert basis, for L^2 if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- **:** *Normalization:* $\|b_n\| = 1$ for all n;
- **:** *Orthogonality:* $\langle b_n, b_m\rangle = 0$ if $n \neq m$;
- **:** *Density:* $\mathrm{span}\{b_n\}$ is dense in L^2.

The generalized Fourier coefficient c_n of f, with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{b_n\}$, may be written $c_n = \langle f, b_n\rangle$, and the generalized Fourier series written as $\sum_n \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n = \sum_n c_n b_n$. For any Hilbert basis, there is at least one kind of convergence:

Research supported by NSF and AFOSR.

THEOREM 1.2. *If f belongs to L^2 and $\{b_n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is any orthonormal basis for L^2, then $\|f - \sum_{n=-M}^{N} \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n\|$ tends to 0 as $M, N \to \infty$. Equivalently, the norms of the series tails $\|\sum_{n>N} \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n\|$ and $\|\sum_{n<-N} \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n\|$ must tend to zero as $N \to \infty$.*

This is called L^2 norm convergence, and it follows from the Riesz–Fischer theorem and Parseval's theorem. The proof is elementary and may be found, for example, in [**1**], pp. 309–311. It does not, however, imply pointwise convergence even at a single point.

With a bit of effort, one shows that the exponential functions $\{e_n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ defined by $e_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$ form an orthonormal basis of L^2. Orthonormality can be shown with the calculus, and density follows from an analysis of the symmetric partial sum $\sum_{|n|<N} e_n$. Thus, the exponential Fourier series converges in L^2 norm by Theorem 1.2.

Carleson's theorem implies that when $\{b_n\} = \{e_n\}$, convergence occurs not only in L^2 norm but also pointwise almost everywhere. Equivalently, the series tail functions $\sum_{n>N} c_n e_n(x)$ and $\sum_{n<-N} c_n e_n(x)$ must tend to zero at almost every point x, as $N \to \infty$. The purpose of this survey is to describe some other orthonormal bases of L^2 that have this *pointwise almost everywhere convergence property.*

R. Hunt [**9**] extended Carleson's argument to show pointwise almost everywhere convergence for the Fourier series of any $f \in L^p = L^p([0,1])$, namely any f whose norm $\|f\|_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p\,dx\right)^{1/p}$ is finite for some $1 < p < \infty$. Like for L^2, no stronger conclusion is possible in any of these classes, since their members are only defined almost everywhere. Also, since L^p with $p \neq 2$ is not a Hilbert space, the notion of orthonormal basis must be replaced. A natural candidate is the Schauder basis, defined as a countable subset $\{b_n\}$ of the space whose span is dense and for which $\sum_n a_n b_n = 0$ implies $a_n = 0$ for all n. The exponentials $\{e_n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ form a Schauder basis for all L^p with $1 < p < \infty$, but some of the generalizations considered in this article do not.

2. Walsh Functions

Walsh functions are analogues of $\{e_n\}$, in the sense that they form an orthonormal basis for L^2 and are indexed by a frequency parameter. They may be defined recursively, if they are considered to be functions defined on all of $\mathbf{R}$ but supported in $[0,1]$. Namely, put $W_0 = \mathbf{1}$, the characteristic function of $[0,1)$, and for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, define

$$(1) \qquad W_{2n}(x) = W_n(2x) + W_n(2x+1); \qquad W_{2n+1}(x) = W_n(2x) - W_n(2x+1).$$

It is elementary to show that the functions in $\{W_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ are uniformly bounded and uniformly compactly supported in $[0,1]$, and that they are orthonormal with respect to the L^2 inner product. To show that span$\{W_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is dense in L^2, observe that this span contains the characteristic function of every dyadic interval $[2^{-N}k, 2^{-N}(k+1))$ for every $N = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and every $0 \le k < 2^N$. Clearly such characteristic functions are dense in L^2. It follows that $\{W_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for L^2. Consequently, Parseval's theorem applies when $\{b_n\} = \{W_n\}$. But Carleson's result applies, too:

THEOREM 2.1. *If $f = f(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[0,1]$, then the Walsh series $\sum_n \langle f, W_n\rangle W_n$ converges to $f(x)$ at almost every x in $[0,1]$.*

Furthermore, the result applies to $f \in L^p$ as well [**6, 10, 14**].

3. Shannon Functions

For integer $n \geq 0$, let $S_n = S_n(x)$ be defined by

$$S_n(x) = \frac{\sin\left[\pi(n+1)(x-\frac{1}{2})\right] - \sin\left[\pi n(x-\frac{1}{2})\right]}{\pi(x-\frac{1}{2})}. \tag{2}$$

The Shannon functions are the doubly-indexed set $\{S_{nk} : n \in \mathbf{N}; k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ defined by

$$S_{nk}(x) = S_n(x-k). \tag{3}$$

It may not be obvious, but is nonetheless true, that $\{S_{nk} : n \in \mathbf{N}, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbf{R})$. A short proof is available, using some of the elementary tools of harmonic analysis. First note that the Fourier integral transforms $v_n = \hat{S}_n$ of Shannon functions have simple formulas. It can be verified by the calculus and the Fourier inversion theorem that $v_n(\xi) = \rho(\xi)\mathbf{1}(2|\xi| - n)$, where $\rho(\xi) = e^{-\pi i \xi}$. Since the absolute value of v_n is one on its support, it follows that $\int |v_n(\xi)|\, d\xi = \int |v_n(\xi)|^2\, d\xi = 1$ for all n. Plancherel's theorem then implies that $\|S_n\| = 1$ for all n. Furthermore, writing $v_{nk} = \hat{s}_{nk}$, one directly computes that $v_{nk}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i k\xi} v_n(\xi)$. Then, because of their nonoverlapping supports, it is clear that $\langle v_{nk}, v_{mj}\rangle = 0$ for $n \neq m$ and any $k, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. The orthogonality of exponential functions implies that $\langle v_{nk}, v_{nj}\rangle = 0$ if $k \neq j$, and the orthonormality of $\{S_{nk}\}$ follows by Plancherel's theorem.

The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma implies that Shannon functions are uniformly bounded and continuous. But also, each Shannon function has compactly supported Fourier integral transform, and so it is band-limited. Such functions, by the Paley-Wiener theorem, are entire real analytic: they have derivatives of every order at every point, and are represented everywhere by their Taylor series.

4. The Carleson Operator

The difficult part of Carleson's theorem is obtaining a bound on the Carleson operator, which for any orthonormal basis is

$$Lf(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{N \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq n < N} \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n(x). \tag{4}$$

The rest of the proof is straightforward: suppose it has been shown that $\|Lf\| \leq c\|f\|$ for some fixed $c > 0$ and all $f \in L^2$. Consider the remainder term after subtracting a partial sum from f:

$$f_N = f - \sum_{0 \leq n < N} \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n = \sum_{n \geq N} \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n.$$

Then Parseval's theorem implies $\|f_N\| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$, so $\|Lf_N\| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. But for each fixed x, the sequence $\{Lf_N(x)\}$ decreases as $N \to \infty$. Thus $Lf_N(x) \to 0$ for almost every x, as $N \to \infty$, by the monotone convergence theorem ([**1**], p. 265). But $f_N(x) \leq Lf_N(x)$ at every x, so $f_N(x) \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for almost every x as well, finishing the proof.

M. Lacey and C. Thiele [**10**] recently gave an interesting alternative proof of Carleson's theorem, in which they focused on the integer-valued function $N_f(x)$

defined by $Lf(x) = \sum_{0\leq n<N_f(x)} \langle f, b_n\rangle b_n(x)$. For Walsh series, they were able to estimate $\|Lf\|$ with a geometric argument.

5. Wavelet Packets

Equation 1 may be generalized as follows: Let $h = \{h(k) : k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ and $g = \{g(k) : k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ be two finitely-supported sequences, fix the initial functions w_0 and w_1 in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, and for each integer $n > 0$ define

$$w_{2n}(x) = \sum_k h(k) w_n(2x-k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H w_n(x); \tag{5}$$

$$w_{2n+1}(x) = \sum_k g(k) w_n(2x-k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G w_n(x). \tag{6}$$

As before, define $w_{nk}(x) = w_n(x-k)$. The collection of functions $\{w_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ will be an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ if $\phi = w_0$ and $\psi = w_1$ are the scaling function and mother wavelet, respectively, of an orthonormal multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, or MRA, and operators H, G are defined by sequences h, g satisfying the following conditions for all integers n, m:

- $\sum_k h(k)h(k+2n) = 2\delta(n)$;
- $\sum_k g(k)g(k+2n) = 2\delta(n)$;
- $\sum_k g(k)h(k+2n) = 0$;
- $\sum_k [h(n+2k)h(m+2k) + g(n+2k)g(m+2k)] = 2\delta(n-m)$.

Here δ is the Kronecker symbol; $\delta(0) = 1$, but $\delta(n) = 0$ if $n \neq 0$. Sequences h, g satisfying these conditions are called *orthogonal conjugate quadrature filters*.

Walsh functions are obtained by taking $h(0) = h(-1) = g(0) = -g(-1) = 1$, with $h(k) = g(k) = 0$ for $k \notin \{0, -1\}$, to define H and G, and functions $\phi = \mathbf{1}$, and $\psi = G\mathbf{1}$.

Shannon functions can also be obtained by this recursion, if the condition that h and g be finitely supported is removed. Take

$$h(k) = \frac{\sin\left[\frac{\pi}{2}(k-\frac{1}{2})\right]}{\frac{\pi}{2}(k-\frac{1}{2})}; \qquad g(k) = (-1)^k h(1-k) = (-1)^k \frac{\sin\left[\frac{\pi}{2}(k-\frac{1}{2})\right]}{\frac{\pi}{2}(k-\frac{1}{2})}, \tag{7}$$

to define H and G, and

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\sin\left[\pi(x-\frac{1}{2})\right]}{\pi(x-\frac{1}{2})}; \qquad \psi(x) = \frac{\sin\left[2\pi(x-\frac{1}{2})\right] - \sin\left[\pi(x-\frac{1}{2})\right]}{\pi(x-\frac{1}{2})}, \tag{8}$$

for the initial functions.

Operators H and G act as Fourier multipliers:

$$\hat{w}_{2n}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} m_0(\frac{\xi}{2})\hat{w}_n(\frac{\xi}{2}); \qquad \hat{w}_{2n+1}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} m_1(\frac{\xi}{2})\hat{w}_n(\frac{\xi}{2}), \tag{9}$$

where $m_0(\xi) = \sum_k h(k)e^{-2\pi i k\xi}$ and $m_1(\xi) = \sum_k g(k)e^{-2\pi i k\xi}$. Functions m_0 and m_1 are 1-periodic, and are trigonometric polynomials whenever h and g are finitely supported.

In the Walsh case, $m_0(\xi) = 1 + e^{2\pi i\xi} = 2e^{\pi i\xi}\cos\pi\xi$, and $m_1(\xi) = 1 - e^{2\pi i\xi} = -2ie^{\pi i\xi}\sin\pi\xi$. In the Shannon case, one can take

$$m_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } k - \frac{1}{4} \leq \xi < k + \frac{1}{4} \text{ for some integer } k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

$$m_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } k + \frac{1}{4} \leq \xi < k + \frac{3}{4} \text{ for some integer } k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} = 2 - m_0(\xi).$$

6. Daubechies' Wavelet Packets

The filters h and g that define the compactly-supported orthonormal wavelets of I. Daubechies [**5**] can be used here. For example, the Daubechies filter of length 4, which produces a scaling function supported in $[0, 4]$ that satisfies $\phi = H\phi$, and a mother wavelet also supported in $[0, 4]$ that satisfies $\psi = G\phi$, uses

$$h(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = -1; \\ \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = -2; \\ \frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = -3; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases} \qquad g(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ -\frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = -1; \\ \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = -2; \\ -\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{4}, & \text{if } k = -3; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Note that $g(k) = (-1)^k h(-3 - k)$.

For every positive integer $N > 1$ there is a Daubechies wavelet supported in $[0, 2N]$ which belongs to the smoothness class C^d for $d \approx N/5$ [**5**]. Since Daubechies' wavelets form an orthonormal MRA, the associated wavelet packets $\{w_{nk} : n \in \mathbf{N}, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, and they are just as smooth as the mother wavelet and scaling function, because the filters are finitely supported. Unfortunately, though they are smooth, these wavelet packets are not uniformly bounded. The following is proved in [**4**]:

Theorem 6.1. *For any orthogonal CQFs (h, g) for which $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$ on $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \xi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, the wavelet packets $\{w_n\}$ satisfy*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(\|\hat{w}_0\|_1 + \cdots + \|\hat{w}_n\|_1\right) = \infty.$$

In particular, the nonvanishing condition on m_0 is satisfied by Daubechies' filters. If in addition m_0 is nonnegative, then $\|\hat{w}_n\|_1$ and $\|w_n\|_\infty$ will be equivalent, so

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(\|w_0\|_\infty + \cdots + \|w_n\|_\infty\right) = \infty.$$

Thus, such wavelet packets are not bounded on average, as the frequency index increases.

A refined special case of this result is shown in [**13**]:

Theorem 6.2. *For Daubechies' filters of length $L = 4$ through $L = 20$, there exist $p_{\min} < \infty$, $C > 0$, and $r > 1$, all depending on L, such that*

$$\|w_{2^n-1}\|_p > Cr^n,$$

for all $p > p_{\min}$.

In particular, the theorem holds for $p = \infty$. The result depends on a calculation, and holds for some other well-known CQFs as well. In the $L = 4$ case, $p_{\min} = 2$.

There is numerical evidence that the wavelet packets with frequency index $2^n - 1$ have the fastest growth as $n \to \infty$, while those with frequency index 2^n seem to be uniformly bounded.

It is not known whether Daubechies' wavelet packets have the almost everywhere convergence property.

7. Nonstationary Wavelet Packets

An integer n the range $0 \le n < 2^J$, for integer $J \ge 0$, may be written in binary as

$$n = \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_j 2^{j-1},$$

where $n_j \in \{0, 1\}$. The numbering is chosen so that n_1 is the least significant bit and n_J is the most significant bit of the J-bit expansion of n. The restriction $2^{J-1} \le n < 2^J$ implies that $n_J = 1$.

With the definitions $F_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} H$ and $F_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} G$, it is possible to write the *filter formulation* of wavelet packets:

$$w_n = F_{n_J} \cdots F_{n_2} F_{n_1} w_0, \tag{11}$$

where $2^{J-1} \le n < 2^J$. Alternatively, there is also a *multiplier formulation*:

$$\hat{w}_n(\xi) = \frac{1}{2^J} \hat{w}_0(\frac{\xi}{2^J})\, m_{n_J}(\frac{\xi}{2^J}) m_{n_{J-1}}(\frac{\xi}{2^{J-1}}) \cdots m_{n_2}(\frac{\xi}{2^2}) m_{n_1}(\frac{\xi}{2}). \tag{12}$$

M. Nielsen [**13**] studied two generalizations of this recursive definition.

Let $\{(h^J, g^J : J = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a family of orthogonal CQF pairs. Fix w_0, and for $J \ge 2$ and $2^{J-1} \le n < 2^J$ define *nonstationary* wavelet packets by

$$w_n(x) = F^J_{n_J} F^{J-1}_{n_{J-1}} \cdots F^1_{n_1} w_0(x), \tag{13}$$

or alternatively, in the multiplier formulation, define their Fourier integral transforms by

$$\hat{w}_n(x) = \frac{\xi}{2^J} w_0(\frac{\xi}{2^J})\, m^J_{n_j}(\frac{\xi}{2^J}) \cdots m^2_{n_2}(\frac{\xi}{2^2}) m^1_{n_1}(\frac{\xi}{2}). \tag{14}$$

The superscript indicates which pair of CQFs defines the filter operator or multiplier. The idea is to change the filters used to generate wavelet packets as their frequency increases, for example, to control their growth in L^∞.

But one can also redo the entire recursion for each new level. Let

$$\{\big((h^{J,J}, g^{J,J}), \dots, (h^{J,1}, g^{J,1})\big) : J = 1, 2, \dots\},$$

be a family of sequences of orthogonal CQF pairs. Fix w_0, and for $J \ge 2$ and $2^{J-1} \le n < 2^J$ define *highly nonstationary* wavelet packets by

$$w_n(x) = F^{J,J}_{n_j} F^{J,J-1}_{n_{j-1}} \cdots F^{J,1}_{n_1} w_0(x), \tag{15}$$

or alternatively, in the multiplier formulation, define their Fourier integral transforms by

$$\hat{w}_n(x) = \frac{\xi}{2^J} w_0(\frac{\xi}{2^J})\, m^{J,J}_{n_j}(\frac{\xi}{2^J}) \cdots m^{J,2}_{n_2}(\frac{\xi}{2^2}) m^{J,1}_{n_1}(\frac{\xi}{2}). \tag{16}$$

Here the superscripts indicate which pair of which sequence of CQFs defines the filter operator or multiplier.

8. Walsh and Shannon Type Wavelet Packets

Suppose that (h^J, g^J) is the Walsh CQF pair for all sufficiently large $J \geq J_0$. The resulting wavelet packets are called *Walsh-type*, and we have the following theorem due to M. Nielsen [**13**]:

THEOREM 8.1. *Walsh-type wavelet packet series converge pointwise almost everywhere.*

Likewise, if (h^J, g^J) is the Shannon CQF pair for all sufficiently large $J \geq J_0$, then the resulting wavelet packets are called *Shannon-type*, and we have another theorem by M. Nielsen:

THEOREM 8.2. *Shannon-type wavelet packet series converge pointwise almost everywhere.*

These theorems are direct consequences of the Carleson–Hunt theorem for Walsh series and Shannon series, since Walsh-type wavelet packets are finite linear combinations of Walsh functions, while Shannon-type wavelet packets are finite linear combinations of Shannon functions.

9. Growth Control for Wavelet Packets

One way to control the growth of $\|w_n\|_p$ for large p, as $n \to \infty$, is to use nonstationary or highly nonstationary wavelet packets with lengthening filters. One obtains a uniform bound on $\|w_n\|_\infty$, for example, from a uniform bound on $\|\hat{w}_n\|_1$, using the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma and the Fourier inversion theorem: $\|w_n\|_\infty \leq \|\hat{w}_n\|_1$.

For values $2 \leq p < \infty$, the bound for $\|w_n\|_p$ follows from the Hausdorff–Young inequality:

$$\|w_n\|_p \leq C\|\hat{w}_n\|_q,$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$ and the sharp constant [**11**] is $C = [q^{\frac{1}{q}}/p^{\frac{1}{p}}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

N. Hess-Nielsen [**7, 8**] originally introduced the idea of building wavelet packet bases with more than one CQF pair. An original application was to design a single short CQF pair with the same frequency localization as longer CQFs, given a desired depth J of wavelet packet decomposition. This resulted in a savings of approximately half the arithmetic operations in subband decompositions.

A. Cohen and E. Séré [**3**] showed the following:

THEOREM 9.1. *Suppose (h^J, g^J) is a family of orthogonal CQFs whose length function $L = L(J)$ satisfies $L(J) \geq cJ^{3+\epsilon}$ for some $c > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then the associated nonstationary wavelet packets $\{w_n\}$ satisfy*

$$2^{-J}\left(\|\hat{w}_0\|_1 + \cdots + \|\hat{w}_{2^J-1}\|_1\right) \leq B,$$

for some $B < \infty$ and all $J \geq 0$. Thus,

$$2^{-J}\left(\|w_0\|_\infty + \cdots + \|w_{2^J-1}\|_\infty\right) \leq B,$$

as well.

M. Nielsen [**13**] refined this result in the special case where h^J, g^J are the Daubechies orthogonal CQFs of length $L = L(J)$, where the length function will be specified later. When highly nonstationary wavelet packets are called for, use $h^{J,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h^J$ and $g^{J,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g^J$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$. One may suppose that w_0 is any scaling function that generates an orthonormal MRA, not necessarily a Daubechies scaling function. One must suppose, however, that w_0 is smooth enough so that $|\hat{w}_0(\xi)| = O(1/|\xi|^{1+\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. One first obtains a basic result, part of which was also shown in [**3**]:

THEOREM 9.2. *For any length function $L = L(J)$, the nonstationary wavelet packets derived from $\{h^J, g^J\}$ and the highly nonstationary wavelet packets derived from $\{h^{J,j}, g^{J,j}\}$ form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbf{R})$.*

The additional properties of Daubechies' CQFs give a better growth result:

THEOREM 9.3. *If the length function satisfies*

$$L(J) \geq cJ^{2+\epsilon}$$

for some $c > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then the nonstationary wavelet packets derived from Daubechies' filters $\{h^J, g^J\}$ are uniformly bounded functions.

The support diameter of the nonstationary wavelet packet w_n grows without bound as $n \to \infty$, if $L(J) \to \infty$ as $J \to \infty$. This is overcome, strangely enough, by backing up and introducing longer filters earlier in the highly nonstationary wavelet packet algorithm [**13**]:

THEOREM 9.4. *If the length function satisfies*

$$cJ^{2+\epsilon} \leq L(J) \leq \frac{2^J}{cJ^{1+\epsilon}}$$

for some $c > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, and w_1 has compact support, then the highly nonstationary wavelet packets $\{w_n\}$ derived from Daubechies' filters $\{h^{J,j}, g^{J,j}\}$ are uniformly bounded and have uniform compact support in a fixed interval independent of n.

10. Wavelet Packets as Schauder Bases

A countable set $B = \{b_n\} \subset L^p(\mathbf{R})$, $1 < p < \infty$, that has a dense span is called a *Schauder basis* if $\sum_n a_n b_n = 0$ implies that the coefficient $a_n = 0$ for all n. In particular, it means that finite subsets of B must be linearly independent. Every $f \in L^p$ has a unique representation $f = \sum_n a_n(f) b_n$ that converges in norm, and it is well known that the coefficient functionals $\{a_n\}$, which are given by functions in L^q, $q = p/(p-1)$, must satisfy

$$\sup_n \|a_n\|_q \|b_n\|_p < \infty. \tag{17}$$

An orthonormal wavelet packet basis $\{w_0\}$ for L^2, derived from an MRA with somewhat smooth scaling function w_0, has a dense span in L^p and satisfies $a_n = b_n = w_n$. Using Theorem 6.2, M. Nielsen showed [**13**] that

THEOREM 10.1. *For Daubechies' filters of length $L = 4$ through $L = 20$, there exist $p_{\min} < \infty$, $C > 0$, and $r > 1$, all depending on L, such that*

$$\|w_{2^n-1}\|_p \|w_{2^n-1}\|_q \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$, for all $p > p_{\min}$. Thus these wavelet packets fail to be a Schauder basis for those L^P spaces.

It is known that Walsh functions are a Schauder basis for L^p, all $1 < p < \infty$, as are Shannon functions. Using that fact, M. Nielsen showed [**13**] that Walsh-type and Shannon-type wavelet packets likewise constitute a Schauder basis for L^p.

It is not known whether the nonstationary and highly nonstationary wavelet packet bases of Theorems 9.3 and 9.4, which are uniformly bounded, give Schauder bases, as Equation 17 only gives a necessary condition. However, a perturbation argument applied to periodized Shannon wavelet packets [**12**] shows that certain highly nonstationary periodic wavelet packets, which are very close to exponentials, do constitute a Schauder basis for $L^p([0,1])$.

References

[1] T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Mathematical Analysis*. Addison–Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, second edition, January 1975.

[2] L. Carleson. On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series. *Acta Mathematica*, 116:135–157, 1966.

[3] A. Cohen and E. Séré. Time-frequency localization by nonstationary wavelet packets. In M. J. T. S. Ali N. Akansu, editor, *Subband and Wavelet Transforms — Theory and Design*, pages 189–211. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.

[4] R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, and M. V. Wickerhauser. Size properties of wavelet packets. In M. B. Ruskai, G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, I. Daubechies, S. Mallat, Y. Meyer, and L. Raphael, editors, *Wavelets and Their Applications*, pages 453–470. Jones and Bartlett, Boston, 1992.

[5] I. Daubechies. Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 41:909–996, 1988.

[6] J. Gosselin. On the convergence of Walsh–Fourier series for $L^2(0,1)$. *Advances in Mathematics Supplementary Studies*, 4:223–232, 1979.

[7] N. Hess-Nielsen. *Time-Frequency Analysis of Signals Using Generalized Wavelet Packets*. PhD thesis, University of Aalborg, 1992.

[8] N. Hess-Nielsen. Control of frequency spreading of wavelet packets. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 1(2):157–168, March 1994.

[9] R. A. Hunt. On the convergence of Fourier series. In *Orthogonal Expansions and Their Continuous Analogues*, pages 235–255. Southern Illinois University Press, 1968.

[10] M. Lacey and C. Thiele. Convergence of Fourier series. Preprint, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, 1998.

[11] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, first edition, 1997.

[12] M. Nielsen. Highly nonstationary wavelet packets. Technical report, Washington University, Saint Louis, Missouri, 1999. Submitted.

[13] M. Nielsen. *Size Properties of Wavelet Packets*. PhD thesis, Washington University, Saint Louis, Missouri, 1999.

[14] M. H. Taibleson. Fourier series on the ring of integers in a p-series field. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 73(5):623–629, September 1971.

Department of Mathematics, Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri